

27 November 2025

## Ruddygore Project – Torpy’s Drilling Encounters further High-Grade Mineralisation.

### HIGHLIGHTS

- **First ever reverse circulation (RC) drilling at the historical Torpy’s silver-lead-zinc mine continues to encounter significant zones of massive sulphides.**
- **Drill hole BTPRC004 intersected another high-grade lens of galena and sphalerite:**
  - **29m @ 10% sphalerite and 5% galena from 101m including 15m @ 20% sphalerite and 10% galena from 101m<sup>1</sup>**
- **Both BTPRC002 and BTPRC004 targeted a potential “shoot” at the intersection of two faults and confirmed extensions to the historic mine at shallow depths.**
- **Drilling has now paused at Torpy’s in order to undertake a downhole EM survey to assist with follow-up targeting of these high-grade lenses.**
- **Drilling set to commence at the Maniopota lead-zinc-silver-copper gold prospect, west of Torpys, with follow-up drilling at Torpy’s in December, weather dependent**

**Ballymore Resources’ (ASX:BMR) is pleased to announce that it has encountered further visually significant lead-zinc mineralisation<sup>1</sup> in its maiden RC drilling campaign at the Torpy’s silver mine, near Chillagoe.**

Torpy’s has not been drilled since a limited diamond core program was conducted in 1977. The galena-sphalerite ores historically mined at Torpy’s Crooked Creek mine were extracted primarily for the associated high-grade silver mineralisation and it is expected that silver and other critical minerals will be associated with these massive sulphide lenses.

#### **Ballymore Managing Director, Mr David A-Izzeddin, said:**

*“Ballymore continues to encounter massive sulphide mineralisation with the fourth hole (BTPRC004) reporting further significant galena and sphalerite. This hole tested the same structural target down-dip from BTPRC002 and further supports our geological model. Drilling has already potentially encountered two lenses of high-grade lead and zinc, and we believe that there is high potential to locate more of these high-value lenses in the local area.*

<sup>1</sup> **Cautionary statement:** Visual estimates of mineral abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analyses where concentrations or grades are the factor of principal economic interest. Visual estimate logs are subjective in nature and potentially provide no information regarding impurities or deleterious physical properties relevant to valuations.

*Based on visual estimates we believe that we have intersected strong lead and zinc mineralisation. We also expect significant silver to be associated with the base metal mineralisation as was the case in the Torpy's silver mine, which averaged 435 g/t Ag. To assist with this endeavour, Ballymore has briefly paused drilling in order to undertake downhole EM surveys on the first three holes and delineate potential extensions and nearby lenses.*

*While awaiting the results of the downhole EM survey, the drill rig will complete a small initial drill program at our Maniopota prospect, located west of Torpy's. This is another exciting lead-zinc-silver-copper-gold prospect in a major skarn alteration zone within the same corridor at the Red Dome and Mungana gold-base metal mines.*

*Elsewhere, development work continues at Dittmer and drilling of the Seventy Mile Mount breccia gold target, adjacent to the historic Mount Leyshon gold mine, south of Charters Towers, is progressing. Diamond drill hole, BSMDD006, has progressed to 420m depth and has encountered broad zones of hydrothermal breccia and veining with associated sulphide mineralisation. In light of the mineralisation encountered in this hole, a second hole will be drilled. The significant progress being made at Torpy's and Seventy Mile Mount further demonstrates the quality of the Ballymore portfolio and the results of both programs are eagerly awaited."*

### **About Torpy's Drilling**


Ballymore's preliminary RC drilling program at Torpy's Crooked Creek has continued to deliver encouraging results with the fourth hole of the program (BTPRC004) intersecting another significant intersection of galena and sphalerite mineralisation. Mapping by the Company of the mine area recognised two significant faults striking northwest and north-northeast that intersect in the vicinity of the main pit. Ballymore interpreted that the mineralisation is hosted within structural "shoots" localised at the intersections of these faults and plunge moderately towards the south (i.e. 50° towards 160).

Drill hole BTPRC002 was the first hole to test the down-plunge extension to this structure, and intersected a package of greywacke sediments with minor quartzite interbeds before encountering spectacular massive to semi-massive sulphide mineralisation dominated by galena (a lead ore mineral typically comprising 78% lead) and sphalerite (a zinc ore mineral typically comprising 67% zinc) along with minor quartz and carbonate veins. Logged drill intersections in BTPRC002 reported individual 1m samples up to **30% sphalerite and 30% galena**. Significant intervals of sulphide mineralisation have been logged with visual estimates of:

- **6m @ ~18% sphalerite and 13% galena from 87m**
  - **Including 3m @ ~ 27% sphalerite, 20% galena from 87m<sup>2</sup>**
- **15m @ ~8% sphalerite and 7% galena from 125m<sup>2</sup>**
  - **Including 3m @ ~ 9% sphalerite, 17% galena from 136m<sup>2</sup>**

---

<sup>2</sup> **Cautionary statement:** Visual estimates of mineral abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analyses where concentrations or grades are the factor of principal economic interest. Visual estimate logs are subjective in nature and potentially provide no information regarding impurities or deleterious physical properties relevant to valuations.



