



ASX Announcement
13 August 2025

THICK AND HIGH-GRADE GOLD INTERSECTED AT KILLARNEY GOLD PROJECT

HIGHLIGHTS

Killarney Project – Gold

- Assays received from the inaugural RC drill program at Killarney.
 - Significant intercepts include:
 - 10m @ 2.33g/t Au inc. 3m @ 7.24g/t Au, from 32m in 25KRC001
 - 9m @ 4.64g/t Au inc. 1m @ 39.77g/t Au, from 54m in 25KRC009
 - 9m @ 2.12g/t Au inc. 3m @ 5.5g/t Au, from surface in 25KRC013
 - 7m @ 3.45g/t Au inc. 3m @ 7.81g/t Au, from 29m in 25KRC011
 - Drilling was focussed beneath the shallow pit and a cross-cutting geochemical anomaly
 - Mineralisation is associated within zones of heavily weathered ferruginous saprolite - with variable quartz veining
 - Mineralisation is open at depth and along strike to the northeast under an area of transported cover
 - This is the first drill program completed at Killarney
 - Planning for the next RC drill program is currently underway
-



Duketon Mining Limited (Company or DKM) is pleased to announce results have been received from the inaugural RC drill program at the Killarney Gold Project (Project). Significant intercepts include:

- **10m @ 2.33g/t Au inc. 3m @ 7.24g/t Au, from 32m in 25KRC001**
- **9m @ 4.64g/t Au inc. 1m @ 39.77g/t Au, from 54m in 25KRC009**
- **9m @ 2.12g/t Au inc. 3m @ 5.5g/t Au, from surface in 25KRC013**
- **7m @ 3.45g/t Au inc. 3m @ 7.81g/t Au, from 29m in 25KRC011**

The Project is located 63km east of Mount Magnet and approximately 80km west of Sandstone in Western Australia. The Project is located 8km north of the sealed Mount Magnet - Sandstone Road, accessed by well-maintained gravel roads and station tracks.

Seventeen (17) RC drill holes for 1,716 metres were completed to a maximum down hole depth of 148m. Drilling beneath the historical shallow Killarney pit was typified by a thin and possibly stripped surface weathering profile that immediately transitioned into a fresh metamorphosed coarse-grained gabbro. Highly weathered zones with variable amounts of quartz veining were intersected in the areas down plunge of the mineralised structures within the pit. The geometry of mineralised zones intersected from drilling is not yet fully understood.

Planning is currently underway for the next drill program.

Duketon's Managing Director, Stuart Fogarty, said; "The Duketon team are very excited about the results received. To deliver these from the inaugural RC drill program is an outstanding achievement both in project identification and effective execution of initial target testing. This is one of the most critical derisking steps in the exploration cycle. We are excited for the follow up drill program.

This Project continues to align with our strategy of growing Duketon's gold asset base with the view of creating value for shareholders."



Authorised for release by:

Stuart Fogarty

Duketon Mining Limited - Managing Director

+61 8 6315 1490

Competent Person Statement

The information in this release that relates to exploration results is based on historical and current information compiled by Ms Kirsty Culver, Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG) and an employee of Duketon Mining Limited. Ms Culver has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity that is being undertaken to qualify as a competent person as defined in the JORC Code 2012. Ms Culver consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which it appears.

Validity of Referenced Results

The information in this report that references previously reported exploration results have been extracted from the Company's ASX market announcements released on the date noted in the body of the text where that reference appears. The previous market announcements are available to view on the Company's website or on the ASX website (www.asx.com.au). The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcements.




Figure 1: Killarney Project Location




Figure 2: RC Drillhole Location Plan, Killarney Project

For personal use only




Figure 3: Cross Section 646060E, Killarney Project

For personal use only




Figure 4: Cross Section 646095E, Killarney Project

For personal use only

Table 1: Significant Intercepts, RC drilling Killarney (Significant intercepts are >1m @ 0.1g/t Au, maximum internal dilution of 2 metres. Intersections are downhole widths).

