

## High-Grade Antimony Results at NW Array Support U.S.-Aligned Critical Mineral Strategy

Felix Gold Limited (ASX: FXG) reports additional high-grade antimony assay results from ongoing drilling at the NW Array gold-antimony prospect. Results confirm down-dip extensions of surface mineralisation and continue to demonstrate the strong grades and continuity of antimony mineralisation at shallow depths.

### Highlights:

- **Additional High-Grade Results:** Latest assays include 5.87m @ 4.34% Sb from 54.08m (25TCDC019), including two very high-grade intervals up to 38% Sb. (Drill core photo in Fig. 1)
- **Down-Dip Extension Confirmed:** Results confirm the down-dip extension of the high-grade structure exposed in surface trench 25NWTR005 (previously reported 15 September 2025).
- **True Width Estimation:** True widths of 4.2m to 5m were estimated with reasonable confidence for the vein zone intersected in holes 25TCDC019 and 25TCDC015.
- **Bulk Sample:** Permit amendment to our current exploration licence has been lodged (approval pending) to obtain a bulk sample of approximately 1,600 tonnes targeting veins containing near pure stibnite.
- **Winter Program:** Drilling, bulk sampling, and ongoing environmental baseline studies will now continue through the winter (1 month off mid-December to mid-January)
- **Multi-Year Permit:** Engineering, resource estimation, environmental studies, and community outreach are ongoing, targeting early Q1 2026 for submission of permit for multi-year mining operations.
- **Drilling Program Continues:** 52 RC holes (2,889.5m) and 38 diamond holes (2,719.9m) completed to date, with drilling ongoing to extend and better define mineralisation. Antimony assays pending for 64 drill holes; gold assays pending for 90 holes.
- **Fast-Track Development Pathway:** Results continue to support assessment of near-term antimony production targeting Q4 2025 to Q1 2026\*

*\*No feasibility study completed, production scenarios are conceptual only and subject to completion of appropriate technical studies, feasibility work, permitting, and Board approval. Production includes potential sale of material from bulk sampling.*

## Felix Gold's Executive Director, Joe Webb, commented:

*"These latest results continue to validate the exceptional quality of our antimony discovery at Treasure Creek. Intersecting 5.87 meters at 4.34% antimony from 54 meters depth—including ultra-high-grade intervals up to 21.83%—confirms the down-dip extension of our surface mineralization with estimated true widths of 4 to 5 metres.*

*The strategic timing couldn't be more relevant. With China's export ban creating acute supply shortages and antimony prices reaching US\$60,000 per tonne, the U.S. government has issued emergency declarations and mobilized unprecedented funding for domestic production. Our project sits 20 minutes from Fairbanks with full infrastructure access, targeting production within months, not years.*

*We've lodged a permit amendment for a 1,600-tonne bulk sample targeting zones that metallurgical testing shows contain over 80% stibnite direct from the ground. Our processing flowsheet is elegantly simple: excavate, hand sort, bag. No crushing plants, no complex metallurgy—just direct-ship concentrate potentially exceeding military-grade specifications with very low capital expenditure.*

*Simultaneously, we're advancing the foundation for sustained operations—winter drilling continuing through the season, comprehensive environmental baseline studies underway, and targeting early Q1 2026 for multi-year permit submission.*

*I'm personally based in the United States to ensure we capitalize on this unique convergence of geology, geopolitics, and market dynamics. The goal is clear: demonstrate near-term production capability, secure offtake agreements and continue building toward a longer-term strategic operation."*



**Photo 1 and 2 (left):** Stibnite from trench 25NWTR004 reported on 13 February 2025 "High-Grade Antimony" which assayed 58% in metallurgical results reported on the 30 May 2025 "Metallurgical Test Work Delivers High-Grade". **Photo 3 (right):** The same stibnite sample as per Photo 1 and 2 and drill core from hole 25TCDC004 45.8 m, which assayed up to 24.9% Sb reported on 15 September 2025.

## Treasure Creek Antimony Project

The Treasure Creek Project (Fig. 2) hosts significant antimony mineralisation across multiple antimony and gold prospects, with NW Array and Scrafford representing the most advanced prospects. Antimony mineralisation occurs primarily as stibnite ( $Sb_2S_3$ ) and oxidised forms, including stibiconite and some valentinite, hosted within vein and breccia zones in felsic porphyry and quartz-mica schist and associated with gold mineralisation.

The project benefits from excellent infrastructure access, being located within 20 minutes of Fairbanks, with established roads, power, and a skilled workforce. This proximity to infrastructure significantly de-risks any future development scenarios.




Fig 2. Location of Treasure Creek with near-term antimony production focus

## Assessing the Viability of Near-Term Production

With very high antimony grades exposed at surface and strong existing infrastructure, Felix is advancing a streamlined development pathway targeting potential production commencement in Q4 2025–Q1 2026. *No feasibility study has been completed, production scenarios are conceptual only and subject to completion of appropriate technical studies, feasibility work, permitting and Board approval.*

## Felix's Strategy: Start Small, Start Fast.

- **Direct access to high-grade mineralisation**— up to 3m @ 50.26% Sb at surface, with a 25m outcropping stibnite vein (open along strike and at depth) and multiple additional veins identified.
- **Low-capex, modular approach** – assessing the viability of simple crushing, screening, and concentration rather than complex large-scale builds.
- **Accelerated pathway** – assessing the viability of small-scale surface mining to reduce geological risk, eliminating underground development, and minimising environmental footprint.

## Strategic Significance – Small-Scale Solution to a Big Supply Gap

- **For U.S. supply chain security:** Rapid-response production, aligned with federal priorities, potentially addressing a meaningful portion of U.S. demand.
- **For global markets:** Premium positioning in a tight market, first-mover advantage
- **For Felix and investors:** Low capital intensity, rapid payback potential, and multiple funding pathways\*

*\*No feasibility study completed, production scenarios are conceptual only and subject to completion of appropriate technical studies, feasibility work, permitting, and Board approval.*

## The Strategic Opportunity: Perfect Timing Meets Perfect Geology

### China's Export Ban Creates Supply Crisis

In December 2024, China formally banned antimony exports to the United States, severing America's primary supply chain for a metal essential across multiple critical industries. With China controlling 85% of global antimony processing and the U.S. importing 100% of its antimony needs, this represents an unprecedented threat to American industrial supply chains.

