



Rogozna Gold and Base Metals Project, Serbia – Drilling Update

**IMPRESSIVE NEW INTERCEPT OF 80.2m @ 1.9g/t AuEq<sup>1</sup>  
FURTHER EXPANDS 4.6Moz AuEq SHANAC DEPOSIT**

*Step-out drilling delivers more strong assay results at cornerstone deposit ahead of Q1 resource upgrade*

**Highlights:**

- **New intercepts from diamond hole ZRSD24166 confirm robust vertical extensions of the copper-gold skarn mineralisation at the 4.6Moz Shanac Deposit<sup>2</sup> (Figure 2):**
  - 30.1m @ 1.6g/t AuEq<sup>1</sup> from 438.9m; and
  - 80.2m @ 1.9g/t AuEq<sup>1</sup> from 501.9m, including:
    - 11.7m @ 4.3g/t AuEq<sup>1</sup> from 570.4m

These results form part of ongoing resource evaluation work and further enhance Strickland’s objective of delivering several key Mineral Resource updates across the Rogozna Project commencing in February 2025 (see table below).

Final assays from the high-grade, gold-only Gradina Deposit are expected to be released by the end of this month.

Six diamond rigs are scheduled to re-commence drilling in early-March 2025, targeting further resource upgrades and extensions as well as several high-priority exploration targets.



*Figure 1: Core photo of polymetallic gold-copper mineralisation from 503.7m to 503.9m in ZRSD24166. Laboratory assays from this sample returned 1.0g/t Au, 0.5% Cu, 0.4% Pb, 1.1% Zn. Common mineralogy includes pyrite (light yellow), chalcopyrite (bright yellow), galena (grey), pyrrhotite (brassy/bronze) and sphalerite (dark brown/black).*

**Rogozna Gold & Base Metal Project – Current MRE Upgrade Schedule**

Deposit/Prospect	Current MRE	Targeted MRE Upgrade
Shanac	4.6Moz AuEq	Late March 2025
Medenovac	Not yet reported	Late February 2025
Gradina	Not yet reported	H2 2025
Copper Canyon	0.81Moz AuEq	Evaluation ongoing

<sup>1</sup>For Shanac AuEq grade is based on metal prices of gold (US\$1,750/oz), copper (US\$10,000/t), silver (US\$25/oz), lead (US\$2,200/t), zinc (US\$3,000/t), and metallurgical recoveries of 80% for all metals. These estimates are based on ZRR’s assumed potential commodity prices and recovery results from initial and ongoing metallurgical test work and give the following formula: AuEq (g/t) =Au (g/t) + 1.78 x Cu(%) + 0.014 x Ag (g/t) +0.391 x Pb(%) + 0.533 x Zn(%). It is the Company’s opinion that all the elements included in the metal equivalents calculations have a reasonable potential to be recovered and sold.




Figure 2: Shanac Cross-Section A-B (+/-40m section width) highlighting the new copper-gold drill intercepts from ZRSD24166 in relation to the previously reported gold-only (yellow) and copper-gold (red) drill intercepts.



## Introduction

Strickland Metals Limited (ASX: STK) (Strickland or the Company) is pleased to report significant assay results from a recently completed diamond drill-hole at the 4.6Moz AuEq Shanac Deposit<sup>2</sup>, one of four skarn-hosted gold and base metals deposits contained within the 100%-owned ~5.4Moz AuEq Rogozna Project<sup>2</sup> in Serbia (Figure 4).

Strickland's Managing Director, Paul L'Herpinierie, said: "We are off to a tremendous start to 2025, with the final diamond hole from last year's resource drilling program at the cornerstone Shanac Deposit delivering another quality intercept. Being able to post an 80.2 metre intercept grading 1.9g/t gold equivalent from this hole, together with other strong zones of mineralisation, is a fitting way to start the year for a deposit of this quality.

Importantly, this hole has defined significant vertical extensions of the mineralisation and bodes well for the Mineral Resource Estimate update for Shanac, which we are on track to deliver by late March.

This will be one of several Mineral Resource upgrades which are scheduled for this year, both at Rogozna and our Yandal Project in Western Australia. With a multi-rig diamond drilling campaign commencing at Rogozna in March, Strickland is ideally placed for a year of exploration success, and significant resource growth that we project will be a period of substantial value-creation for shareholders."

## Exploration Update

Assay results have been received for diamond drill-hole ZRSD24166, the final hole completed as part of the 2024 drilling campaign at the ~4.6Moz AuEq Shanac Deposit.