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Intercept Width (m)	Au (ppb)	Comments
25KRC001	16	20	4	134	4m @ 0.13g/t Au
and	27	29	2	204	2m @ 0.2g/t Au
and	32	42	10	2333	10m @ 2.33g/t Au
inc.	33	36	3	7238	3m @ 7.24g/t Au
25KRC002	15	21	6	113	6m @ 0.11g/t Au
and	25	32	7	652	7m @ 0.65g/t Au
inc.	29	30	1	3770	1m @ 3.77g/t Au
and	37	38	1	211	1m @ 0.21g/t Au
and	42	45	3	108	3m @ 0.11g/t Au
25KRC003	48	49	1	125	1m @ 0.12g/t Au
and	54	55	1	176	1m @ 0.18g/t Au
and	73	74	1	198	1m @ 0.2g/t Au
and	86	87	1	189	1m @ 0.19g/t Au
25KRC004	62	64	2	150	2m @ 0.15g/t Au
and	82	86	4	120	4m @ 0.12g/t Au
and	100	101	1	197	1m @ 0.2g/t Au
and	112	113	1	151	1m @ 0.15g/t Au
25KRC005	28	37	9	357	9m @ 0.36g/t Au
inc.	35	36	1	1604	1m @ 1.6g/t Au
and	38	39	1	314	1m @ 0.31g/t Au
25KRC006	44	46	2	156	2m @ 0.16g/t Au
and	49	50	1	861	1m @ 0.86g/t Au
and	53	57	4	257	4m @ 0.26g/t Au
and	63	67	4	105	4m @ 0.11g/t Au
and	87	91	4	280	4m @ 0.28g/t Au
25KRC007	71	74	3	1879	3m @ 1.88g/t Au
inc.	71	72	1	5272	1m @ 5.27g/t Au
and	122	123	1	122	1m @ 0.12g/t Au
and	126	127	1	218	1m @ 0.22g/t Au
25KRC008	45	49	4	1097	4m @ 1.1g/t Au
inc.	48	49	1	2824	1m @ 2.82g/t Au
and	55	62	7	184	7m @ 0.18g/t Au
and	65	66	1	263	1m @ 0.26g/t Au
25KRC009	54	63	9	4640	9m @ 4.64g/t Au
inc.	57	58	1	39769	1m @ 39.77g/t Au
and	90	91	1	184	1m @ 0.18g/t Au

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Intercept Width (m)	Au (ppb)	Comments
and	98	99	1	149	1m @ 0.15g/t Au
and	115	117	2	236	2m @ 0.24g/t Au
25KRC010	88	89	1	102	1m @ 0.1g/t Au
25KRC011	16	19	3	190	3m @ 0.19g/t Au
and	22	26	4	150	4m @ 0.15g/t Au
and	29	36	7	3447	7m @ 3.45g/t Au
inc.	29	32	3	7814	3m @ 7.81g/t Au
25KRC012	42	44	2	182	2m @ 0.18g/t Au
and	51	55	4	937	4m @ 0.94g/t Au
inc.	54	55	1	3287	1m @ 3.29g/t Au
25KRC013	0	9	9	2115	9m @ 2.12g/t Au
inc.	1	4	3	5504	3m @ 5.5g/t Au

Table 2: Drillhole collar locations

Hole ID	Easting (MGA 94 Z50)	Northing (MGA 94 Z50)	Nominal RL (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (mag °)	Total Depth (m)
25KRC001	646063	6906482	430	-60	360	82
25KRC002	646040	6906475	430	-60	360	80
25KRC003	646038	6906455	430	-60	360	124
25KRC004	646037	6906435	430	-60	360	148
25KRC005	646018	6906464	430	-60	360	88
25KRC006	646019	6906442	430	-60	360	100
25KRC007	646020	6906423	430	-60	360	148
25KRC008	646060	6906458	430	-60	360	100
25KRC009	646059	6906442	430	-60	360	130
25KRC010	645941	6906417	430	-60	360	124
25KRC011	646093	6906496	430	-60	360	70
25KRC012	646094	6906468	430	-60	360	112
25KRC013	646095	6906525	430	-60	360	70
25KRC014	646440	6906319	430	-60	360	70
25KRC015	646437	6906299	430	-60	360	100
25KRC016	646410	6906328	430	-60	360	70
25KRC017	646409	6906307	430	-60	360	100