#### The vulnerability in numbers:

- U.S. antimony import dependence: nearly **100%**
- China + Russia control of global antimony production: **+90%**
- U.S. defense stockpile status: **Critical shortfall** (Department of War assessment)
- Time since last U.S. mining production: **30+ years**

## Critical Applications Drive Urgent Demand

Antimony is irreplaceable across America's key industrial sectors:

- **Defense & National Security:** Military munitions and defense systems, night vision systems and infrared sensors, nuclear weapons production, advanced semiconductors (indium antimonide for surveillance and space applications)
- **Clean Energy Transition:** Solar panel glass production — antimony trioxide essential for PV glass manufacturing (fastest-growing antimony market), energy storage systems, power grid infrastructure
- **Industrial & Consumer Applications:** Electronics manufacturing, automotive industry components, building materials, lead-acid batteries
- **Market impact:** Antimony prices have rocketed from US\$11,000/tonne to over US\$60,000/tonne through 2024 to 2025 (<https://www.coreconsultantsgroup.com/antimony-from-niche-metal-to-global-frenzy> May 2025, data sourced from Fast Markets), with limited substitution options creating extended deficit conditions.

## Washington Mobilizes Funding

The U.S. government response has been swift and unprecedented:


- **Executive Order 14156** (January 20, 2025): "Declaring a National Energy Emergency" — Formal emergency declaration covering energy and critical minerals with streamlined permitting and emergency authorities
- **Executive Order 14241** (March 20, 2025): "Immediate Measures to Increase American Mineral Production" — Invokes Defense Production Act for critical minerals with 10-day deadlines for agencies to identify priority projects for immediate approval

### Federal Funding and Offtake Mechanisms including but not limited to:

- **Defense Production Act Title III:** Direct funding for domestic critical mineral production capabilities
- **CHIPS and Science Act:** US\$280 billion program includes funding for antimony semiconductor applications
- **National Energy Dominance Council:** Fast-track permitting for strategic mineral projects
- **National Defense Stockpile:** Defense Logistics Agency manages strategic reserves with antimony identified as critical shortfall requiring replenishment
- **Government Offtake Options:** Multi-year purchase agreements, pre-purchase mechanisms, and stockpile procurement similar to DoD contracts with MP Materials for rare earths

**Economic reality:** The US Government is investing heavily on emergency antimony stockpiling — an approach the Department of War acknowledges as unsustainable. With nearly 100% import reliance and antimony identified as one of the most critical materials in strategic shortfall, the federal strategy has shifted to supporting the development of domestic supply chains.

For personal use only




**Fig 3.** NW Array drilling results showing locations of reported drill holes and selected significant intersections previously reported.

## 2025 NW Array Drilling Program

Felix Gold is currently conducting an extensive program of drilling and trenching at the NW Array gold-antimony prospect. To date a total of 52 RC holes for 2889.5 m (including 4 water monitoring bores) and 38 diamond holes for 2719.9 m have been completed. Drilling is targeted at better defining the extents and grade of high-grade antimony and gold mineralisation intersected in previous drilling and trenching campaigns.

Samples from the drilling program have been submitted to MSA Laboratories in Vancouver for multi-element analysis with specialised methods for high grade antimony (see below) and PhotonAssay for gold. Due to the current high demand on laboratories, no gold analyses have been received for the 2025 drilling.



54.08 m-54.80 m: 0.72 m @ 0.4% Sb
54.80 m-55.39 m: 0.59 m @ 2.51% Sb
55.39 m-55.79 m: 0.4 m @ 30.24% Sb
55.79 m-56.43 m: 0.64 m @ 0.11% Sb
56.43 m-57.00 m: 0.57 m @ 0.64% Sb
57.00 m-57.55 m: 0.55 m @ 0.08% Sb
57.55 m-58.02 m: 0.47 m @ 21.83% Sb
58.02 m-59.13 m: 1.11 m @ 0.31% Sb

**Figure 1.** Diamond drill core from hole 25TCDC019, 54.08m - 58.5m. High-grade east-west trending vein zone, black portions are massive stibnite veins. HQ core, diameter 6.35 cm, red labelled wooden blocks indicate sample boundaries.

## Drill results

Antimony assays were received for another four diamond core holes from the 2025 drilling program. Significant intersections are summarised in Table 1, with drill hole details in Table 2 and full sampling details presented in Appendix 1. Locations of intersections with respect to other drill holes and interpreted structures are presented in plan view in Fig 3 and in cross-section perpendicular to east-west veining in Fig. 4.

The best intersection in 25TCDC019 of 5.87 m @ 4.34% Sb from 54.08 m is from a south-dipping vein zone interpreted to be the down-dip extension of the structure exposed in trench 25NWTR005 and reported in FXG's previous drilling announcement on 15 September 2025. The intersection includes two very high-grade (>10% Sb) intervals of 0.99 m and 0.47 m that correspond with wider massive stibnite veins. The same vein zone is interpreted to have been intersected up-dip of 25TCDC019 in hole 25TCDC015 (5.26 m @ 2.42% Sb from 17.19 m), where massive stibnite is less well developed but the host fault structure is clearly seen. The true width of the intersections in this vein zone can be estimated with reasonable confidence at 4.2 m and 5 m in holes 25TCDC019 and 25TCDC015 respectively from a zone striking 085° and with an average dip of 65° south.

The remaining reported intersections in hole 25TCDC019 and the upper two intersections in hole 25TCDC021 are interpreted as other approximately east-west trending and south-dipping vein zones and described in the 15 September 2025 announcement.

The lower intersection in hole 25TCDC021 (3.54 m @ 3.61% Sb from 44.54 m) is related to massive stibnite fill in a zone of "black breccia" as described in the 15 September announcement. Hole 25TCDC014 was expected to intersect this breccia zone but it is quite narrow and low-grade (2.97 m @ 0.19% Sb from 17.64 m) in this position. A similar low-grade narrow breccia intersection was noted in hole 25TCDC009 (see cross section figure for location). Further work and results are needed to be able to better understand the controls on the distribution, thickness and grade of the breccia unit.

## Antimony Mineralisation

Drilling and trenching at NW Array has revealed two main styles of antimony mineralisation:

1. Individual veins or close spaced zones of veining, fracturing and brecciation with quartz and coarse stibnite fill
2. Breccia zones with dominantly angular clasts of silicified wallrock and quartz veining where stibnite is present as fine-grained disseminations in breccia matrix and coarser, patchy breccia fill. Fine-grained siliceous alteration and disseminated stibnite produces a characteristic black colour and places and these zones are referred to as "black breccia".

Breccias are interpreted to be related to faulting and are highly variable in width and antimony content. Felix geologists are currently refining the geological model, which includes late northwest-trending faulting offsetting both vein and breccia style mineralisation zones. The current understanding is that breccia zones dip on average 50° towards the southeast to east and veins dip about 60°-70° towards the south to south-southwest.

A simplified interpretation of the distribution of the two different styles of mineralisation is provided in plan view in Fig 3. Widths of east-west trending veins may not be accurate as in many cases they are interpreted from RC assays with a minimum sample length of 1.5 m. Offsetting NW trending faults, such as the one exposed in recent trench 25NWTR005, are likely responsible for some of the apparent variation in strike of veins. Further work including surface trenching is planned to better define the width and strike extent of these zones.

