

## Gold Results Continue to Expand Kalgoorlie East Project

25km from Kalgoorlie

### Highlights:

- Significant gold intercepts from drilling, continue:
  - 10m @ 8.89 g/t Au from 46m (NZAC127)
    - inc. 1m @ 77.96 g/t Au from 54m
  - 7m @ 3.14 g/t Au from 47m (NZAC124)
    - inc. 1m @ 18.35 g/t Au from 50m
  - 5m @ 1.26 g/t Au from 46m (NZAC132)
    - inc. 2m @ 2.74 g/t Au from 49m
  - 12m @ 0.80 g/t Au from 32m (NZAC118)
  - 2m @ 11.97 g/t Au from 34m (NZAC123)
  - 1m @ 6.98 g/t Au from 50m (NZAC133)
- Majority of drillholes have intersected gold mineralisation, refer Table 1
- 414 samples are awaiting assay from a further 11 vertical drill holes
- The results of recent drilling continue to validate and enlarge the shallow gold mineralisation associated with the Northern Zone porphyry
- The dynamic Leapfrog gold model for Northern Zone will be updated with these latest results and will inform and guide future drill campaigns
- Further follow-up drill planning is already underway

David Lenigas, Chairman of RGL, said: “We continue to keep delivering great results, and everything is going to plan as we expand the mineralised footprint of the Kalgoorlie East Project and define the high-grade gold zone hosted within a large lower-grade porphyry host. The reported results continue to meet and exceed expectations, with a new high-grade zone being delineated on the eastern margin of the Project. A further 11 holes are in the lab awaiting assay, which will continue the Company’s consistent and regular news releases, reporting gold results as we advance the Kal East - Northern Zone Project towards a maiden MRE and Mining Lease approval.”

Riversgold Limited (ASX: RGL, Riversgold or the Company) is pleased to announce further assay results from the vertical aircore (AC) drill holes of a 32 hole 1,805m program recently completed at the Northern Zone Intrusive Hosted Gold Project, located 25 km east of Kalgoorlie in Western Australia (refer to **Figure 1** for location). A further 414 samples from 11 drillholes are still to be assayed, with results expected in the near term.

These AC program results (**Tables 1-3**), continue to successfully intersect the mineralised host porphyry over an increasing footprint and consistently validate the broader gold mineralisation model. All drill holes on the eastern extension intersected significant gold mineralisation including the highest gold grades drilled at the project to date (see **Figure 2**). We will continue drilling to further our understanding of the Project before proceeding with a Mineral Resource Estimate (**MRE**).

Possible ore processing scenarios have been demonstrated by the recent success of Black Cat Syndicate Ltd via their use of a turn-key funding, development and processing package at their Myhree/Boundary open pits, located 7km to the north of the Northern Zone Project.

For personal use only



Conceptually, the Company draws parallels between Northern Zone and Saturn Metals' Apollo Hill Project, discerning similarities based on the PEA statement released by Saturn Metals (ASX: 17 August 2023), which suggests the potential for a sizeable low-grade heap leach operation. Saturn Metals Limited has released a Preliminary Economic Assessment (PEA) on the Apollo Hill Gold Project which is located 175km due north of Northern Zone. With a resource estimate of 118Mt at 0.53g/t gold, totalling 2.03Moz<sup>1</sup>, this development serves as a benchmark for our aspirations at Northern Zone, albeit with the potential for Northern Zone to be an even larger project.




Figure 1: Northern Zone Project Map showing proximity to the Kalgoorlie "Super Pit".

<sup>1</sup> STN ASX announcement dated 12 February 2025 "Apollo Hill Gold Resources Exceeds 2Moz"

For personal use only




Figure 2: Recent AC drill collar plan with gold grade contours from all drilling results to date, and most recently reported significant drill intercepts

For personal use only




Figure 3: Cross-section of 3D Leapfrog software model. The interpretation illustrates gold grade shells, derived from all the significant intercepts reported to the ASX to date. The model is constrained via a 25m buffer to all the RGL/Oracle drill hole traces that have been drilled at Northern Zone since 2021. Refer to Figure 2 Drill collar plan for the location of the section line.