JORC Table 1

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report – Killarney Project

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data – Killarney RC Drilling

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i> <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i> <i>In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RC drill chips were collected as 1 metre samples from the rig cyclone and cone splitter to provide a 1 metre sample. Composite samples were collected using a spear. Sample size is approximately 2kg. Certified samples and blanks and field duplicates are routinely added to every batch of samples. Mineralisation determined qualitatively by geological logging and quantitatively through assaying.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RC drilling using a face sampling hammer with a nominal diameter of 140mm.

for personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recoveries qualitatively noted at the time of drilling and recorded in the DKM database. The cyclone of the drill rig is cleaned at the end of each rod to ensure sample is not “hung-up” and samples are as clean as possible with as little cross contamination as possible.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All samples were logged to a level of detail to support future use in a mineral resource calculation should it be required. Qualitative: Lithology, alteration, mineralisation. Quantitative: Vein percentage, sulphide percentage. All holes for their entire length are logged.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RC drill chips were collected as 1 metre samples from the rig cyclone and cone splitter to provide a 1 metre sample. Composite samples were collected using a spear. Sample condition with respect to moisture content is noted on the geological log. The entire composite sample (approx. 2kg) has been dried, pulverised to 85% passing 75µm. Field duplicates are collected within the highly weathered zones with quartz veining. Pulp duplicates have been taken at the pulverising stage and selective repeats conducted at the laboratory’s discretion. Sample sizes are considered appropriate for the grain size of the material sampled.
Quality of assay data and	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples were analysed using a 4-acid digest and ICP-OES finish for 33 elements and Fire Assay 25g charge with OES finish for Au. This technique is industry standard for gold and considered

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established. 	<p>appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Certified Reference Material (Standards & Blanks) were submitted with batches.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All data is checked internally for correctness by senior DKM geological and corporate staff. All data is collected via Ocris software and uploaded into the DKM Dashed Database. No adjustments are made to assay data. No twinned holes have been drilled to date.
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All location points are collected using a handheld GPS in MGA 94 – Zone 50 Downhole surveying (azimuth and dip of the drillhole) of RC drillholes was measured by the drilling contractors using a North Seeking Gyro tool. Drillholes have a nominal collar RL of 430m
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Holes at Killarney are drilled at various spacings. Hole spacing is appropriate for drilling at this stage. Drillhole spacing targeting below the Killarney Pit is at 20m x 20m Sample compositing has been applied.
Orientation of data in relation to	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All drillholes were drilled to the north, the strike of the structures are approximately 065/245 and 115/295 degrees

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
geological structure	<i>sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chain of custody is managed by company representatives and is considered appropriate. All samples are bagged in a tied numbered calico bag, grouped into larger polyweave bags and cable tied. Polyweave bags are placed into larger bulky bags with a sample submission sheet and tied shut. Consignment note and delivery address details are written on the side of the bag and delivered to the Toll freight yard in Mount Magnet. The bags are delivered directly to Intertek in Maddington, WA who are NATA accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC17025:2005.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No external audits or reviews have been conducted apart from internal company review.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The tenements (M58/365 & P58/1843) are 100% owned by the Vendor and are in good standing and there are no known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous prospecting on P58/1843 was carried out by prospector Terry Little and the Vendor.
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Typical Archean gold quartz vein mineralisation within mafic rocks
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A table of drill collar locations is provided in the body of the report.
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No top-cuts have been applied when reporting results. First assay from the interval in question is reported (i.e. Au1). Aggregate sample assays calculated using a length weighted average. Significant grade intervals based on intercepts > 100ppb gold with no greater than 2m of internal dilution. No metal equivalent values have been used for reporting of results.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Downhole length is reported for the drillholes

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer to figures in document.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All drillhole locations and a table of significant intervals are reported in the release text.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer to document.
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A discussion of further work is contained within the body to this ASX release.