## Antimony analysis


Standard analysis by four-acid digest followed by ICP-MS has an upper detection limit of 10,000 ppm (1%) Sb and over-limit samples must be re-analysed by one of two different methods depending on the expected grade:

1. Sodium peroxide fusion followed by ICP-MS, with an upper detection limit of 0.05%-10% Sb
2. Titration using cerium sulphate with an upper detection limit of 100% Sb

Four-acid ICP does not always record an over-limit result for antimony >1% due to volatile loss and formation of insoluble precipitates in acid digested samples. To correctly analyse higher grades, samples were initially indicated as high-grade on sample submission sheets through visual estimates or portable XRF results. After earlier results from sample batches, a 3000 ppm (0.3%) limit on 4A-ICP is now being applied as an indicator to analyse by peroxide fusion. From studying all results to date it is apparent that this limit may need to be reduced further to ensure accurate determination of antimony content.

## Further work

The drill program at NW Array is ongoing, targeted at extending and better defining the grade, thickness and geometry of zones of mineralisation. Shallow trenching over the expected surface position of the breccia zone and east-trending vein zones is currently being planned. More assay results are anticipated in the coming weeks and will be released when they are available.



**Fig 4.** Cross Section A-B showing reported intersections and previously reported results. Section width +/- 12.5 m, orientated roughly perpendicular to strike of vein zones and almost parallel to the breccia zone. Breccia zone is dipping out of plane of section towards viewer, many holes are drilled at an angle to the strike of the section. Some intersections do not align with zones on section due to distance from section plane and obliquity of zone strike.

**Table 1: Significant Antimony Intercepts (>0.2% Sb cut-off):**

Hole ID		From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	True Width	Sb_pct	As_ppm
25TCDC019		54.08	59.95	5.87	4.2	4.34	1373
	incl	54.8	55.79	0.99		13.71	880
	incl	57.55	58.02	0.47		21.83	1073
25TCDC019	and	16.2	16.61	0.41		6.51	610
25TCDC019	and	36.88	41.7	4.82		0.26	1705
25TCDC015		17.19	22.45	5.26	5.0	2.42	951
25TCDC021		21.13	24.17	3.04		2.93	591
25TCDC021	and	30.7	31.43	0.73		1.17	626
25TCDC021	and	44.54	48.08	3.54		3.61	1972
25TCDC014		17.64	20.61	2.97		0.19	195

**Table 2: Hole Locations Treasure Creek Tenement, NW Array Target Area**

HoleID	Hole Type	UTM_NAD83_Zone 06N			EOH (m)	Azimuth (m)	Dip (m)
		East	North	RL (m)			
25TCDC014	DC	461769.92	7209024.004	453.108	60.96	328.7	-47.1
25TCDC015	DC	461747.8	7208930.929	457.138	67.06	341.4	-48.8
25TCDC019	DC	461731.72	7208891.821	457.985	77.42	14.5	-44.9
25TCDC021	DC	461782.29	7208959.219	448.801	87.42	325.4	-45.1

This ASX release was approved for release by the Board.

**ENDS**

## Enquiries

**Joseph Webb**

*Executive Director*

Felix Gold Limited

Ph: +61 422 955 411

E: [joe.webb@felixgold.com.au](mailto:joe.webb@felixgold.com.au)

**Reach Markets**

*Investor and Media Relations*

Ph: 1300 805 795

E: [ir@reachmarkets.com.au](mailto:ir@reachmarkets.com.au)

## About Felix Gold

Felix Gold Limited (ASX: FXG) is an ASX-listed gold and critical minerals discovery business operating in the highly endowed Tintina Gold Province of Alaska in the United States.

Our flagship asset is a substantial landholding in the world-class Fairbanks Gold District, where historical gold production exceeds 16 Moz and historical antimony production shows grades up to 58% Sb from the Scrafford Mine at Treasure Creek, Alaska's second-largest historical antimony producer. In Fairbanks, our tenements sit within one of the largest gold production centres in the entire Tintina belt and lie in close proximity to both Kinross Gold's Tier 1 gold mine, Fort Knox, and the rapidly growing Freegold Ventures' discovery, Golden Summit.

Felix's key projects are located only 20 minutes from our operational base in the central mining services hub of Fairbanks City, Alaska. This base is a huge advantage for Felix with its existing infrastructure, low-cost power, skilled workforce and long history of gold and antimony production. It allows us to explore year-round and delivers genuine potential development pathways for our assets.

Felix's value proposition is simple: we are striving to be the premier gold and critical minerals exploration business in the Tintina Province through the aggressive pursuit and realisation of Tier 1 gold discoveries.

Visit the [Felix Gold website](#) for more information.

## Competent Person Statements

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Dr James Lally, a Competent Person who is a Member of The Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Dr Lally is an independent consultant to Felix Gold Limited and is a shareholder in the Company. Dr Lally has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralization and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which is being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves.' Dr Lally consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

## Forward-Looking Statements

Various statements in this release constitute statements relating to intentions, future acts and events. Such statements are generally classified as "forward-looking statements" and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause those future acts, events and circumstances to differ materially from what is presented or implicitly portrayed herein. Words such as "anticipates", "expects", "intends", "plans", "believes", "seeks", "estimates" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Felix cautions shareholders and prospective shareholders not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements and references to what events have transpired for other entities, which reflect the view of Felix only as of the date of this release. The forward-looking statements made in this release relate only to events as of the date on which the statements are made. Various statements in this release may also be based on the circumstances of other entities. Felix gives no

assurance that the anticipated results, performance or achievements expressed or implied in those statements will be achieved. This release details some important factors and risks that could cause the actual results to differ from the forward-looking statements and circumstances of other entities in this release.

