



HISTORIC ARMSTRONG GOLD WORKINGS & QUARTZ VEINS FOUND AT DALGARANGA GOLD PROJECT

- Field reconnaissance has identified historic gold workings at the Armstrong Prospect – previously unrecognised on modern geological maps – along with parallel outcropping quartz veins.
- Armstrong Prospect includes an open pit approximately 60m long x 4m wide x 5m deep, with recorded historic production of 107 tonnes @ 2.5g/t Au (1986). Two additional shallow workings occur along strike to the south of the main Armstrong Prospect pit, surrounded by several mullock and waste rock dump piles.
- **No drilling** has ever been conducted at Armstrong or across the newly mapped iron-rich quartz veins, representing an untested exploration opportunity.
- A 70m-long, up to 5m-wide quartz vein was identified 1.4km northeast of the Armstrong Prospect, running parallel.
- A total of 46 rock chip samples - predominantly quartz-vein and greenstone host-rock material - were collected from the Armstrong Prospect workings and the newly identified northeast quartz vein and are now being assayed.
- Ramelius Resources' recent A\$2.4 billion acquisition of Spartan Resources highlights the strategic importance and growth potential of the Dalgaranga Gold Project area.



Photo 1. Inside Armstrong Prospect pit northeast orientation.

Photo 2. Above Armstrong Prospect pit southwest orientation.

TechGen Metals Limited (“TechGen” or the “Company”) is pleased to provide an update following a field trip to the newly acquired Dalgaranga Gold Project located 475km northeast of Perth and 55km northwest of Mount Magnet in Western Australia. The project consists of Exploration Licence Applications, E59/3024 & E59/3025, adjoining and along strike of Ramelius Resources' Dalgaranga Gold Project (2.97Moz @ 5.61g/t Au; Figures 1 & 2). The licences cover a combined area of 170km² and are located just 8km from Ramelius



Resources' Dalgara processing plant, within a proven gold-producing corridor that has seen limited modern exploration.

TechGen's Managing Director, Ashley Hood, commented: *"On a recently completed reconnaissance field trip to the Dalgara Project area, we were star struck to find such significant historical gold workings in this day and age of the current gold market, and within such close proximity to one of Australia's most recent gold takeovers - Ramelius acquiring Spartan Resources following the Never Never and Pepper high grade gold discoveries.*

There are several small, roughly twenty-metre-deep workings to the south on Ramelius tenure that are recorded; however the main Armstrong pits aren't mentioned or mapped. Then, to find extensive outcropping iron-rich quartz veins to the northeast was impressive. There is no evidence that the Armstrong Prospect workings have ever been drill tested, and only the immediate workings area appears to have been soil-sampled historically. The absence of systematic soil geochemistry sampling over the broader project area is perhaps the most interestingly bizarre thing I've come across in the field - it's like finding a golden unicorn.

The main pit at the Armstrong Prospect was reviewed and sampled in 1986 by WMC. At the time, the host gabbroic rock was considered too fresh, and the location too far from an operating mill to pursue the project, with no access to the northern tenement also posing a major barrier.

In the pit wall, multiple quartz veins in a northeast striking shear zone could be clearly seen, opening up the area to the northeast for possible along-strike extensions. There also appears to be an anticlinal structure in the northern wall, with one of the main quartz veins running into the floor of the pit at depth.

This asset has cost the company nothing more than the pegging fees, it has the potential to be a nice win for our shareholders as we progress in a bull gold market. However, for now, there's still a bit of water to go under the bridge at Dalgara, as we have a couple of copper and gold priority projects to drill test early in 2026."



Photo 3. Above Armstrong Prospect pit southeast orientation.



4.



6.



5.



7.

Photo 4. Quartz veining in shear zone inside Armstrong Prospect pit.
Photo 5. Mullock & waste rock dump piles, Armstrong Prospect pit.

Photo 6. Iron-rich quartz vein sample (DR044) 1.4km NE of Armstrong.
Photo 7. Outcropping quartz vein 1.4km NE of Armstrong Prospect pit.




Figure 1: Location of E59/3024 & E59/3025 Dalgara Project over geology.

The Dalgara Gold Project is located in the Archean Dalgara Greenstone Belt. Exploration Licence Applications E59/3024 & E59/3025 adjoin Ramelius Resources Dalgara Gold Project (2.97Moz @ 5.61g/t Au) on the northeast and southwest sides. The Never Never and Pepper Lode gold discoveries, which form part of Ramelius's Dalgara Gold Project, were made by Spartan Resources and are two of the highest grade +500,000oz Au discoveries made in Western Australia in recent times.