**Figure 1** – Airphoto of the Torpy's Crooked Creek prospect showing modelled EM plates (red), mapped faults (yellow), interpreted plunging shoot (red arrow) and Ballymore drill holes.

Drill hole BTPRC004 was drilled behind BTPRC002 to test the down-plunge extension to mineralisation encountered in BTPRC002. The hole intersected greywackes and minor quartzite interbeds before encountering another significant lens of massive to semi-massive sulphide mineralisation dominated by galena and sphalerite. The hole encountered a broader and potentially higher-grade intersection than was encountered in BTPRC002:

- **29m @ 10% sphalerite and 5% galena from 101m**
- **Including 15m @ 20% sphalerite and 10% galena from 101m<sup>3</sup>**


Individual sample intervals logged in this hole have reported the high visual estimates for galena and sphalerite up to **30% galena and 40% sphalerite**. A summary of visual estimates on a metre-by-metre basis is tabulated below in Table 1.

<sup>3</sup> **Cautionary statement:** Visual estimates of mineral abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analyses where concentrations or grades are the factor of principal economic interest. Visual estimate logs are subjective in nature and potentially provide no information regarding impurities or deleterious physical properties relevant to valuations.

**Table 1** – Estimate of Mineral Abundances for mineralised intervals in drillhole BTPRC002<sup>2</sup>.

Hole	From	To	Interval (m)	Chalcopyrite (%)	Galena (%)	Sphalerite (%)	Pyrite (%)	Quartz (%)	Carbonate (%)
BTPRC002	86	87	1		Tr	1			
BTPRC002	87	88	1	Tr	30	30		<1	
BTPRC002	88	89	1		20	30		1	
BTPRC002	89	90	1	Tr	10	20		<1	
BTPRC002	90	91	1	Tr	6	11			
BTPRC002	91	92	1	Tr	8	10			
BTPRC002	92	93	1	Tr	1	5			1
BTPRC002	93	94	1		1	1			3
BTPRC002	94	95	1		1	1			
BTPRC002	95	96	1		3	5			
BTPRC002	125	126	1		3	2			
BTPRC002	126	127	1	Tr	Tr	Tr			
BTPRC002	127	128	1		Tr	4			
BTPRC002	128	129	1		5	12			
BTPRC002	129	130	1		5	9			
BTPRC002	130	131	1		10	10			
BTPRC002	131	132	1		5	10			
BTPRC002	132	133	1	Tr	1	30			1
BTPRC002	133	134	1		3	7			<1
BTPRC002	134	135	1		10	6			
BTPRC002	135	136	1		3	3			
BTPRC002	136	137	1		18	7			1
BTPRC002	137	138	1	2	23	10	1		
BTPRC002	138	139	1	1	10	10			
BTPRC002	139	140	1	Tr	3	5			
BTPRC002	140	141	1		1	1			
BTPRC004	101	102	1	1	1	5			
BTPRC004	102	103	1	Tr	Tr	10			
BTPRC004	103	104	1	Tr	20	30			
BTPRC004	104	105	1		Tr	5			
BTPRC004	105	106	1		Tr	10			
BTPRC004	106	107	1			2			
BTPRC004	107	108	1		5	10			
BTPRC004	108	109	1	Tr	25	40			
BTPRC004	109	110	1		15	40			
BTPRC004	110	111	1		30	40			
BTPRC004	111	112	1	Tr	30	20			
BTPRC004	112	113	1	1	30	20			
BTPRC004	113	114	1		5	3			
BTPRC004	114	115	1		tr	tr			
BTPRC004	115	116	1		2	1			

The hole deviated during drilling from planned trace and failed to encounter the second lens, that had previously been intersected in BTPRC002. In addition, drill hole BTPRC001 and BTPRC003 both targeted a modelled conductor plate based on the CRA Exploration EM survey completed in 1995. Both holes intersected a sequence of greywacke sediments with minor quartzite interbeds and only reported weak pyrite mineralisation and no significant base metal mineralisation. The source of the modelled conductor has not been explained in either drill hole and a downhole EM survey is required to assist in determining the source of these modelled conductors.