The drill hole was designed to test the southern end of the current drill-defined deposit footprint (Figure 3), targeting extensions to the copper-gold skarn mineralisation hosted within the Central Structural Domain (Central Domain), which has had limited previous drilling coverage in this part of the deposit.

Drilling encountered extensive skarn-hosted mineralisation, including 80.2 metres of continuous mineralisation from a down-hole depth of 501.9m (including 11.7m @ 4.3g/t AuEq<sup>1</sup>). More importantly, these results have mapped out the dimensions of the copper-gold skarn mineralisation in this position of the deposit to ~180 metres in width, with the mineralisation remaining open at depth.

Significant intercepts from this drill hole include:

- **30.1m @ 0.7g/t Au, 0.3% Cu, 0.4% Zn, 0.2% Pb and 6.6g/t Ag (1.6g/t AuEq<sup>1</sup>) from 438.9m; and:**
- **80.2m @ 0.7g/t Au, 0.4% Cu, 0.4% Zn, 0.2% Pb and 8.9g/t Ag (1.9g/t AuEq<sup>1</sup>) from 501.9m, including:**
  - **11.7m @ 1.2g/t Au, 0.9% Cu, 1.9% Zn, 0.5% Pb and 28.3g/t Ag (4.3g/t AuEq<sup>1</sup>) from 570.4m**

Further drilling to test the continuity and extents of the high-grade gold skarn within the central domain (**89.7m @ 4.0g/t Au from 244.5m in ZRSD24149 and 40.9 metres @ 4.0g/t Au from 241.6m in ZRSD24167** (refer to ASX announcement of 5<sup>th</sup> August 2024 and 27<sup>th</sup> November 2024), is a high priority and will form one of the key areas of focus of the extensive follow-up drilling that is due to commence in early-March.

In addition to these results from Shanac, final assays are expected for five holes completed at the high-grade, gold-dominant Gradina Deposit by the end of the month, while results for two exploration holes completed at the Jezerska Reka and Obradov Potok targets are expected in early-February.

<sup>2</sup>Refer to "Table 1: Rogozna JORC Inferred Mineral Resource Estimates" at the end of this release for further details regarding the Rogozna Resource.




Figure 3: Plan view map of Shanac showing the new ZRS24166 intercept in relation to existing drilling and the deposit footprint (900m RL = ~300m depth).




Figure 4: Plan view map of the Rogozna Project showing major deposits and prospects with project-scale geological map underlay



## Mineralisation Controls and Style

There is a vertical zonation at Shanac which includes a broad upper zone of gold skarn, with the highest gold grades occurring close to the base of the volcanic cover. This upper zone of gold skarn mineralisation overlies a large body of copper-gold-magnetite skarn mineralisation that is centered around the central dyke.

The expected position of the gold skarn mineralisation in drill-hole ZRSD24166 has been modified by an intercept of endoskarn (intrusion-hosted mineralisation), which has resulted in a variable gold grade in the gold skarn portion of this hole.

The copper-gold skarn mineralisation is characterised by extensive disseminated to semi-massive pyrite, with lesser amounts of chalcopyrite, magnetite, pyrrhotite, sphalerite and galena (Figures 1 and 5).

The higher-grade mineralised zones encountered in this hole are hosted within the Copper-Gold skarn in the Central Domain, in proximity to major NW-trending quartz-diorite dykes, which is consistent with the Company's updated geological model.



*Figure 5. Core photo of polymetallic mineralisation from 574.4 metres in ZRSD24166. Laboratory assays returned 2.2g/t Au, 1.6% Cu, 0.4% Pb, 0.4% Zn. Common mineralogy includes chalcopyrite (bright yellow), galena (grey), pyrite (light yellow), pyrrhotite (brassy/bronze) and sphalerite (dark brown/black).*

## Resource Update

Resource modelling is progressing well, with an updated Mineral Resource Estimate for the Shanac Deposit scheduled for completion by late-March. Prior to this, a maiden Mineral Resource Estimate for the Medenovac Deposit is on track for release by late-February.

Drill planning for the 2025 exploration season is also well underway, with six diamond rigs scheduled to re-commence drilling by early-March. Drilling will be designed to deliver resource upgrades and extensions as well as test several high-priority exploration targets. Further details of this extensive exploration program will be released to the market in due course.