## Previous Disclosure – 2012 JORC Code

The information in this release that relates to Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Exploration Targets for Felix's Fairbanks Gold Projects was extracted from the following ASX Announcements:

15 Sept 2025	<b>FXG: High Grade Antimony Drill Intersections and Project Update</b>
11 June 2025	<b>FXG: Drilling/Studies Underway at High-Grade Antimony Project</b>
29 May 2025	<b>FXG: High-Grade Antimony Concentrate Results from Met Test Work</b>
12 Feb 2025	<b>FXG: High-Grade Antimony True Width of 3m at 50.26%</b>
23 Jan 2025	<b>FXG: High-grade Antimony and Gold Results from Trenching</b>
28 Aug 2024	<b>FXG: High Grade Antimony Assay Results up to 15.99% Sb</b>
20 Jun 2024	<b>FXG: Maiden NW Array Inferred Mineral Resource</b>
19 Oct 2023	<b>FXG: High Grade Antimony Assays up to 28% Sb</b>
17 Jul 2023	<b>FXG: High-Grade Critical Mineral Discovery at NW Array</b>
09 Dec 2022	<b>FXG: Scrafford Shear Potential Grows and High-Grade Antimony Initiatives Commenced</b>
28 Jan 2022	<b>FXG: Felix Gold Prospectus</b>

A copy of such announcements is available to view on the Felix Gold Limited website [felixgold.investorportal.com.au](https://felixgold.investorportal.com.au). **These previous reports were issued in accordance with the 2012 Edition of the JORC Code.** The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

**JORC REPORTING TABLES**

**Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data**

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as downhole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems.</li> <li>Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reverse Circulation drilling was sampled on 1.52 m (5 feet) intervals from which 2-3kg was split and pulverised / crushed to produce samples for ICP multi-element analysis, high grade Sb analysis and gold analysis by PhotonAssay™</li> <li>Diamond drill core was sampled over downhole lengths between 0.3m and 2.5m (average 1m) to produce samples for ICP multi-element analysis, high grade Sb analysis and gold analysis by PhotonAssay™ . Diamond drill-core sample intervals were based on changes in geology.</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reverse Circulation (RC) holes were drilled with a 76mm (3 inch) face-sampling hammer with 73mm (2.875 inch) drill rods and 102mm (4 inch) casing.</li> <li>Diamond holes were wireline HQ (63.5mm diameter) holes.</li> <li>The diamond drill program reported here was undertaken by C-n-C Drilling LLC utilizing CS 14 skid mounted drill.</li> </ul>

For personal use only

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core was oriented wherever possible for collection of structural data using a Reflex ACTIII</li> <li>The core was reconstructed into continuous runs on a cradle for orientation marking before it was laid in the box at the drill.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></li> <li><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></li> <li><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RC samples were visually assessed for recovery and were considered representative of bedrock intersected.</li> <li>Visual inspection of samples estimated no significant loss of sample from each 1.52m interval.</li> <li>No relationship between sample recovery and reported analyses has been established.</li> <li>Diamond core recovery was determined by measuring the total length of core in the barrel over the run length.</li> <li>Hole depths were checked against the drillers core blocks at the time of processing. Inconsistencies between the logging and the driller's depth measurement blocks were investigated.</li> <li>Diamond core samples are considered dry. The recovery and condition are recorded between every core block. Generally, recovery is 98-100% but on very rare occasions in weathered material or very broken material, recovery was down to 50%.</li> <li>For Diamond drilling, contractors adjust the rate of drilling and method of recovery issues arise</li> <li>No significant sample loss or bias has been noticed</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></li> <li><i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i></li> <li><i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RC chips and diamond core were qualitatively logged for lithology and alteration with semi-quantitative logs for oxide and sulphide mineralisation.</li> <li>RC and diamond holes were logged in for their entire lengths.</li> <li>Logging detail is sufficient to support geological modelling and mineral resource estimation.</li> <li>Representative RC chip samples from each 1.52m interval were placed in chip trays and photographed.</li> <li>All drill core was photographed wet using a digital camera and stored on the site server.</li> </ul>

For personal use only

For personal use only

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core logging included RQD and geotechnical measurements. Structural measurements of veins, fractures and foliation were taken from core using a strip protractor.</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></li> <li><i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></li> <li><i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></li> <li><i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></li> <li><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></li> <li><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RC intervals were sub-sampled using a 3-tier dry sample splitter attached to the drill rig cyclone. Two samples were taken from each 1.52m interval, collecting ~12.5% each of the total sample, ranging in volume from 2-3kg. One sample was retained for archival purposes while the other was sent to the analytical laboratory.</li> <li>Diamond core sampling intervals were determined by the logging geologist, with sampling breaks at major changes in lithology/alteration or mineralisation. Sub-samples were taken by sawing the HQ core in half along its axis using a Dewalt tile saw on-site. One half of the core was bagged for analysis and the other half retained in the core tray.</li> <li>Sample sizes for RC and core samples are considered appropriate for both gold and antimony mineralisation.</li> <li>Quality control procedures for ensuring sample representivity in RC sampling comprised the use of field duplicates and pulp duplicates at a rate of 1 in 20, alternating between the two duplicate types.</li> <li>Quality control procedures for ensuring sample representivity in core sampling comprised the use of coarse crush duplicate splits from half core samples and pulp duplicates at a rate of 1 in 20, alternating between the two duplicate types.</li> <li>Duplicate results show that for RC and diamond drilling sampling is representative for antimony, with variability in results linked to assay methods rather than sampling (see below).</li> </ul>
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></li> <li><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All samples were submitted to MSA Laboratories in Vancouver, Canada for analysis.</li> <li>4 acid digest with ICP-MS finish was used to analyse for a full suite of trace elements: Ag, Al, As, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Ge, Hf, In, K, La, Li, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Nb, Ni, P, Pb, Rb, Re, S, Sb,</li> </ul>

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></li> </ul>	<p>Sc, Se, Sn, Sr, Ta, Te, Th, Ti, Tl, U, V, W, Y, Zn, Zr.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4-Acid ICP-MS has an upper detection limit (UDL) of 1% for antimony. Suspected very high-grade (&gt;10% Sb) samples were flagged in sample submission sheets and analysed using a wet titration method. Samples not flagged as high grade, but which returned above UDL assays for ICP were re-analysed using a peroxide fusion with ICP finish. The cut-off ICP Sb assay for re-analysis by peroxide fusion was changed to 3000ppm after results indicated that volatile loss and insoluble precipitate formation was causing some ICP results to severely under-call the Sb grade.</li> <li>No gold assay results are reported in this announcement, although gold is being analysed using the PhotonAssay™ method due to suspected coarse gold effects noted in earlier drilling programs. 5% of samples are also being cross-checked by screen fire assay.</li> <li>Quality control procedures include the insertion of certified reference materials, coarse blanks (locally sourced sand) and field and pulp duplicates. Acceptable levels of accuracy and precision have been established, notwithstanding the issues with some Sb analyses described above.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></li> <li><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></li> <li><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></li> <li><i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All significant and anomalous intersections are verified by a senior manager during the drill hole validation process.</li> <li>All primary data was collected in the field by Felix Gold contract staff and supplied in digital format to Felix Gold.</li> <li>No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.</li> <li>All data is stored and validated within a Plexer relational database managed by Gad Solutions in Brisbane, Australia. Data undergoes QA/QC validation prior to being accepted and loaded in the database. Assay results are merged when received electronically from the laboratory. A senior geologist reviews the dataset checking for the correct merging of results and that all data has been received and entered. Any adjustments to this data are recorded</li> </ul>