**Figure 2** – Torpy's Cross Section 258,220mE looking west, showing historic workings, drill traces massive sulphide lenses.

As a result, drilling has now been paused at Torpy's while we undertake a downhole EM survey of the initial holes of the Torpy's drill program. These surveys will assist with targeting potential extensions to known massive sulphide mineralisation as well as possible off-hole conductors associated with potential new lenses of mineralisation.

In the meantime, drilling will commence at the Maniopota polymetallic skarn target, located 12km west-northwest of Torpy's. The Maniopota area hosts extensive historic mine workings and field work has recognised high-grade gold-base metal mineralisation with rock chips reporting up to **22.20% Cu, 31.20% Pb, 13.80% Zn, 1.07 g/t Au and 585 g/t Ag<sup>4</sup>**.

Upon completion of the Maniopota drilling program, the drill rig will return to Torpy's, weather permitting.

<sup>4</sup> Refer to ASX announcement - 13 April 2022 "High-grade rock chips confirm polymetallic potential at Maniopota"

For personal use only

## About Ruddygore Project

The Ruddygore Project is located adjacent to the town of Chillagoe in North Queensland and approximately 150 km west of Cairns. It covers an area of 556 km<sup>2</sup>. Historically, Chillagoe was a significant mining and smelting centre that was most active from 1888 to 1927, prior to further substantial production of gold, copper and silver from the Red Dome mine from 1986 to 1997.

The Ruddygore Project area hosts a range of different deposit styles including porphyry copper-gold deposits (e.g., Ruddygore), skarn-hosted copper-gold-lead-zinc skarn deposits (e.g., Red Dome, Mungana, Maniopota), sediment-hosted massive sulphide lead-zinc-silver deposits (e.g., Torpy's Crooked Creek), tungsten-molybdenum greisen deposits and other intrusive-related gold system (IRGS) deposits (e.g., Kidston). The Project area is poorly explored, and Ballymore is systematically applying modern exploration methods to test these historic mines and new targets with the aim of delineating bulk tonnage gold and base metal deposits

---

## Planned Activities

The Company is well funded with substantial work programs planned for 2025. Planned works include the following:

- November 2025 Development activities underway at Dittmer historic workings and will continue during November establishing modern access to historic workings to establish trial mining opportunities and establish take off point of southern development expansion pending grant of the expanding mining lease. (Dittmer Project)
- November 2025 Commence Maniopota drilling program (Ruddygore Project)
- December 2025 Complete Seventy Mile Mount drilling program (Ravenswood Project)

**Approved by the Board of Ballymore Resources Limited.**

### For further information:

**David A-Izzeddin**  
Managing Director  
daizzeddin@ballymoreres.com

**Gareth Quinn**  
Media and Investor Relations  
gareth@republicir.com.au  
0417 711 108

---

---

## Competent Persons Statement

The information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled or reviewed by Mr David A-Izzeddin. Mr A-Izzeddin is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Geoscientists and is a Director and an employee of the Company. Mr A-Izzeddin has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr A-Izzeddin consents to the inclusion in the announcement of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it applies. The Exploration Targets described in this announcement are conceptual in nature and there is insufficient information to establish whether further exploration will result in the determination of Mineral Resources.

## Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements made during or in connection with this statement contain or comprise certain forward-looking statements regarding the Company's Mineral Resources, exploration operations and other economic performance and financial conditions as well as general market outlook. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, such expectations are only predictions and are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties which could cause actual values, results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed, implied or projected in any forward-looking statements and no assurance can be given that such expectations will prove to have been correct.


Accordingly, results could differ materially from those set out in the forward-looking statements as a result of, among other factors, changes in economic and market conditions, delays or changes in project development, success of business and operating initiatives, changes in the regulatory environment and other government actions, fluctuations in commodity prices and exchange rates and business and operational risk management. Except for statutory liability which cannot be excluded, each of the Company, its officers, employees and advisors expressly disclaim any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the material contained in this statement and excludes all liability whatsoever (including in negligence) for any loss or damage which may be suffered by any person as a consequence of any information in this statement or any error or omission. The Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after today's date or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events other than required by the Corporations Act and ASX Listing Rules. Accordingly, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement.

For personal use only

## About Ballymore Resources (ASX:BMR)

Ballymore holds a portfolio of exploration and development projects in prolific Queensland mineral belts that are highly prospective for gold and base metals. These consist of two granted Mining Leases (MLs) and fourteen Exploration Permits over four project areas at Dittmer, Ruddygore, Ravenswood, Mount Molloy. The total area covered by the tenements is 1,456 km<sup>2</sup>.