*This release has been authorised by the Company's Managing Director Mr Paul L'Herpinere.*

## — Ends —

For further information, please contact:

**Paul L'Herpinere**

**Managing Director**

Phone: +61 (8) 6317 9875

[info@stricklandmetals.com.au](mailto:info@stricklandmetals.com.au)

[stricklandmetals.com.au](http://stricklandmetals.com.au)

**Media Inquiries:**

Nicholas Read – Read Corporate

Phone: +61 (8) 9388 1474

[info@readcorporate.com.au](mailto:info@readcorporate.com.au)

**Competent Person's Statement**

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results for its Rogozna Project is based on information compiled or reviewed by Mr Paul L'Herpinere who is the Managing Director of Strickland Metals Limited and is a current Member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM). Mr Paul L'Herpinere has sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and types of deposit under consideration and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code of Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr L'Herpinere consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this announcement that relates to Mineral Resources has been extracted from various Strickland ASX announcements and are available to view on the Company's website at [www.stricklandmetals.com.au](http://www.stricklandmetals.com.au) or through the ASX website at [www.asx.com.au](http://www.asx.com.au) (using ticker code "STK"). The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcement and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the Mineral Resource Estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

**Forward-Looking Statements**

This announcement may contain certain forward-looking statements, guidance, forecasts, estimates, prospects, projections or statements in relation to future matters that may involve risks or uncertainties and may involve significant items of subjective judgement and assumptions of future events that may or may not eventuate (Forward-Looking Statements). Forward-Looking Statements can generally be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as "anticipate", "estimates", "will", "should", "could", "may", "expects", "plans", "forecast", "target" or similar expressions and may include, without limitation, statements regarding plans, strategies and objectives of management, anticipated production and expected costs. Indications of, and guidance on future earnings, cash flows, costs, financial position and performance are also Forward Looking Statements.

Persons reading this announcement are cautioned that such statements are only predictions, and that actual future results or performance may be materially different. Forward-Looking Statements, opinions and estimates included in this announcement are based on assumptions and contingencies which are subject to change, without notice, as are statements about market and industry trends, which are based on interpretation of current market conditions. Forward-Looking Statements are provided as a general guide only and should not be relied on as a guarantee of future performance.

No representation or warranty, express or implied, is made by Strickland that any Forward-Looking Statement will be achieved or proved to be correct. Further, Strickland disclaims any intent or obligation to update or revise any Forward-Looking Statement whether as a result of new information, estimates or options, future events or results or otherwise, unless required to do so by law.



**Table 1: Rogozna JORC Compliant Inferred Mineral Resource Estimates**

**Shanac Prospect (April 2023)**

(0.7g/t AuEq cut-off)

Tonnes (Mt)	AuEq (g/t)	Au (g/t)	Cu (%)	Ag (g/t)	Pb (%)	Zn (%)	AuEq (Moz)	Au (Moz)	Cu (kt)	Ag (Moz)	Pb (kt)	Zn (kt)
130	1.1	0.63	0.10	5.1	0.20	0.28	4.63	2.63	130	21.3	260	364

For Shanac (April 2023) AuEq grade is based on metal prices of gold (US\$1,750/oz), copper (US\$10,000/t), silver (US\$25/oz), lead (US\$2,200/t), zinc (US\$3,000/t), and metallurgical recoveries of 80% for all metals. These estimates are based on Strickland's assumed potential commodity prices and recovery results from initial and ongoing metallurgical test work and give the following formula for Shanac:  $AuEq (g/t) = Au (g/t) + 1.78 \times Cu(\%) + 0.014 \times Ag (g/t) + 0.391 \times Pb(\%) + 0.533 \times Zn(\%)$ . It is the Company's opinion that all the elements included in the metal equivalents calculations have a reasonable potential to be recovered and sold.

**Copper Canyon Prospect (October 2021)**

(0.4 g/t AuEq cut-off)

Tonnes (Mt)	AuEq (g/t)	Au (g/t)	Cu (%)	Ag (g/t)	Pb (%)	Zn (%)	AuEq (Moz)	Au (Moz)	Cu (kt)	Ag (Moz)	Pb (kt)	Zn (kt)
28	0.9	0.4	0.3	-	-	-	0.81	0.36	84	-	-	-

For Copper Canyon (October 2021) AuEq grade based on metal prices of gold (US\$1,750/oz), copper (US\$10,000/t), and metallurgical recoveries of 80% for both metals. These estimates are based on Strickland's assumed potential commodity prices and recovery results from initial and ongoing metallurgical test work and give the following formula for Copper Canyon:  $AuEq (g/t) = Au (g/t) + 1.55 \times Cu (\%)$ . It is the Company's opinion that all the elements included in the metal equivalents calculations have a reasonable potential to be recovered and sold.