-ENDS-

This announcement has been authorised for release by the Board of Riversgold Ltd.

**For further information, please contact:**

David Lenigas  
Executive Chairman  
P: +44 (0) 7881825378  
E: [dlenigas@riversgold.com.au](mailto:dlenigas@riversgold.com.au)

Ed Mead  
Director  
P: 0407 445351  
E: [emead@riversgold.com.au](mailto:emead@riversgold.com.au)

**Competent Person's Statement**

The information in this report that relates to exploration results is based on information compiled by Mr Edward Mead, who is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Mead is a director of Riversgold Ltd and a consultant to the Company through Doreda Pty Ltd. Mr Mead has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity that he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the 'Australian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves' (the JORC Code). Mr Mead consents to the inclusion of this information in the form and context in which it appears in this report.

**APPENDIX 1: Drilling Information**

**Table 1: Northern Zone Significant Intercepts from all AC drill holes**

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)	Au g/t	Intercept
NZAC118	32	44	12	0.80	12m @ 0.80 g/t Au from 32m , NZAC118
including	32	35	3	2.36	Including 3m @ 2.36 g/t Au from 32m , NZAC118
NZAC119	35	39	4	0.93	4m @ 0.93 g/t Au from 35m , NZAC119
including	38	39	1	1.52	Including 1m @ 1.52 g/t Au from 38m , NZAC119
NZAC120	32	34	2	0.61	2m @ 0.61 g/t Au from 32m , NZAC120
NZAC123	34	36	2	11.97	2m @ 11.97 g/t Au from 34m , NZAC123
NZAC124	47	54	7	3.14	7m @ 3.14 g/t Au from 47m , NZAC124
including	50	51	1	18.35	Including 1m @ 18.35 g/t Au from 50m , NZAC124
NZAC125	47	52	5	0.62	5m @ 0.62 g/t Au from 47m , NZAC125
including	51	52	1	1.77	Including 1m @ 1.77 g/t Au from 51m , NZAC125
NZAC126	48	49	1	1.00	1m @ 1.0 g/t Au from 48m , NZAC126
NZAC126	61	63	2	2.83	2m @ 2.83 g/t Au from 61m , NZAC126
NZAC127	46	56	10	8.89	10m @ 8.89 g/t Au from 46m , NZAC127
including	54	55	1	77.96	Including 1m @ 77.96 g/t Au from 54m , NZAC127
NZAC128	48	52	4	0.93	4m @ 0.93 g/t Au from 48m , NZAC128
including	48	49	1	1.50	1m @ 1.50 g/t Au from 48m , NZAC128
including	51	52	1	2.12	1m @ 2.12 g/t Au from 51m , NZAC128
NZAC129	70	73	3	0.52	3m @ 0.52 g/t Au from 70m (EOH) , NZAC129
NZAC130	50	51	1	3.84	1m @ 3.84 g/t Au from 50m , NZAC130
NZAC131	50	52	2	1.63	2m @ 1.63g/t Au from 50m , NZAC131
NZAC132	32	33	1	1.77	1m @ 1.77 g/t Au from 32m , NZAC132
NZAC132	46	51	5	1.26	5m @ 1.26 g/t Au from 46m , NZAC132
including	49	51	2	2.74	2m @ 2.74 g/t Au from 49m , NZAC132
NZAC133	50	51	1	6.98	1m @ 6.98 g/t Au from 50m , NZAC133
NZAC134	47	50	3	1.65	3m @ 1.65 g/t Au from 47m , NZAC134