Known deposits in north-east Queensland include Kidston (5 Moz Au), Ravenswood/Mount Wright (5.8 Moz Au), Mount Leyshon (3.8 Moz Au), Red Dome/Mungana (3.2 Moz Au) and Mt Morgan (17 Moz Au and 239 Kt Cu). The deposits occur in a wide range of geological settings including porphyries, breccias, skarns and veins.



### Board

Andrew Greville, Chairman  
David A-Izzeddin, Managing Director  
Andrew Gilbert, Director – Operations  
Nick Jorss, Non-Executive Director

### Head Office

Suite 606, Level 6  
10 Market St Brisbane QLD 4000  
Phone +617 3212 6299  
[ballymoreresources.com](http://ballymoreresources.com)

## APPENDIX 1. RUDDYGORE – JORC CODE TABLE 1 CHECKLIST OF ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING CRITERIA

### Sampling Techniques and Data

CRITERIA	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
SAMPLING TECHNIQUES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g., cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exploration has been undertaken at the Project since the early 1900s. Sampling methods have included surface rock chip and trenching, channel samples taken from underground exposures, soil, and stream sediment samples, together with drill hole samples comprising open hole percussion, RC percussion, and diamond core samples.</li> <li>Geochemistry from soil and stream sediment samples is used semi-quantitatively to guide further exploration and is not used for Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The accuracy of rock chip geochemistry is generally high but these samples are spot samples and generally not used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The accuracy of trench and channel geochemistry is generally high. These samples are regularly used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The quality of open hole percussion drilling is generally low because there is a likelihood of contamination of samples. Consequently, these samples are generally used to guide further exploration and are not used for Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The quality of RC percussion drilling is generally medium – high because the method significantly reduces the potential of contamination, unless there is a lot of groundwater or badly broken ground. Consequently, these samples can be representative of the interval drilled and can be used for Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The quality of diamond coring is generally medium – high because the method is designed to sample the rock mass effectively in most conditions. Consequently, these samples can be representative of the interval drilled and can be used for Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Ballymore stream sediment samples collected were screened to -80# with a 150 g sample collected. Soil samples were collected on a grid pattern. The top 10 cm of cover material was removed and regolith was sieved to -80# with a 150 g sample collected. Rock chip samples were collected from outcrop, subcrop, float material, as well as mullock samples.</li> <li>Ballymore completed a SkyTEM helicopter-borne, time-domain EM survey at Ruddygore. A total of 567.47 line-kms of AEM were flown at 200m spacing in a NE-SW orientation. The SkyTEM312HP system uniquely acquires at transmitter frequencies as low as 12.5Hz, using a high-power square wave form for enhanced resolution, a wide transmitter pulse width for greater target energisation, and long transmitter OFF times for imaging deep and conductive targets.</li> </ul>

CRITERIA	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g., 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g., submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No information is available documenting measures to ensure sample representivity for surface sampling methods collected prior to Ballymore. These methods are not used for Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Ballymore collected field duplicates during its soil sampling program to monitor sample representivity.</li> <li>Trench and channel sampling is an established method designed to deliver a representative sample of the interval being sampled.</li> <li>RC drilling is an established method designed to minimise drilling-induced contamination of samples, aimed to deliver a representative sample of the interval being drilled. Diamond drilling is also an established method aimed at collecting representative samples of the interval being drilled.</li> <li>Economic gold mineralisation is measured in terms of parts per million and therefore rigorous sampling techniques must be adopted to ensure quantitative, precise measurements of gold concentration. If gold is present as medium – coarse grains, the entire sampling, sub-sampling, and analytical process must be more stringent.</li> <li>Where the main mineralisation is copper, this is measured as a percentage and therefore sampling techniques can be somewhat less rigorous than for gold.</li> <li>At Ruddygore, the main target is copper (Ruddygore Prospect) and silver-lead-zinc-copper-gold (Maniopota and Torpy's Crooked Creek Prospect). Procedures used to manage sampling issues are documented elsewhere in relevant sub-sections of this table.</li> </ul>
DRILLING TECHNIQUES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (e.g., core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g., core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit, or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A number of drilling programs have been recorded across the Project area. Ballymore had not completed any drilling on the Project at the time of the rock chip sampling.</li> <li>Most drilling was reported to be diamond but is inconsistently documented.</li> <li>Between 1959 and 1995 a total of 54 diamond and percussion drill holes have been completed within the Ruddygore Project area for 4,138.6m. Drilling has focussed on the Ruddygore mine area (26 holes for 1,631m), Maniopota (14 holes for 1,059m), Torpy's Crooked Creek (2 holes for 421.6m) and Metal Creek (12 holes for 1,027m).</li> <li>Ballymore completed six RC / diamond drillholes for 1,799.92m including 621.4m of 5¼" RC and 1,178.52m of HQ triple tube size in 2022. All holes were oriented using an Ace instrument.</li> <li>Ballymore has completed an RC drill program at Torpy's Crooked Creek.</li> </ul>
DRILL SAMPLE RECOVERY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For most programs, no information is available documenting if sample recovery was routinely recorded. MIM (1960) reported core recoveries of typically &gt;95% at Ruddygore, as did Le Nickel (1977) at Torpy's Crooked Creek.</li> <li>No assessment of sample recovery has been made for historic drilling.</li> </ul>