Please refer to the Company's ASX announcement dated 17 April 2024 titled: "Acquisition of the 5.4Moz Au Eq Rogozna Gold Project" for full details regarding Shanac and Copper Canyon Mineral Resources which is available on the Company's website or on the ASX website using ticker code ASX:STK.



## Appendix A – Significant Intercepts

### Table 2 – Shanac Significant Intercepts

Hole ID	Collar Coordinates			Depth m	Orientation Azi/Dip (degrees)	Down hole interval (m)			Grade					
	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	RL (m)			From	To	Length	AuEq g/t	Au g/t	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag g/t
ZRSD24166	472360	4766665	1271.3	620.6	055°/-58	258.6	270.3	11.7	0.4	0.4	-	-	-	-
and						394.6	412.9	18.3	0.5	0.5	-	-	-	-
and						438.9	469.0	30.1	1.6	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.4	6.6
and						501.9	582.1	80.2	1.9	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.4	8.9
including						501.9	503.9	2.0	3.0	1.0	0.5	0.4	1.1	26.0
and						570.4	582.1	11.7	4.3	1.2	0.9	0.5	1.9	28.3
including											574.4	576.4	2.0	6.0
ZRSD24150*	472704	4766967	1197.7	699.3	235°/-60°	293.5	357.8	64.3	0.9	0.9	-	-	-	-
and						361.7	426.6	64.9	2.4	1.5	0.4	0.1	0.1	4.4
including						361.7	381.7	20.0	3.3	2.3	0.5	0.4	0.1	5.4
and						470.9	607.8	136.9	2.4	0.4	0.2	1.0	1.9	10.8
including						482.9	505.9	23.0	4.4	0.3	0.1	2.9	4.8	19.6
and						520.7	530.7	10.0	8.5	0.3	0.2	4.8	9.7	57.0
EOKSC1691*	472702	4766968	1197.1	697.3	230°/-59°	276.0	361.5	85.5	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-
and						384.5	418.8	34.3	2.0	1.1	0.4	0.1	0.2	6.1
and						433.0	449.0	16.0	1.8	1.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	3.2
EOKSC17100*	472418	4766710	1279	473.3	55°/-76°	320.5	349.0	28.5	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-
and						377.3	430.0	52.7	1.8	0.4	0.2	0.7	1.1	15.6
including						379.0	405.6	26.3	2.2	0.6	0.4	0.5	1.0	20.1

For Shanac (April 2023) AuEq grade is based on metal prices of gold (US\$1,750/oz), copper (US\$10,000/t), silver (US\$25/oz), lead (US\$2,200/t), zinc (US\$3,000/t), and metallurgical recoveries of 80% for all metals. These estimates are based on ZRR's assumed potential commodity prices and recovery results from initial and ongoing metallurgical test work and give the following formula: AuEq (g/t) = Au (g/t) + 1.78 x Cu(%) + 0.014 x Ag (g/t) + 0.391 x Pb(%) + 0.533 x Zn(%). It is the Company's opinion that all the elements included in the metal equivalents calculations have a reasonable potential to be recovered and sold.

\*Previously reported intercept.



## Appendix B – JORC Table 1 – Shanac

### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p><i>Sampling techniques</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></li> <li>• <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></li> <li>• <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i></li> <li>• <i>In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></li> </ul>	<p><b>Zlatna Reka Resources (ZRR)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Shanac drilling database comprises data from diamond drilling completed by ZRR including 17 holes for a total of 12,892m of drilling.</li> <li>• Drilling and sampling utilised appropriate, industry standard methods and was closely supervised by company geologists. Core was halved with a diamond saw to provide assay samples. Drilling utilised triple tube core barrels.</li> <li>• Core recovery measurements confirm the representivity of the sampling.</li> <li>• Sample lengths range from around 0.1m to rarely greater than 10.0m, with around 90% of the combined drilling having sample lengths of 1.0m to 3.0m. Most sample lengths are 2.0m.</li> <li>• ZRR samples were submitted to ALS in Bor, Serbia for sample preparation, with pulverised samples transported to ALS in Rosia Montana, Romania for analysis for gold by fire assay, and ALS Ireland for ICP analysis by four-acid digest for attributes including copper.</li> </ul> <p><b>Previous Explorers (Euromax and Eldorado Gold)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Previous project owners including Euromax and Eldorado completed 40 diamond holes for 24,182m of drilling. No analytical information is available for 5 holes drilled during the 1950s and 1960s and these holes do not inform the exploration results.</li> <li>• Euromax samples were analysed by SGS in Chelopech Bulgaria. Eldorado samples were analysed for Gold by Fire Assay at ALS in Romania, and ALS Ireland for ICP analysis by four-acid digest for attributes including copper.</li> </ul>