**Table 2: Northern Zone Drill Collar Locations**

Hole id	Type	MGA_E	MGA_N	Elevation (m)	Total Depth (m)	Dip (°)	AZM_MGA	Date
NZAC114	AC	381648.4	6592699.2	356.82	51	0	-90	19/03/2025
NZAC115	AC	381661.0	6592711.3	356.72	47	0	-90	19/03/2025
NZAC116	AC	381660.1	6592687.5	356.74	54	0	-90	20/03/2025
NZAC117	AC	381672.1	6592700.2	356.77	51	0	-90	21/03/2025
NZAC118	AC	381598.5	6592674.9	356.84	58	0	-90	21/03/2025
NZAC119	AC	381610.8	6592687.3	356.86	54	0	-90	22/03/2025
NZAC120	AC	381623.6	6592699.3	356.88	53	0	-90	22/03/2025
NZAC121	AC	381636.0	6592712.0	356.9	49	0	-90	22/03/2025
NZAC122	AC	381648.0	6592724.3	356.94	48	0	-90	22/03/2025
NZAC123	AC	381571.0	6592598.0	356.85	48	0	-90	23/03/2025
NZAC124	AC	381895.1	6592566.7	356.84	64	0	-90	23/03/2025

Hole id	Type	MGA_E	MGA_N	Elevation (m)	Total Depth (m)	Dip (°)	AZM_MGA	Date
NZAC125	AC	381907.5	6592578.9	356.83	59	0	-90	23/03/2025
NZAC126	AC	381919.6	6592591.2	356.84	64	0	-90	23/03/2025
NZAC127	AC	381932.3	6592603.2	356.8	60	0	-90	24/03/2025
NZAC128	AC	381944.1	6592615.7	356.74	68	0	-90	24/03/2025
NZAC129	AC	381956.7	6592628.4	356.81	71	0	-90	24/03/2025
NZAC130	AC	381969.0	6592641.0	356.79	60	0	-90	25/03/2025
NZAC131	AC	381959.9	6592575.7	356.99	63	0	-90	25/03/2025
NZAC132	AC	381972.0	6592588.0	306.93	65	0	-90	25/03/2025
NZAC133	AC	381984.1	6592601.0	356.94	66	0	-90	25/03/2025
NZAC134	AC	381996.8	6592613.2	357	63	0	-90	27/03/2025
NZAC135	AC	381647.6	6592651.0	356.6	59	0	-90	27/03/2025
NZAC136	AC	381659.9	6592663.4	356.65	60	0	-90	28/03/2025
NZAC137	AC	381672.2	6592675.7	356.66	60	0	-90	28/03/2025
NZAC138	AC	381684.6	6592688.0	356.7	64	0	-90	29/03/2025
NZAC139	AC	381563.2	6592662.1	356.88	49	0	-90	29/03/2025
NZAC140	AC	381575.0	6592673.5	356.9	57	0	-90	29/03/2025
NZAC141	AC	381587.2	6592686.1	356.92	47	0	-90	30/03/2025
NZAC142	AC	381599.5	6592698.4	356.95	48	0	-90	30/03/2025
NZAC143	AC	381612.0	6592710.9	356.97	49	0	-90	31/03/2025
NZAC144	AC	381624.2	6592723.4	357	49	0	-90	30/03/2025
NZAC145	AC	381636.6	6592735.6	356.85	47	0	-90	30/03/2025

**Table 3: Northern Zone assay results above 0.3 g/t Au from all AC drill holes**

Hole ID	Depth From	Depth To	Width	Au ppm
NZAC116	51	52	1	0.46
NZAC118	32	33	1	1.45
NZAC118	33	34	1	<b>2.47</b>
NZAC118	34	35	1	<b>3.17</b>
NZAC118	35	36	1	0.44
NZAC118	37	38	1	0.52
NZAC118	41	42	1	0.68
NZAC118	43	44	1	0.57
NZAC118	55	56	1	0.31
NZAC119	32	33	1	0.90
NZAC119	35	36	1	0.79
NZAC119	36	37	1	0.76
NZAC119	37	38	1	0.63
NZAC119	38	39	1	1.52
NZAC120	32	33	1	0.47
NZAC120	33	34	1	0.75
NZAC121	29	30	1	0.35
NZAC122	32	33	1	0.38
NZAC122	37	38	1	0.31
NZAC123	34	35	1	<b>21.70</b>
NZAC123	35	36	1	<b>2.23</b>
NZAC124	47	48	1	0.50