For personal use only

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
		<p>permanently in the database. Digital records of assays are stored electronically.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adjustments have been made to the final assay data reported by the laboratory</li> </ul>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li><i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></li> <li><i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RC and diamond hole collar locations are initially located by handheld GPS to an accuracy of 3m.</li> <li>After completion of drilling, all drill collars are located with a differential GPS system to an accuracy of 10 cm.</li> <li>Locations are given in NAD83/UTM Zone 6N projection.</li> <li>Diagrams and location table are provided in the report.</li> <li>Topographic control is by detailed airphoto, DTM file, and differential GPD</li> <li>Downhole surveys were conducted using an Axis Champ north-seeking gyro tool which collected data points approximately every 3 m downhole.</li> <li>True north azimuths supplied from the gyro were corrected to UTM grid north.</li> </ul>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li><i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></li> <li><i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Variable drill hole spacings were used to adequately test targets and are determined from geochemical, geophysical and geological data with historical drilling information.</li> <li>Data spacing is sufficient to establish geological and grade continuity to a level appropriate for a future update of the current gold-only mineral resource estimate at NW Array with addition of antimony</li> <li>Reported intersections have been composited using a cut-off grade of 0.2% Sb.</li> </ul>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></li> <li><i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill holes are oriented at various angles to mineralised structures, in part due to access restrictions for drill pad locations and also due to the interpreted difference in strike and dip of the main mineralised structures.</li> <li>Although individual holes may not be oriented optimally for sampling some structures, there is no overall sampling bias introduced.</li> </ul>

For personal use only

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	<i>bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	
<b>Sample security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Samples were collected by company personnel on site, to the company logging and cutting office and delivered direct to the preparation laboratory via company personnel. A transport contractor takes the prepared samples to Vancouver.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No audits or reviews have been completed at this early stage of the drilling program.</li> </ul>

## Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></li> <li><i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Treasure Creek Project is located in the Fairbanks Gold Mining District in central Alaska.</li> <li>The Treasure Creek Project area consists of 238 active Alaska State Mining Claims (MCs) and 2 Upland Mining Leases (UMLs) for a total of 11687.31 hectares. There are also 4 pending MCs for a total of 64.75 hectares.</li> <li>The Treasure Creek Project is a consolidation of mining claims and upland mining leases held by Oro Grande Mining Claims LLC (10 MCs and 1 UML), Goldstone Resources LLC (19 MCs and 1 UML), Wally Trudeau (5 MCs), and Felix Gold Ltd (204 MCs).</li> <li>Felix has acquired the mining claims or the exclusive rights to explore and an option to purchase the mining claims.</li> <li>Felix has acquired all requisite operating permits to conduct the current exploration program.</li> </ul>

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gold was first discovered at Fairbanks in 1902, since then the wider area has been the subject of an enormous amount of exploration and placer mining by companies and individual prospectors.</li> <li>Since 1969, the Treasure Creek area has been explored by companies including Cantu Minerals, Mohawk Oil, Aalenian Resources/Silverado Mines, American Copper and Nickel Company (ACNC), Amax, Goldstone/Our Creek (OCMC), Canex Resources, Tri-Con Mining and BHP-Utah.</li> <li>Most of the work was focused on Au-Sb mines at and around Scrafford, and in the eastern third of Felix's current tenure. Several diamond holes were completed in the NW Array prospect area.</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hard-rock gold mineralisation styles in Felix's Treasure Creek prospect are currently dominated by shear- and fault-vein hosted gold ± antimony deposits, including historic mines at Scrafford (Sb). Broad zones of disseminated and stockwork gold mineralisation are also found within Cretaceous age intrusive rocks, such as at Fort Knox (operated by Kinross) and Golden Summit (Freegold Ventures).</li> <li>Gold mineralisation is linked to a causative intrusion of Cretaceous- Tertiary felsic to intermediated composition. Proximity to the intrusion, structural setting and host rock all control the specific style of deposit produced. Antimony mineralisation is also associated with these felsic sill-like bodies.</li> <li>Post-mineralisation cover in the Fairbanks area comprises valley-fill gravels plus locally thick accumulations of wind-blown silt (loess).</li> </ul>

For personal use only

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
<b>Drill hole information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</li> <li>• easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>• elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>• dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>• down hole length and interception depth hole length.</li> <li>• If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Refer to the body of the text of the announcement for all drill hole information.</li> <li>• No material information has been excluded.</li> </ul>
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>• Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>• The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Significant Antimony intercepts are regarded as those having minimum continuous mineralisation of 3.0m @ &gt;0.20% Sb. Assays were aggregated by length-weighted averaging with no top-cutting applied.</li> <li>• A maximum of 3m of internal waste with 3m consecutive waste intervals was allowed during economic compositing, equivalent to 2 1.5m RC samples.</li> <li>• No metal equivalents have been reported.</li> </ul>

For personal use only

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></li> <li>• <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All intercepts quoted are downhole widths.</li> <li>• The geometry of mineralisation with respect to the hole angle varies due to the wide range of drilling azimuths and variable strike and dip of mineralised zones. In general the east-trending vein zones are between 1 and 3 m true width, and the 'black breccia' zone averages about 4-5m true width.</li> <li>• Further drill results should verify the orientations of mineralisation as presented in this announcement.</li> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Diagrams</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Refer to figures in the body of the text.</li> </ul>
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antimony assays for all samples in the reported drill holes are included as an appendix to this announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trenching completed earlier this year and in 2024 confirmed the presence of east-striking and south dipping zones of complex stibnite veining that vary in width and tenor over short strike lengths.</li> <li>• A maiden Mineral Resource estimate was reported on 20th June 2024 for gold mineralisation at NW Array (FXG announcement 20 June 2024). Antimony was not included in the estimate due to lack of assay data</li> <li>• Metallurgical testwork on bulk samples was completed earlier in 2025 on bulk samples from trenching (FXG Announcement 29 May 2025). Testwork achieved 85% Sb recovery, producing 69% Sb grade</li> </ul>

For personal use only

For personal use only

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
		<p>concentrates via gravity and flotation processes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bulk density has been determined by the water immersion method on drill core samples, giving a density for porphyry of 2.59 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and schist of 2.7 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.</li> <li>• Four water monitoring bore holes were drilled as part of the 2025 drilling program and data on groundwater levels has been collected over 2 quarters.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Further work</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></li> <li>• <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The 2025 drill program at NW array is ongoing, mainly targeted at better definition of the known mineralised zones, in particular the high-grade “black breccia”</li> <li>• The mineralised system remains open at depth and along strike to the north and south.</li> </ul>