CRITERIA	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sample recovery for Ballymore diamond drilling in 2022 was measured on a per-run basis and generally reported to be greater than 99%.</li> <li>No information is available documenting measures to maximise sample recovery or ensure collection of representative samples.</li> <li>Ballymore has utilised triple tube for diamond drilling to maximise recovery.</li> <li>No assessment has been completed to determine if there is a relationship between sample recovery and grade, and whether there is any potential for sample bias associated with the drilling used to date.</li> </ul>
LOGGING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Most historic drill logs document logging for lithology, structure, alteration, mineralisation, and veining. No core photography is available.</li> <li>Logging information for historic drilling is possibly adequate to support future Mineral Resource estimation but will be reassessed if required.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling: drill core was logged for lithology, structure, alteration, mineralisation, and veining, while percussion chips were logged for lithology, alteration and mineralisation, which is deemed to be appropriate for the style of mineralisation and the lithologies encountered. All core was photographed. Logging information is adequate to support Mineral Resource estimation. Information to support geotechnical studies is available.</li> <li>Logging of core is mostly qualitative, except for some semi-quantitative logging of sulphide content, quartz veining, RQD, and geotechnical parameters.</li> <li>Geological logs were completed for all drilled intervals.</li> </ul>
SUB-SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND SAMPLE PREPARATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality, and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No information is available on moisture content of non-core samples or how the drilled material was sampled for historic drilling.</li> <li>No details of the laboratory preparation of samples were recorded for historic drilling. It is assumed that sample preparation methods used by all commercial laboratories followed the basic steps of drying, crushing, and pulverising, but details of the amount of the sample crushed and pulverised are not known. Therefore, it is not possible to assess the quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation techniques.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling: Ballymore cut core samples in half or quarter using a diamond saw and where appropriate used geological contacts or mineralisation to define sample intervals.</li> <li>No information is available on moisture content of non-core samples or how the drilled material was sampled for historic drilling.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling: Sampling was collected via riffle splitting; RC drilling was stopped when water was encountered and holes were switched to diamond core..</li> <li>No details of the laboratory preparation of samples were recorded for historic drilling. It is assumed that sample preparation methods used by all commercial laboratories followed the basic steps</li> </ul>

CRITERIA	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<p>of drying, crushing, and pulverising, but details of the amount of the sample crushed and pulverised are not known. Therefore, it is not possible to assess the quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation techniques.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ballymore drilling: Half core was submitted to the laboratory, generally 2 – 3 kg per sample. All of the core was dried, crushed to -6 mm, then pulverised to 85% - 75 µm. This method is considered appropriate for mineralisation that may have visible gold mineralisation.</li> <li>No information has been recorded that documents quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples for historic drilling.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling: Drill core samples of cut core were consistently taken from the same side of the orientation line on the core to maintain consistency. All of the sample was crushed and pulverised to maximise sample representativity. Pulverised samples were tested for compliance to grinding specifications at the rate of 1 in 40</li> <li>No information has been recorded for historic drilling that documents measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling: QA/QC procedures included the insertion of quarter core field duplicates at the insertion rate of 1 in 20 samples. Field blanks were also submitted to the laboratory.</li> <li>No formal assessment has been undertaken to quantify the appropriate sample size required for good quality determination of gold or base metal content, given the nature of the gold and base metal mineralisation.</li> </ul>
<p>QUALITY OF ASSAY DATA AND LABORATORY TESTS</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No information has been recorded that documents the nature, quality, and appropriateness of assaying methods used for any of the drilling programs.</li> <li>Ballymore soil, stream and rock chip samples were analysed at ALS Townsville using a multi-element suite by aqua regia digestion and ICP-MS finish. For most elements, this is considered as a total analysis. Gold was analysed with a 50 g charge used for fire assay with an ICP-AES determination. Normally the gold analysis would be considered a total analysis.</li> <li>Ballymore used a pXRF instrument for its Ruddygore, Maniopota and Torpy's Crooked Creek soil programs. Soil samples were sieved to -80# and a 150 g sample was collected. Samples were analysed using an Olympus Vanta C Series (TL-WN725N) portable XRF analyser. Samples were analysed for Ag, As, Bi, Ca, Cd, Cl, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, K, Mn, Mo, Nb, Ni, P, Pb, Rb, S, Sb, Se, Sn, Sr, Th, Ti, U, V, W, Y, Zn, Zr.</li> <li>The pXRF instrument is calibrated and serviced annually, with daily calibration completed as a minimum. At the start of each sampling session, standards are analysed. Sample material remains in storage for analytical re-assay as required.</li> <li>The Ruddygore Dipole-Dipole IP survey completed at Ruddygore prospect by Ballymore in September-October 2021 was undertaken using a GDD Model TX 4 20A/5000W/2400V transmitter</li> </ul>