Hole ID	Depth From	Depth To	Width	Au ppm
NZAC124	49	50	1	1.46
NZAC124	50	51	1	<b>18.35</b>
NZAC124	51	52	1	0.87
NZAC124	53	54	1	0.39
NZAC124	61	62	1	0.38
NZAC124	62	63	1	0.35
NZAC124	63	64	1	0.34
NZAC125	47	48	1	0.54
NZAC125	48	49	1	0.55
NZAC125	51	52	1	1.77
NZAC126	48	49	1	1.00
NZAC126	61	62	1	3.06
NZAC126	62	63	1	2.60
NZAC127	46	47	1	0.68
NZAC127	47	48	1	0.79
NZAC127	49	50	1	7.09
NZAC127	51	52	1	0.86
NZAC127	54	55	1	<b>77.96</b>
NZAC127	55	56	1	1.25
NZAC128	48	49	1	1.50
NZAC128	51	52	1	<b>2.12</b>
NZAC129	68	69	1	0.73



RIVERSGOLD<sub>LTD</sub>

ASX: RGL  
Announcement  
23 April 2025

Hole ID	Depth From	Depth To	Width	Au ppm
NZAC129	70	71	1	0.57
NZAC130	50	51	1	3.84
NZAC131	47	48	1	0.41
NZAC131	51	52	1	1.36
NZAC131	59	60	1	0.68
NZAC131	62	63	1	0.32
NZAC132	32	33	1	0.36
NZAC132	36	37	1	0.71

Hole ID	Depth From	Depth To	Width	Au ppm
NZAC132	46	47	1	0.72
NZAC132	49	50	1	2.66
NZAC132	50	51	1	2.81
NZAC133	42	43	1	0.36
NZAC133	50	51	1	6.98
NZAC134	47	48	1	1.34
NZAC134	48	49	1	0.32
NZAC134	49	50	1	3.28

For personal use only

**APPENDIX 2: JORC INFORMATION**

The following Tables are provided to ensure compliance with the JORC Code (2012 Edition) requirements for the reporting of Exploration Results at Northern Zone.

**Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data**

(Criteria in this section applies to all succeeding sections)

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>JORC Code explanation</b>	<b>Commentary</b>
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<p><i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></p> <p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i></p> <p><i>In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></p>	<p>Every metre drilled was placed on the ground. 6m composites were collected using a scoop method of sampling the coarse reject sample for the first 24m. 1m sampling using a rifle splitter was trialed on the clays, from 24m, with sampling deemed to create a high degree risk of smearing. The clays are not wet, but have a damp characteristic. A large metal scoop was used to sample between 70-90% of material from each metre drilled, to total between 2-3kg samples. Standard reference material, sample duplicates and blanks, were undertaken at 25m sample intervals. Samples were sent to the laboratory for crushing, splitting and analysis. Analysis was undertaken by Jinnings laboratories (Kalgoorlie) for gold assay by 50g fire assay.</p>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<p><i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i></p>	<p>Australian Aircore Drilling completed the program using a blade to refusal.</p>
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<p><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></p> <p><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></p> <p><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></p>	<p>Drill recovery was routinely recorded via estimation of the comparative percentage of the volume of the sample bag by the company geologist. The sample recovery was deemed excellent for representative assays. The cyclone was cleaned or checked every 6m.</p>
<b>Logging</b>	<p><i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></p> <p><i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i></p> <p><i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></p>	<p>All holes have been geologically logged for lithology, mineralisation and weathering. As well as whether dry, damp or wet. Logging is quantitative for presence of quartz veins. All other logging is qualitative. A brief description of each drilling sample was recorded and a permanent record has been collected and stored in chip trays for reference.</p>
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<p><i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></p> <p><i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></p> <p><i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></p> <p><i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></p> <p><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></p>	<p>1m sampling using a rifle splitter was trialed on the clays, from 24m, with sampling deemed to create a high degree risk of smearing. The clays are not wet, but have a damp characteristic. A large metal scoop was used to sample between 70-90% of material from each metre drilled, to total between 2-3kg samples. Standard reference material, sample duplicates and blanks, were undertaken at 25m sample intervals. Samples were sent to the laboratory for crushing, splitting and analysis. The use of fire assay with 50g charge for all AC drilling provides a level of confidence in the assay database. The sampling and assaying are considered representative of the in-situ material. The sample size of 2-3 kilograms is appropriate and representative of the grain size and mineralisation style of the deposit.</p>

For personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	Jinnings (Kalgoorlie) were used for all analysis of drill samples submitted by Riversgold. The laboratory techniques below are for all samples submitted to Jinnings and are considered appropriate for the style of mineralisation defined within the Northern Zone Project area: Samples above 3Kg were riffle split. Pulverise to 95% passing 75 microns 50-gram Fire Assay (FA50A) – Au Duplicates, Standards and Blanks were used for external laboratory checks by RGL
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	Intercepts were reviewed by 2 company personnel.
<b>Location of data points</b>	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	The collar position of each hole has been marked out with a Garmin Inreach Explorer+ hand held GPS, and will be picked up by Spectrum Surveys (Kalgoorlie) using a DGPS.
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	The holes were drilled on a nominal Northeast-Southwest 20m spacing on traverses 15-20m apart.
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	Based on logging of diamond core the drill holes appear to be orientated perpendicular to strike and dip of the main mineralised structures. An interpreted fault though the middle of the mineralisation may have caused some displacement.
<b>Sample security</b>	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	Company personnel delivered samples to Jinnings Kalgoorlie where they were submitted for assay.
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	Data reviews will be conducted on completion of further drilling

## Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i>	The Northern Zone Project is comprised of one granted prospecting licence (P25/2651) which covers an area of 82 hectares, and is held in the name of Oracle Gold (WA) Pty Ltd. RGL have farmed into the Tenement and have exceeded minimum spend of \$600,000 in exploration expenditure on the tenement within two years, to achieve 80% ownership. RGL has notified Oracle of meeting the farmin. The JV documents are to be formalised by December 2025. Oracle will be required to contribute pro-rata or dilute.
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	The majority of previous exploration in the area was by Northern Mining during 2007 to 2012 under the Blair North project, multiple small resource areas were identified at the George's Reward area to the south of P25/2651. Numerous gold intersections were recorded

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Geology</b>	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	The deposit sought is (Intrusion Related Gold System (IRGS) style of mineral deposit.
<b>Drill hole Information</b>	<p><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li><i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li><i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i></li> <li><i>down hole length and interception depth</i></li> <li><i>hole length.</i></li> </ul> <p><i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i></p>	Refer to Tables and Figures within the body of the release.
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<p><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></p> <p><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></p>	Intersections are weighted average grades based on a 0.001 g/t Au cut-off with unlimited waste zones but with a targeted grade of 0.4-0.6g/t Au.
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<p><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></p> <p><i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg ‘down hole length, true width not known’).</i></p>	The diamond drilling program in 2023 confirmed the apparent widths of mineralisation as being perpendicular to foliation and veining. We believe the step out RC drilling to be the same as the diamond drilling. The true width of mineralisation is still to be fully ascertained.
<b>Diagrams</b>	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	See body of the announcement for relevant diagrams and photos.
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	The reporting of exploration results is considered balanced by the competent person.
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	See body of the announcement.
<b>Further work</b>	<i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Follow up phases of drilling to further test strike to be undertaken.</li> <li>• Complete a maiden MRE</li> </ul>

For personal use only