personal use only



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All drilling was by diamond core at PQ, HQ and NQ diameters (122.6, 96.0mm and 75.7mm hole diameter). ZRR utilised triple tube core barrels with core oriented by an “Ace Core Tool” electronic tool.</li> </ul>
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>• Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>• Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sample recovery was maximised by use of appropriate drilling techniques including use of triple tube core drilling.</li> <li>• Recovered core lengths average 99% recovery with little variability between drilling phases consistent with the author’s experience of high-quality diamond drilling.</li> <li>• There is no notable relationship between core recovery and gold and copper grades. Available information demonstrates that sample bias due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material has not occurred.</li> </ul>
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>• Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>• The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drilling and sampling utilised appropriate, industry standard methods and was closely supervised by company geologists. Core was halved with a diamond saw to provide assay samples. ZRR utilised triple tube core barrels.</li> <li>• Core recovery measurements confirm the representivity of the sampling.</li> </ul>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>• If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>• For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>• Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>• Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Zlatna Reka Resources (ZRR)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Field-sampling employed appropriate methods and was supervised by company geologists.</li> <li>• Core was halved for assaying with a diamond saw with sample lengths ranging from around 0.1m to rarely greater than 10m, with around 90% of the combined drilling having sample lengths of 1 to 3 m, with most samples being 2 m in length.</li> <li>• Available information indicates that, at the current stage of project assessment, the sample preparation is appropriate for the mineralisation style.</li> </ul>





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p><b>Previous Explorers</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monitoring of laboratory performance included submission of coarse blanks and reference standards for all drilling phases. Field duplicate assays provide an indication of the repeatability of field sampling for Euromax and Eldorado drilling. Acceptable levels of accuracy and precision have been established for attributes included in the Exploration Results.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Verification of sampling and assaying</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></li> <li><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></li> <li><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></li> <li><i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No twinned holes have been drilled at Shanac.</li> <li>For ZRR drilling, sampling and geological information was entered directly into electronic logging templates which were imported into ZRR's master acQuire database. Assay results were merged directly into the database from digital files provided by ALS.</li> <li>No assay results were adjusted.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Location of data points</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li><i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></li> <li><i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill collars were defined World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS84), Sector 34N coordinates derived from differential global positioning system (GPS) surveys using the Gaus-Kruger projection and Hermanskogel datum transformed to WGS84 Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinates. Holes were generally downhole surveyed by magnetic single shot surveys or gyro tools.</li> <li>Elevations of ZRR holes commonly significantly differ from the DTM.</li> <li>Hole paths and surface topography have been located with sufficient confidence.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Data spacing and distribution</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li><i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></li> <li><i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Shanac drilling is variably spaced. In the main mineralised area, drillhole lines/traverses are generally spaced at 60 - 80m, with individual holes on each line drilled 60 - 80m apart. Multiple holes are often drilled from the same pad, but with variable dips such that the intercepts are 40 - 80m apart.</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></li> <li>• <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shanac drilling includes various orientations. Ratios of true mineralisation widths to down-hole widths range from less than half to around 1.</li> <li>• The drilling orientations provide un-biased sampling of the mineralisation.</li> </ul>
<i>Sample security</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ZRR diamond core was delivered to the core shed by company personnel. Core-cutting and sampling was supervised by company geologists. Samples collected in canvas bags were sealed on wooden pallets by heavy duty plastic wrapping for transportation to the assay laboratory by courier. No third parties were permitted un-supervised access to the samples prior to delivery to the sample preparation laboratory.</li> <li>• The general consistency of results between sampling phases provides additional confidence in the general reliability of the data.</li> </ul>
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No audits of sampling techniques and data were conducted.</li> </ul>

## Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></li> <li>• <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Rogozna Project is contained within four exploration licenses, Šanac na Rogozni, Zlatni Kamen, Leča and Pajsi Potok with a combined area of approximately 184 km<sup>2</sup>. The exploration licenses are 100% owned by ZRR, a wholly owned Serbian subsidiary of Betoota Holdings (Betoota).</li> <li>• The Shanac Prospect is located within the Sanac na Rogozni exploration license.</li> <li>• In Serbia, exploration licenses are granted for an eight year term comprising periods of three years, three years and two years, with renewal documents needing to be submitted to Serbian authorities after each period.</li> </ul>