A recent field visit to the Company's Dalgara Gold Project identified historic gold workings in the project area, not shown on modern day geological maps, along with outcropping quartz veins parallel to the line of historic gold workings.

Historic gold workings at the Armstrong Prospect include an open pit, approximately 60m long x 4m wide x up to 5m deep, which has recorded historic production of 107 tonnes @ 2.5g/t Au from quartz vein material (Photos 1, 2 & 3). At the northern end of the Armstrong Prospect pit quartz veins can be seen within a northeast striking shear zone that continue towards the northeast (Photo 4). Two additional shallow workings occur in the project area along strike to the south of the main Armstrong Prospect pit. The workings



are surrounded by several mullock and waste rock dump rock piles (Photo 5). Quartz veining is hosted by sheared mafic volcanic and intrusive units. Six rock chip samples are recorded by Western Mining Corporation from the area of the workings and assayed 0.5 g/t (Quartz vein), 0.1g/t Au (Gabbroic wall rock), 0.3g/t Au (Hanging Wall Quartz Vein), 0.2g/t Au (Gabbroic Wall Rock), **2.2g/t Au** (Footwall Quartz Stockwork) & **4.4g/t Au** (Quartz Vein).

Surface soil geochemistry sampling on a close spaced grid was completed by Western Mining Corporation and Mr Sievwright in the Armstrong Prospect area, however this sampling only continues a couple of hundred metres further north of the workings. A gold soil anomaly corresponding with the current Armstrong pit area was identified with peak values of 15ppb & 13ppb Au against a background level closer to 1ppb Au.

An outcropping quartz vein approximately 70m in length and up to 5m wide was identified 1.4km northeast of the Armstrong Prospect and running in a parallel orientation (Photos 6 & 7). Company personnel collected several rock chip samples from this newly identified vein outcrop to initially see if it is gold bearing.

A total of forty-six rock chip samples were collected of dominantly quartz vein and wall rock material from the Armstrong Prospect workings and the newly identified quartz vein to the northeast of Armstrong and are currently being assayed in Perth. Future work at the project is likely to include geological mapping and soil sampling.

References

Annual Technical Report, Prospecting Licence 59/569 "Armstrong" Mt Magnet Area. 26 October 1987 to 6 September 1988. Western Mining Corporation Limited (WAMEX A26845).

RMS ASX Announcement "Precious Metals Summit presentation" – 9/09/2025.

RMS ASX Announcement "Ramelius Completes Acquisition of Spartan" – 31/07/2025.

RMS ASX Announcement "Transformational Combination of Ramelius and Spartan" – 17/03/2025.

Watkins, K. P., Tyler, I. M. & Hickman, A. H., 1987. Cue, Western Australia. 1:250,000 Geological Series - Explanatory Notes. Geological Survey of Western Australia.




Figure 2: Location of E59/3024 & E59/3025 Dalgaranga Project over magnetics.

ENDS.



About TechGen Metals Limited



TechGen is an Australian registered exploration Company with a primary focus on exploring and developing its copper, gold, and antimony projects strategically located in highly prospective geological regions in WA, the NT and NSW.

For more information, please visit our website: www.techgenmetals.com.au

Authorisation

For the purpose of Listing Rule 15.5, this announcement has been authorised for release by the Board of Directors of TechGen Metals Limited.

Competent Person Statement

The information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results is based on and fairly represents information compiled and reviewed by Andrew Jones, a Competent Person who is a member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM). Andrew Jones is employed as a Director of TechGen Metals Limited. Andrew Jones has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the Australasian Code of Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Andrew Jones consents to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based on his work in the form and context in which it appears.



Previously Reported Information

Any information in this announcement that references previous exploration results is extracted from previous ASX Announcements made by the Company.

Cautionary statement

Certain information in this announcement may contain references to visual results. The Company draws attention to the inherent uncertainty in reporting visual results. Visual estimates of mineral abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analyses where concentrations or grades are the factor of principal economic interest. Visual estimates also potentially provide no information regarding impurities or deleterious physical properties relevant to valuations.