CRITERIA	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g., standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e., lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<p>and Smartem 16 Channel receiver. Seven 3km lines were surveyed. The northern most traverse was collected using a 50m Dipole-Dipole (Tx &amp; Rx) configuration to an “n” level of n=10. The remaining six traverses were collected using a 100m Dipole-Dipole (Tx &amp; Rx) configuration to an “n” level of n=8. The data is of high quality with strong signal levels resulting in coherent decays and good repeatability.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MagSpec flew an airborne magnetic and radiometric survey in 2021 on behalf of Ballymore at 50m line spacing and 50m flight height. Two areas were collected: Chillagoe North and Chillagoe South.</li> <li>The Maniopota EM Survey was completed with the SkyTEM helicopter time-domain AEM system. The SkyTEM312HP system uniquely acquires at transmitter frequencies as low as 12.5Hz, using a high-power square wave form for enhanced resolution, a wide transmitter pulse width for greater target energisation, and long transmitter off times for imaging deep and conductive targets.</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No details of the use of standards or certified reference materials have been reported for historic work.</li> <li>When undertaking pXRF surveys, Ballymore applied its QA/QC procedures and checked standards prior to commencing surveying on a daily basis as well as routinely testing for drift during the day by regularly checking standards.</li> </ul>
VERIFICATION OF SAMPLING AND ASSAYING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It has not been possible to independently verify significant intersections to date.</li> <li>There has been no use of twinned holes to date.</li> <li>Ballymore has collated and created a digital database of previous exploration completed at the Project.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling: Primary logging data was recorded digitally onto electronic spread sheets and validated against code tables by the logging geologist. Primary analytical data was received electronically in csv file format and imported directly into an electronic assay register spread sheet. Data validation was conducted by comparing the spreadsheet data against the Certificate of Analysis supplied as a secured pdf file by the laboratory.</li> </ul>
LOCATION OF DATA POINTS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No details of the accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys) is recorded. Drillhole collar locations were typically based on local grids and the accuracy of drill collars has not been verified to date.</li> <li>Ballymore surface geochemical sampling is surveyed using a handheld GPS with a location error of +/- 5m.</li> <li>Ballymore surface drilling: Drillhole collar locations were initially set out (and reported) using a handheld GPS with a location error of +/- 5m. All holes were subsequently surveyed by contract surveyor to a sub-metre accuracy, with data supplied electronically as spreadsheets and pdf files. The azimuth and dip at the start of the hole was recorded using a line of sight Suunto compass</li> </ul>

CRITERIA	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		<p>and Suunto clinometer by the site geologist. The orientation and dip of drillholes are measured with downhole surveys @ 15 m, 30 m, then every 30 m using a REFLEX single/multi-shot survey tool. End of hole surveys were also taken for each hole. At hole completion, holes were gyro surveyed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ballymore AEM Survey: The SkyTEM survey was completed with all data located via on-board DGPS.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Specification of the grid system used.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The co-ordinate system used is MGA94 zone 55 Datum.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quality of the surface topographic control data is poor and is currently reliant on public domain data.</li> </ul>
DATA SPACING AND DISTRIBUTION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drilling: There is a small amount of drilling to date and the spacing of drillhole data is variable.</li> <li>Maniopota AEM Survey: The AEM survey was flown at 200m spacing in a NE-SW orientation.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are no Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves.</li> <li>There is insufficient drill spacing to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No sample compositing was carried out on site.</li> <li>For reporting purposes, some drill hole assay results have been composited together to report contiguous zones of mineralisation.</li> </ul>
ORIENTATION OF DATA IN RELATION TO GEOLOGICAL STRUCTURE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The majority of previous drill holes were drilled vertically and are not considered to be oriented appropriately to drill across mineralisation. Further drilling is required to establish the optimal orientation of drilling at Ruddygore, Maniopota, and Torpy's Crooked Creek.</li> <li>Potential exists for sampling bias to have been introduced in the drilling completed to date due to the vertical nature of the drilling.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is possible there could be sampling bias due to the orientation of drilling but due to the lack of drilling to date this has not been ascertained.</li> </ul>
SAMPLE SECURITY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No chain of custody is documented for previous drilling.</li> <li>For Ballymore sampling programs, all work was supervised by company staff. Samples were double bagged, palletised and shrink wrapped at the core shed before dispatch to the laboratory.</li> </ul>
AUDITS OR REVIEWS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ballymore programs: Internal auditing procedures and reviews were regularly undertaken on sampling techniques, standard operating procedures, and laboratory processes.</li> <li>Derisk has completed a review of the work Ballymore has undertaken.</li> </ul>