**APPENDIX: Drillhole Assay Results**

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Interval (m)	Sb %	As ppm
25TCDC014	0	1.1	1.1	0.03	1148
25TCDC014	1.1	2.06	0.96	0.29	1159.8
25TCDC014	2.06	2.77	0.71	0.06	1145.9
25TCDC014	2.77	3.19	0.42	0.07	1269.1
25TCDC014	3.19	3.87	0.68	0.09	1280.7
25TCDC014	3.87	5	1.13	0.04	1856.9
25TCDC014	5	6.06	1.06	0.04	1363.4
25TCDC014	6.06	7.2	1.14	0.02	1230.2
25TCDC014	7.2	8.46	1.26	0.01	1087.5
25TCDC014	8.46	9.27	0.81	0.02	2120.6
25TCDC014	9.27	9.8	0.53	0.04	1870.1
25TCDC014	9.8	10.58	0.78	0.04	1667.9
25TCDC014	10.58	11.17	0.59	0.02	2902.7
25TCDC014	11.17	12.27	1.1	0.01	3054.8
25TCDC014	12.27	13.14	0.87	0.07	3174.2
25TCDC014	13.14	14.17	1.03	0.19	313.2
25TCDC014	14.17	15.24	1.07	0.02	204
25TCDC014	15.24	16.45	1.21	0.04	328
25TCDC014	16.45	17.64	1.19	0.13	203.2
25TCDC014	17.64	18.85	1.21	0.14	141.1
25TCDC014	18.85	19.37	0.52	0.39	289.9
25TCDC014	19.37	20.61	1.24	0.15	208.7
25TCDC014	20.61	21.64	1.03	0.05	183.8
25TCDC014	21.64	22.59	0.95	0.01	421.3
25TCDC014	22.59	23	0.41	0.01	195.9
25TCDC014	23	23.73	0.73	0.01	1357.8
25TCDC014	23.73	24.72	0.99	0.02	1350.2
25TCDC014	24.72	25.32	0.6	0.01	1771.5
25TCDC014	25.32	26.35	1.03	0	1119.7
25TCDC014	26.35	27.27	0.92	0	1762.7
25TCDC014	27.27	28.52	1.25	0	371.4
25TCDC014	28.52	29.65	1.13	0.01	1499.2
25TCDC014	29.65	30.76	1.11	0.01	1386.8
25TCDC014	30.76	31.58	0.82	0.01	1013.8
25TCDC014	31.58	32.6	1.02	0.01	1291.1
25TCDC014	32.6	33.7	1.1	0	447.5
25TCDC014	33.7	34.86	1.16	0.01	696.8
25TCDC014	34.86	35.5	0.64	0.05	4205

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Interval (m)	Sb %	As ppm
25TCDC014	35.5	36.67	1.17	0.02	993.6
25TCDC014	36.67	37.58	0.91	0.01	273.9
25TCDC014	37.58	38.33	0.75	0.01	627.2
25TCDC014	38.33	39.14	0.81	0.01	433.7
25TCDC014	39.14	40.23	1.09	0	699.9
25TCDC014	40.23	41.12	0.89	0	717.6
25TCDC014	41.12	41.45	0.33	0	223.7
25TCDC014	41.45	42.35	0.9	0	409.1
25TCDC014	42.35	43.71	1.36	0.01	547.2
25TCDC014	43.71	44.65	0.94	0	300.1
25TCDC014	44.65	45.6	0.95	0	702.4
25TCDC014	45.6	46.37	0.77	0.01	1440.4
25TCDC014	46.37	46.97	0.6	0	980.7
25TCDC014	46.97	48.4	1.43	0	327.2
25TCDC014	48.4	49.4	1	0	64
25TCDC014	49.4	50.65	1.25	0	58.7
25TCDC014	50.65	51.84	1.19	0	22.3
25TCDC014	51.84	52.95	1.11	0.01	30.3
25TCDC014	52.95	54.14	1.19	0	107
25TCDC014	54.14	55.24	1.1	0	47.9
25TCDC014	55.24	56.27	1.03	0.01	24.5
25TCDC014	56.27	57.3	1.03	0	41.1
25TCDC014	57.3	58.3	1	0	129.2
25TCDC014	58.3	59.4	1.1	0	12.3
25TCDC014	59.4	60.26	0.86	0	11.4
25TCDC014	60.26	60.96	0.7	0	9.3
25TCDC015	0	1.68	1.68	0.06	396.7
25TCDC015	1.68	2.96	1.28	0.04	221.2
25TCDC015	2.96	3.54	0.58	0.01	85.5
25TCDC015	3.54	4.5	0.96	0.05	463.8
25TCDC015	4.5	5.55	1.05	0.03	260.1
25TCDC015	5.55	6.6	1.05	0.04	535.3
25TCDC015	6.6	7.6	1	0.02	140.4
25TCDC015	7.6	8.69	1.09	0.07	647.4
25TCDC015	8.69	9.54	0.85	0.06	671.6
25TCDC015	9.54	10.3	0.76	0.05	627.4
25TCDC015	10.3	11.13	0.83	0.06	554.7
25TCDC015	11.13	11.73	0.6	0.07	592.3
25TCDC015	11.73	12.69	0.96	0.01	405
25TCDC015	12.69	13.72	1.03	0.01	675.2
25TCDC015	13.72	15.02	1.3	0.01	461.5

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Interval (m)	Sb %	As ppm
25TDC015	15.02	15.82	0.8	0.01	498.2
25TDC015	15.82	16.73	0.91	0.01	754.6
25TDC015	16.73	17.19	0.46	0.07	677.4
25TDC015	17.19	17.48	0.29	38.54	743.9
25TDC015	17.48	18	0.52	0.72	256.5
25TDC015	18	18.83	0.83	0.13	1097.3
25TDC015	18.83	19.7	0.87	0.28	935.2
25TDC015	19.7	20.5	0.8	0.1	1048.5
25TDC015	20.5	21.63	1.13	0.07	906.4
25TDC015	21.63	22.45	0.82	0.84	1297.4
25TDC015	22.45	23.53	1.08	0.09	677
25TDC015	23.53	24.65	1.12	0.02	475.5
25TDC015	24.65	25.95	1.3	0.03	997.8
25TDC015	25.95	26.78	0.83	0.05	1144.8
25TDC015	26.78	27.47	0.69	0.04	1435.8
25TDC015	27.47	28.73	1.26	0.04	996.3
25TDC015	28.73	29.57	0.84	0.09	1440.9
25TDC015	29.57	30.7	1.13	0.08	1306.4
25TDC015	30.7	31.65	0.95	0.1	1292.8
25TDC015	31.65	32.41	0.76	0.01	274
25TDC015	32.41	33.44	1.03	0.04	881.1
25TDC015	33.44	34.69	1.25	0.02	958.8
25TDC015	34.69	35.32	0.63	0.02	582
25TDC015	35.32	36.25	0.93	0.02	499.8
25TDC015	36.25	37.78	1.53	0.03	359.1
25TDC015	37.78	38.97	1.19	0.01	307.4
25TDC015	38.97	40.06	1.09	0.01	185.1
25TDC015	40.06	41.05	0.99	0.03	229.5
25TDC015	41.05	41.95	0.9	0.02	541.8
25TDC015	41.95	43.05	1.1	0.01	275.2
25TDC015	43.05	44.16	1.11	0.01	401
25TDC015	44.16	45.14	0.98	0.01	388.5
25TDC015	45.14	46.12	0.98	0.01	370.6
25TDC015	46.12	47.18	1.06	0.01	252.3
25TDC015	47.18	48.36	1.18	0.01	597.5
25TDC015	48.36	49.21	0.85	0.01	567.1
25TDC015	49.21	50.41	1.2	0	515.1
25TDC015	50.41	52.69	2.28	0.01	756.8
25TDC015	52.69	53.67	0.98	0	137.3
25TDC015	53.67	54.47	0.8	0	44.8
25TDC015	54.47	55.03	0.56	0.01	123.3