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>southern part of the project, including Copper Canyon where there has been block uplifting and subsequent erosion of the andesitic pyroclastics.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rogozna mineralisation, including Shanac, represents a large scale magmatic hydrothermal system which hosts a skarn based Au-Cu +/- Zn, Ag and Pb mineralised system. Most of the mineralisation is associated with retrograde skarn development in spatial association with quartz latite dykes. Distal, higher-grade skarn hosted mineralisation occurs at Gradina, Gradina North, and Copper Canyon South projects, and at Shanac there is also lower tenor mineralisation that is developed in the overlying andesitic volcanic rocks. Cu generally occurs as chalcopyrite in association with pyrrhotite and pyrite, and less commonly with sphalerite and galena.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Drill hole information</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate information is included in the body of this report (see Appendix A).</li> </ul>
<p><i>Data aggregation methods</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant drill hole results are reported on a length weighted basis, at cutoff grades of &gt;0.5g/t AuEq. Higher-grade intercepts are reported at cutoff grades of &gt;1.5g/t AuEq. No upper cuts were applied.</li> <li>In reporting of Exploration Results for Shanac, Au equivalent grades are based on metal prices of Au (\$US1,750/oz), Cu (\$US10,000/t), Ag</li> </ul>

personal use only



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></li> </ul>	<p>(\$US25/oz), Pb (\$US2,200/t), Zn (\$US3,000/t), and metallurgical recoveries of 80% for all metals. These estimates are based on ZRR's assumed potential commodity prices and recovery results from initial and ongoing metallurgical test work and give the following formula: <math>AuEq (g/t) = Au (g/t) + 1.78 \times Cu(\%) + 0.014 \times Ag (g/t) + 0.391 \times Pb(\%) + 0.533 \times Zn(\%)</math>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In the Company's opinion all elements included in the metal equivalent calculation have a reasonable potential to be recovered and sold. These estimates are based on current commodity prices and the Company's interpretation of initial metallurgical testwork results.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></li> <li><i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Shanac drilling includes a range of orientations, with ratios of true mineralisation widths to down-hole widths ranging from less than half to around 1.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Diagrams</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate diagrams are included in the report.</li> <li>For full disclosure, previous drill intercepts that have been previously reported on Figure 2 have been tabulated and flagged accordingly within Appendix A – Table 2.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Balanced reporting</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate information is included in the body of the report.</li> </ul>
<p><i>Other substantive exploration data</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Preliminary metallurgical test work completed for all deposits from 2020 to 2022 included test work aimed at analysis of bulk samples, grade variability analysis, comminution characterisation, Cu and Zn concentrate analysis, gravity gold recovery and bulk sulphide floatation defined projects.</li> <li>This work suggested amenability to conventional processing with flotation recoveries for the relevant metals generally in the range of 78 to 86% for</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>the currently defined deposits. Immersion density measurements were performed on core samples from all modern Rogozna drill phases at an average of around one sample per 6 m.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Geological, mapping, soil and rock chip sampling, and geophysical surveys by previous workers including magnetic and gravity surveys aid ZRR's planning of exploratory drilling.</li> <li>• Geochemical survey data shows strong gold and pathfinder element anomalism at Shanac. Anomalous gold values are &gt;20ppb Au, anomalous arsenic values are &gt;100ppm, anomalous lead is &gt;1000ppm and anomalous zinc is &gt; 500ppm. After levelling the geochemical data using mapped lithology and using ZScore analysis, a ZScore of &gt;1 for the multielement data indicates strong anomalism, &gt;0.5 is moderate anomalism and &gt;0.2 is slightly anomalous.</li> <li>• The Shanac geochemical survey involved soil samples taken on roughly 100m-spaced, NW-orientated lines, with individual samples collected along 50m intervals on each line. Soils samples were collected from the "B" horizon, at roughly 30cm depth. The samples were sieved to -1mm size fraction and assayed by fire assay for gold and ICP with four acid digest for all other elements.</li> </ul>
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></li> <li>• <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Planned future work at Shanac includes further diamond drilling, with both infill and extensional drilling designed to demonstrate continuity of mineralisation and support an upgraded Mineral Resource Estimate (MRE).</li> </ul>

persons use only