## Reporting of Exploration Results

CRITERIA	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
MINERAL TENEMENT AND LAND TENURE STATUS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Project tenements comprise EPM 14015, EPM 15047, EPM 15053, and EPM 27840. All licences are 100% held by Ballymore Resources Limited.</li> <li>All tenements are in good standing.</li> </ul>
EXPLORATION DONE BY OTHER PARTIES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Ruddygore Mine was mined from 1896 – 1909 by open cut and shaft access to underground. The mine yielded 1,450 tons of copper from 32,750 tons of handpicked ore.</li> <li>The Torpy's Crooked Creek mine operated from 1904 – 1907 and 1912 – 1914. Production figures have not been located for 1904 – 1907 but from 1912 – 1914 the mine yielded 6,000 tons of ore for 84,000 oz silver and 920 tons of lead.</li> <li>The Maniopota mine was mined for lead, zinc, and silver. No production records have been found for the area but it hosts a series of small pits over 1 km strike length.</li> <li>Numerous exploration permits and mining leases have been held over parts and/or all of the Project area. Previous exploration has included geological mapping, soil and rock chip geochemical sampling, airborne and ground geophysics, plus RC and diamond drilling. Major programs included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mount Isa Mines (1959 – 1961) completed magnetic and EM surveys and diamond drilling (9 diamond drillholes for 655 m) at Ruddygore.</li> <li>Kennecott Exploration Australia (1965 – 1967) completed a geochemical survey over Ruddygore.</li> <li>Mines Exploration (1966 – 1971) completed geological mapping and channel sampling and drilling (3 holes for 598 m) at Maniopota.</li> <li>Cyprus Mines Corporation (1969 – 1970) completed mapping, geochemical surveys, IP and magnetic surveys and diamond drilling at Ruddygore (two holes for 182.88 m).</li> <li>LE Nickel (1976 – 1977) completed mapping and two diamond drillholes at Torpy's Crooked Creek for 421.6 m.</li> <li>BP Mining Development Australia (1977 – 1978) completed airborne and ground magnetics and radiometrics surveys.</li> <li>AOG Minerals (1980 – 1982) completed EIP survey, rock and soil sampling, costeaning and drilling at Ruddygore (four drillholes for 469.1 m).</li> <li>Cyprus Mines Corporation (1986 – 1989) completed open hole percussion drilling around Ruddygore pit (11 holes for 324 m).</li> <li>Dominion Mining Limited/Stuart Foster (1991 – 1993) completed a ground magnetic survey, channel sampling at</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

For personal use only

CRITERIA	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>Maniopota and RC drilling (11 holes for 461 m).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ CRA Exploration (1993 – 1995) completed an EM survey over the Torpy's Mine and drilled 12 holes for 1,027 m at Metal Creek.</li> </ul>
GEOLOGY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Deposit type, geological setting, and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Chillagoe District is situated within the Middle Palaeozoic Hodgkinson Province which is the northernmost part of the Tasmanides in eastern Australia.</li> <li>• Ballymore considers that the Ruddygore Project is prospective for large tonnage multi-element deposits including (a) copper-gold porphyry deposits e.g., Ruddygore (b) copper-gold-lead-zinc skarn deposits e.g., Red Dome, Mungana, Maniopota (c) sediment-hosted massive sulphide lead-zinc-silver e.g., Torpy's Crooked Creek, and (d) gold IRGS deposits e.g., Kidston.</li> </ul>
DRILL HOLE INFORMATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Easting and northing of the drill hole collar.</li> <li>– Elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar.</li> <li>– Dip and azimuth of the hole.</li> <li>– Down hole length and interception depth.</li> <li>– Hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Refer to Appendix 2.</li> <li>• Refer to Appendix 2.</li> </ul>
DATA AGGREGATION METHODS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g., cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>• Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>• The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The mineralised drill intersections are reported as downhole intervals and were not converted to true widths. True widths may be up to 50% less than drill intersections pending confirmation of mineralisation geometry.</li> <li>• No capping of high grades was performed in the aggregation process.</li> <li>• The drill intercepts reported as Exploration Results were calculated using different criteria depending on the nature of the mineralisation. For base metal mineralisation 0.1% Zn, 0.5% Zn and 1.0% Zn have been applied for reporting.</li> <li>• No reported exploration results. For all previous exploration results refer to ASX releases.</li> <li>• The dominant composite length is 1m.</li> <li>• The zinc equivalent grades for Maniopota (% ZnEq) are based on the following prices: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ US\$2,900t Zn, US\$9,500t Cu, US\$2,000t Pb, US\$2,500oz Au, US\$30oz Ag.</li> <li>▪ The ZnEq calculation is as follows: <math>ZnEq = (Zn\ grade\%) + (Cu\ grade\% * (Cu\ price\ \\$/t / Zn\ price\ \\$/t * 0.01)) + (Pb\ grade\% * (Pb</math></li> </ul> </li> </ul>