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Interval (m)	Sb %	As ppm
25TCDC015	55.03	55.86	0.83	0.01	496.1
25TCDC015	55.86	56.52	0.66	0.01	364.3
25TCDC015	56.52	57.12	0.6	0.01	535.5
25TCDC015	57.12	57.91	0.79	0.01	530.1
25TCDC015	57.91	59.09	1.18	0.01	165
25TCDC015	59.09	59.44	0.35	0	385.5
25TCDC015	59.44	60.8	1.36	0.01	416.6
25TCDC015	60.8	61.91	1.11	0.02	226.7
25TCDC015	61.91	62.94	1.03	0.01	288.7
25TCDC015	62.94	64.04	1.1	0.01	218.2
25TCDC015	64.04	65.03	0.99	0	51.8
25TCDC015	65.03	66.2	1.17	0.01	143.4
25TCDC015	66.2	67.06	0.86	0.01	417.4
25TCDC019	0	1.8	1.8	0.05	1036.6
25TCDC019	1.8	3.11	1.31	0.02	990.5
25TCDC019	3.11	4.32	1.21	0.04	848.1
25TCDC019	4.32	5.57	1.25	0.04	1021.6
25TCDC019	5.57	6.65	1.08	0.04	1806.4
25TCDC019	6.65	7.32	0.67	0.1	1681.5
25TCDC019	7.32	7.86	0.54	0.11	1646.5
25TCDC019	7.86	9.05	1.19	0.01	876.7
25TCDC019	9.05	10.21	1.16	0.03	918.3
25TCDC019	10.21	11.31	1.1	0.03	816.3
25TCDC019	11.31	12.4	1.09	0.05	1734.9
25TCDC019	12.4	13.48	1.08	0.05	2056.9
25TCDC019	13.48	14.21	0.73	0.04	1092.5
25TCDC019	14.21	14.65	0.44	0.02	885.9
25TCDC019	14.65	15.5	0.85	0.04	739.7
25TCDC019	15.5	16.2	0.7	0.1	1642.8
25TCDC019	16.2	16.61	0.41	6.51	610.4
25TCDC019	16.61	17.08	0.47	0.05	1822.9
25TCDC019	17.08	18.32	1.24	0.03	1636.7
25TCDC019	18.32	19.51	1.19	0.05	1723.1
25TCDC019	19.51	20.81	1.3	0.05	1714
25TCDC019	20.81	22.02	1.21	0.1	1973
25TCDC019	22.02	23.11	1.09	0.05	1839.8
25TCDC019	23.11	24.27	1.16	0.05	1694.4
25TCDC019	24.27	25.3	1.03	0.02	1410.5
25TCDC019	25.3	26.43	1.13	0.04	926.6
25TCDC019	26.43	27.5	1.07	0.02	1046.4
25TCDC019	27.5	28.32	0.82	0.08	2075.5

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Interval (m)	Sb %	As ppm
25TCDC019	28.32	28.75	0.43	0.03	2461.5
25TCDC019	28.75	29.94	1.19	0.09	253.1
25TCDC019	29.94	31.2	1.26	0.01	2100.2
25TCDC019	31.2	31.95	0.75	0.02	2166
25TCDC019	31.95	32.89	0.94	0.03	3666.5
25TCDC019	32.89	34.17	1.28	0.08	1834.7
25TCDC019	34.17	35.03	0.86	0.02	1728.4
25TCDC019	35.03	35.95	0.92	0.03	1198.1
25TCDC019	35.95	36.88	0.93	0.09	1554.3
25TCDC019	36.88	37.31	0.43	0.31	2209.4
25TCDC019	37.31	38.23	0.92	0.05	1432.6
25TCDC019	38.23	39.25	1.02	0.11	1311.4
25TCDC019	39.25	39.6	0.35	0.76	971.5
25TCDC019	39.6	40.27	0.67	0.03	1509.6
25TCDC019	40.27	40.58	0.31	0.67	2726.1
25TCDC019	40.58	41.7	1.12	0.41	2156.7
25TCDC019	41.7	42.95	1.25	0.03	2699.8
25TCDC019	42.95	43.8	0.85	0.04	2275.4
25TCDC019	43.8	44.93	1.13	0.01	1665.9
25TCDC019	44.93	46.02	1.09	0.02	1962.8
25TCDC019	46.02	47.33	1.31	0.01	2096.6
25TCDC019	47.33	48.62	1.29	0.01	1735.1
25TCDC019	48.62	49.75	1.13	0.02	1860.2
25TCDC019	49.75	50.67	0.92	0.02	1728.9
25TCDC019	50.67	51.82	1.15	0.01	608.7
25TCDC019	51.82	52.41	0.59	0.02	960.5
25TCDC019	52.41	53.17	0.76	0.01	382.6
25TCDC019	53.17	54.08	0.91	0.05	721.8
25TCDC019	54.08	54.8	0.72	0.4	607.4
25TCDC019	54.8	55.39	0.59	2.51	336.5
25TCDC019	55.39	55.79	0.4	30.24	1683
25TCDC019	55.79	56.43	0.64	0.11	511
25TCDC019	56.43	57	0.57	0.64	2294.1
25TCDC019	57	57.55	0.55	0.08	1616.4
25TCDC019	57.55	58.02	0.47	21.83	1072.7
25TCDC019	58.02	59.13	1.11	0.31	1505.7
25TCDC019	59.13	59.55	0.42	0.07	2859.6
25TCDC019	59.55	59.95	0.4	1.25	2128.8
25TCDC019	59.95	61.5	1.55	0.03	1794
25TCDC019	61.5	62.39	0.89	0.03	1210.1
25TCDC019	62.39	63.67	1.28	0.04	1175.7