Forward Looking Statements

Certain information in this document refers to the intentions of TechGen, however these are not intended to be forecasts, forward looking statements, or statements about the future matters for the purposes of the Corporations Act or any other applicable law. Statements regarding plans with respect to TechGen's projects are forward looking statements and can generally be identified using words such as 'project', 'foresee', 'plan', 'expect', 'aim', 'intend', 'anticipate', 'believe', 'estimate', 'may', 'should', 'will' or similar expressions. There can be no assurance that the TechGen's plans for its projects will proceed as expected and there can be no assurance of future events which are subject to risk, uncertainties and other actions that may cause TechGen's actual results, performance, or achievements to differ from those referred to in this document. While the information contained in this document has been prepared in good faith, there can be given no assurance or guarantee that the occurrence of these events referred to in the document will occur as contemplated. Accordingly, to the maximum extent permitted by law, TechGen and any of its affiliates and their directors, officers, employees, agents and advisors disclaim any liability whether direct or indirect, express or limited, contractual, tortious, statutory or otherwise, in respect of, the accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information in this document, or likelihood of fulfilment of any forward-looking statement or any event or results expressed or implied in any forward-looking statement; and do not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information in this document, or likelihood of fulfilment of any forward-looking statement or any event or results expressed or implied in any forward-looking statement; and disclaim all responsibility and liability for these forward-looking statements (including, without limitation, liability for negligence).

For further information, please contact:

Mr Ashley Hood, Managing Director

P: +61 8 9481 0389

E: admin@techgenmetals.com.au

www.techgenmetals.com.au

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TechGen rock chip samples are of average 1kg weight. The rock chip samples were delivered to ALS Laboratories in Perth. Samples were crushed and pulverised. Samples were assayed by ICP-MS, ICP-AES and Fire Assay. The laboratory uses internal standards to ensure quality control. Previous work considered to be done to industry standard.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling discussed.
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling discussed.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rock chip samples had rock description recorded.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TechGen rock chip sample weights averaged 1kg and these are considered appropriate. The samples were taken from outcrop areas in the field. No compositing of samples was undertaken. The rock chip samples were placed in a pre-numbered calico bag and submitted to ALS Laboratories in Perth. Sample preparation involved drying and pulverising of the whole sample. Laboratory repeats and standards were used. Sample sizes are considered appropriate for the grain size of the material sampled.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The samples were delivered to ALS Laboratories in Perth. Samples were crushed and pulverised. Rock chip samples were assayed for Au by Fire assay and multi-elements by ICP following a four acid digest (Au-AA24 & ME-ICP61). The laboratory used internal standards to ensure quality control. The assaying and laboratory procedures used are considered appropriate for the material tested.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>and precision have been established.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No geophysical tools were used in determining element concentrations.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling discussed.
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rock chip sample coordinates were taken from a Garmin hand held GPS unit. The grid system used is GDA94/MGA94 Zone 50. Topographic control is considered adequate.
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rock chip sampling of outcrops was undertaken at varying locations across the project. No Resource or Ore Reserve estimates are presented. No sample compositing applied.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quartz veining sampled generally were oriented northeast. No sampling bias from the orientation of the sampling is believed to exist. No drilling discussed.
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The measures taken to ensure sample security. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples were taken and delivered to ALS Laboratories by Company personnel.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No formal audit has been completed on the data being reported.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dalgaranga Project is on exploration licence applications E59/3024 & E59/3025 covering a combined area of 170km². The Native Title Claimant Group in the project area is the Wajarri Yamatji Aboriginal Corporation (WAD6033/1998). Parts of the project area sit within the "Dalgaranga A Class Reserve" and it is unlikely that exploration will be able to be undertaken in these areas.
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project area has been explored since the 1960's although only minor work is recorded in the current project area. The area has often been held as part of much larger tenement packages with work focussed elsewhere. Companies who have explored the area include Amax Exploration (Australia) & Consolidated Goldfields Australia in 1968 looking for base metals, BHP from 1969 – 1973 looking for base metals, Samantha Mines NL & Amax Exploration in JV in 1976, Carpentaria Exploration in 1975 – 1983 looking for base metals and CRA Exploration from 1983 – 1991.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mr Kevin Seivwright and Western Mining Corporation undertook work in the Armstrong Prospect area which included the mining of 107tonnes @ 2.5g/t Au from the Armstrong Pit, limited rock chip sampling and limited soil sampling immediate to the Armstrong Prospect area.
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Projects located in the Archean Dalgarranga Greenstone Belt in the Yilgarn Craton of Western Australia. Rock units include mafic, ultramafic and felsic volcanics along with internal and external granitoids. Project is targeting gold mineralisation although is also considered prospective for VMS base metal and pegmatite hosted Li-Ta.
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling discussed.
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No data aggregation for rock chip samples.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No drilling discussed.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suitable diagrams, photos and tables have been included in the body of the report.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All available data is discussed.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All meaningful and material exploration data has been discussed and no new exploration data is known.
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Future work at the project is likely to include geological mapping and soil sampling.