CRITERIA	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		$\text{price } \$/\text{t} / \text{Zn price } \$/\text{t} * 0.01) + (\text{Au grade g/t} / 31.103 * ((\text{Au price } \$/\text{oz} / 31.103) / \text{Zn price } \$/\text{t} * 0.01))) + (\text{Ag grade g/t} / 31.103 * ((\text{Ag price } \$/\text{oz} / 31.103) / \text{Zn price } \$/\text{t} * 0.01)))$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No top-cut or capping was applied.</li> </ul>
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MINERALISATION WIDTHS AND INTERCEPT LENGTHS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g., 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Previous drilling was planned on local grid lines and most drill holes were vertical. The limited drilling to date means the relationships between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths is poorly understood.</li> <li>Ruddygore prospect is a porphyry copper style with veining and brecciation occurring in fine- and medium-grained intrusives that strike north-northwest and are steeply dipping as well as in sub-horizontal fractures. Almost all holes drilled to date were vertical holes, which is not optimal for testing this style of deposit.</li> <li>Maniopota prospect is Cu-Pb-Zn-Ag-Au mineralisation associated with skarn alteration along the contact of the Almaden Granodiorite and the Chillagoe Formation, which varies from north-south to northwest-southeast, typically dipping moderately towards the southwest. All except 1 of the 14 holes have been drilled towards the northeast, which is approximately perpendicular to the target.</li> <li>The orientation and extent of the Torpy's Crooked Creek Pb-Zn-Ag sediment-hosted prospect deposit is poorly understood. Two holes have been drilled, both towards the north-northeast. Further work is required to establish the optimal angle to test the mineralisation.</li> <li>The mineralised intercepts generally intersect the interpreted dip of the mineralisation at a high angle but are not true widths.</li> </ul>
DIAGRAMS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Refer to figures contained within this report.</li> </ul>
BALANCED REPORTING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Balanced reporting of Exploration Results is presented within this report.</li> </ul>
OTHER SUBSTANTIVE EXPLORATION DATA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Project includes a large amount of exploration data collected by previous companies, including regional stream sediment geochemical data, soil sample and rock chip data, geological mapping data, drilling data, geophysical survey data, and costean data. Much of this data has been captured and validated into a GIS database.</li> <li>Previous mining has been limited and involved very selective mining and hand sorting. No systematic data has been collected to date to assess metallurgy and</li> </ul>

For personal use only

CRITERIA	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		mining parameters relevant to a modern operation.
FURTHER WORK	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ballymore plans to conduct surface geological mapping and geochemistry, ground geophysics and drilling across various high-priority target areas over the next two years.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Refer to figures contained within this report.</li> </ul>

For personal use only

## APPENDIX 2. TORPY'S DRILL COLLAR AND SURVEY INFORMATION

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° MGA)	Licence	Year
Ballymore	Torpy's Crooked Creek	BTPRC001*	Reverse Circulation	258253	8083278	520	156	-60	301	EPM 14015	2025
Ballymore	Torpy's Crooked Creek	BTPRC002*	Reverse Circulation	258233	8083160	522	186	-60	339	EPM 14015	2025
Ballymore	Torpy's Crooked Creek	BTPRC003*	Reverse Circulation	258109	8083258	521	125	-60	347	EPM 14015	2025
Ballymore	Torpy's Crooked Creek	BTPRC004*	Reverse Circulation	258232	8083162	520	198	-70	339	EPM 14015	2025

\* Drill hole collar location estimated and yet to be picked up by surveyor

For personal use only