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Interval (m)	Sb %	As ppm
25TCDC019	63.67	64.87	1.2	0.06	1493.5
25TCDC019	64.87	65.85	0.98	0.07	2369.2
25TCDC019	65.85	66.8	0.95	0.02	1712.6
25TCDC019	66.8	67.92	1.12	0.02	1394.6
25TCDC019	67.92	68.28	0.36	0.01	1847.5
25TCDC019	68.28	69.5	1.22	0.02	2385.5
25TCDC019	69.5	70.41	0.91	0.02	1785.8
25TCDC019	70.41	71.62	1.21	0.03	1487.5
25TCDC019	71.62	72.8	1.18	0.01	1734.2
25TCDC019	72.8	73.9	1.1	0.03	2031.1
25TCDC019	73.9	74.75	0.85	0.01	860.3
25TCDC019	74.75	75.9	1.15	0.01	506.4
25TCDC019	75.9	77.42	1.52	0.02	550.9
25TCDC021	0	1.15	1.15	0.08	632.6
25TCDC021	1.15	2.89	1.74	0.01	1161.7
25TCDC021	2.89	4.39	1.5	0.01	707
25TCDC021	4.39	5.81	1.42	0.01	702.4
25TCDC021	5.81	7.32	1.51	0.01	1795.7
25TCDC021	7.32	7.93	0.61	0.01	2293.4
25TCDC021	7.93	9.37	1.44	0.01	2158.2
25TCDC021	9.37	10.13	0.76	0.01	739.1
25TCDC021	10.13	11.25	1.12	0.01	460.4
25TCDC021	11.25	12.44	1.19	0.01	592.8
25TCDC021	12.44	13.48	1.04	0.02	597.7
25TCDC021	13.48	15.01	1.53	0.01	89.5
25TCDC021	15.01	16.1	1.09	0.01	79.6
25TCDC021	16.1	17.56	1.46	0.02	651.9
25TCDC021	17.56	19.11	1.55	0.03	611.7
25TCDC021	19.11	20.49	1.38	0.04	218.1
25TCDC021	20.49	21.13	0.64	0.11	734.3
25TCDC021	21.13	21.89	0.76	0.25	532.6
25TCDC021	21.89	22.78	0.89	0.09	570.1
25TCDC021	22.78	23.3	0.52	0.18	247.6
25TCDC021	23.3	23.71	0.41	20.6	1038.2
25TCDC021	23.71	24.17	0.46	0.24	717.5
25TCDC021	24.17	25.27	1.1	0.04	894.8
25TCDC021	25.27	26.34	1.07	0.03	1380.3
25TCDC021	26.34	27.18	0.84	0.03	1631.8
25TCDC021	27.18	28.63	1.45	0.08	1238.2
25TCDC021	28.63	29.6	0.97	0.02	439.4
25TCDC021	29.6	30.7	1.1	0.02	295.6

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Interval (m)	Sb %	As ppm
25TCDC021	30.7	31.43	0.73	1.17	625.5
25TCDC021	31.43	32.15	0.72	0.03	500.1
25TCDC021	32.15	33.38	1.23	0.02	581.7
25TCDC021	33.38	34.28	0.9	0.01	499.9
25TCDC021	34.28	35.11	0.83	0.02	1033.9
25TCDC021	35.11	36.06	0.95	0.01	600.6
25TCDC021	36.06	37.26	1.2	0.01	990.4
25TCDC021	37.26	37.98	0.72	0.07	1453.9
25TCDC021	37.98	39.03	1.05	0.02	1372
25TCDC021	39.03	40.13	1.1	0.02	1046.6
25TCDC021	40.13	40.53	0.4	0.02	997.6
25TCDC021	40.53	41	0.47	0.02	2030.3
25TCDC021	41	41.55	0.55	0.03	6493.5
25TCDC021	41.55	42.37	0.82	0.02	7014.2
25TCDC021	42.37	43.25	0.88	0.03	9667.8
25TCDC021	43.25	43.96	0.71	0.03	5576.3
25TCDC021	43.96	44.54	0.58	0.12	6951.8
25TCDC021	44.54	45.01	0.47	0.45	2556.3
25TCDC021	45.01	45.63	0.62	0.41	2445.8
25TCDC021	45.63	46.41	0.78	13.26	1262.3
25TCDC021	46.41	47.02	0.61	2.28	3026.8
25TCDC021	47.02	47.61	0.59	0.13	1550.5
25TCDC021	47.61	48.08	0.47	1.07	1104
25TCDC021	48.08	48.67	0.59	0.19	1509.8
25TCDC021	48.67	49.26	0.59	0.02	350.3
25TCDC021	49.26	50.29	1.03	0.06	1700.5
25TCDC021	50.29	51.18	0.89	0.04	1781
25TCDC021	51.18	52.24	1.06	0.02	1134.1
25TCDC021	52.24	53.48	1.24	0.01	694.7
25TCDC021	53.48	54.83	1.35	0.02	1647.3
25TCDC021	54.83	55.56	0.73	0.02	559.8
25TCDC021	55.56	56.32	0.76	0.02	738
25TCDC021	56.32	57.31	0.99	0.01	331.9
25TCDC021	57.31	58.25	0.94	0.03	1331.7
25TCDC021	58.25	59.78	1.53	0.01	635.6
25TCDC021	59.78	61.36	1.58	0.01	558.8
25TCDC021	61.36	62.8	1.44	0.01	659.9
25TCDC021	62.8	63.89	1.09	0.01	524.6
25TCDC021	63.89	65.79	1.9	0	281.4
25TCDC021	65.79	67.16	1.37	0	364.5
25TCDC021	67.16	69.68	2.52	0.01	432.2

Hole ID	Depth From (m)	Depth To (m)	Interval (m)	Sb %	As ppm
25TCDC021	69.68	71.18	1.5	0	201.5
25TCDC021	71.18	72.62	1.44	0	366.2
25TCDC021	72.62	73.49	0.87	0	493.8
25TCDC021	73.49	75.01	1.52	0	722.8
25TCDC021	75.01	76.17	1.16	0	260.6
25TCDC021	76.17	77.6	1.43	0	638.3
25TCDC021	77.6	79.17	1.57	0	853.7
25TCDC021	79.17	80.18	1.01	0	146
25TCDC021	80.18	81.79	1.61	0.01	126.4
25TCDC021	81.79	82.9	1.11	0.01	246.4
25TCDC021	82.9	84.47	1.57	0	96.4
25TCDC021	84.47	86.08	1.61	0.01	103.2
25TCDC021	86.08	87.42	1.34	0	184

For personal use only