REDCASTLE RESOURCES LTD

ASX Announcement

12 January 2026

16 Potential Gold Targets Now Identified Across the TBone Belt

Highlights:

- **Sixteen (16) potential walk-up gold targets identified across the TBone Belt supported by historical surface geochemistry, old workings and field work**
  - **Nine (9) targets based on recent analysis of historical geochemical data compilation**
  - **Five (5) targets based on old workings and field work**
  - **Two (2) targets based on old workings, field work and supported by historical geochemical samples**
- **Only ~50% of the TBone Belt area historically sampled with surface geochemistry and limited sparse drilling, offering:**
  - **Large areas completely untested by modern exploration and,**
  - **Representing significant discovery upside potential**
- **Analysis of historical geochemical data sets from open-file WAMEX reports across the TBone Belt, integrating soil, auger, rock chip sampling and limited drilling completed and used to expand the target inventory beyond historically worked zones**

Compilation of Historical Surface Geochemistry Data

Redcastle Resources Ltd (ASX: RC1, Redcastle or the **Company)** has completed a comprehensive compilation and review of historical surface geochemical datasets sourced from open-file WAMEX reports across the TBone Belt. These datasets include soil, auger and rock-chip sampling undertaken by previous operators.

This work has enabled a coherent interpretation of geochemical anomaly clusters and their spatial relationship to regional structures and lithological contacts. The compilation when combined with RC1's field work, has expanded the Company's target inventory beyond areas of historical mining activity and provides a systematic foundation for ongoing target generation and prioritisation.

Information relating to historical sampling techniques and data verification is summarised in Annexure 1, JORC Table 1.

Integration of historical geochemistry data with RC1's field work has resulted in the delineation of sixteen (16) potential gold targets across the TBone Belt shown in Figure 1, classified as follows:

- **Nine (9) anomalies based on high grade historical geochemical assay values**

1. Red King
2. November Find
3. South Telegraph

4. GA1 (Geochemical Anomaly 1)
5. GA2 (Geochemical Anomaly 2)
6. GA3 (Geochemical Anomaly 3)
7. GA4 (Geochemical Anomaly 4)
8. GA5 (Geochemical Anomaly 5)
9. GA6 (Geochemical Anomaly 6)

- **Five (5) anomalies identified by RC1 geological field work and historical workings**

1. Leonidas
2. Oaklands
3. Kilkenny Workings
4. Magarita[1]
5. Ian's Reward (Located on tenement P39/6503, pending)

- **Two (2) anomalies supported by historical geochemistry, geological field work and historical workings**

1. Oldfield Well
2. Golden Prince

These targets range from historic high-grade geochemical zones with untested strike extensions, to newly recognised geochemical anomalies. Targets are shown in Figure 1.

Targets and Geochemical Assays



Figure 1. *Historical high grade surface geochemistry assay results with 16 potential gold targets shown (Soil and Auger > 20 ppb Au, Rock Sample > 20 ppm Au)*

Note[1] Margarita is a distinct prospect, separate from Margaritaville which is listed in MINEDEX and not yet evaluated by RC1



Figure 2. *Historical surface geochemistry sample assay 10 -- 20 ppb Au, showing coincidence with high grade zones, with 16 potential gold targets shown*



Figure 3. *Historical surface geochemistry sample assay 5 -- 10 ppb Au, showing coincidence with high grade zones, with 16 potential gold targets shown*



Figure 4. *Historical surface composite geochemistry map showing all Au geochemistry values greater than 3 ppb with 16 potential gold targets*

TBone Belt Largely Underexplored using Modern Techniques

Limited prior drilling and a lack of modern geochemical coverage is evident in areas of the TBone Belt.

- Only ~50% of the TBone Belt tenements have extensive surface geochemistry
- Limited reverse circulation drilling and no diamond drilling referenced
- Some sparse air core drilling available (Figure 6)
- Isolated historical workings as reviewed by RC1 exploration personnel
- Minimal production with limited historical records available
- Documented historical and ongoing alluvial gold discoveries suggestive of
  - *Prospective untested lithologies*
  - *Potential gold bearing structures*
- Extensive transported and residual cover masks large areas of untested lithologies and prospective gold-bearing structures.
- Geophysical anomalies that may be amenable to modern processing techniques
- Significant upside exists as identified during RC1's fieldwork



Figure 5. *TBone Belt tenements on which surface geochemical samples have been taken. Tenements with no or only minimal sampling are marked in blue, emphasising the extensive areas of the TBone Belt that remain underexplored and untested using modern techniques*

TBone Target Generation

RC1's due diligence fieldwork had identified six (6) high priority targets (*ASX:RC1 Announcement 01/09/25*):

1. Oaklands
2. Ian's Reward (Tenement pending)
3. Leonidas
4. Golden Prince
5. Margarita Corridor (Approximately 1.1 km long from Margarita to Oldfield Well)
6. Kilkenny Workings (Includes Lady Helen)

The above six (6) targets together with the recent compilation of historical geochemical data sets and field work carried out by RC1 has now resulted in the identification of sixteen (16) potential gold targets.

Historical Drilling

The TBone area has not been consistently drilled. Fieldwork identified some historical holes (mostly reverse circulation or percussion) for which WAMEX reports could not be located. As a result, geochemistry has been the focus of work to date due to the close spaced quality data available in WAMEX reports.

Mt Kersey Drilling

Sparse aircore drilling, with minimal holes per tenement, was carried out by Mt Kersey in 1996-1997 (WAMEX Report A52841).

Tenement	No of Drillholes
P39/6327	7
P39/6328	8
P39/6329	11
P39/6330	10
P39/6334	14
P39/6344	12
Total	62

The sparse air core drilling density can be seen on Figure 6. The maximum depth of drilling was 59m with a significant result for gold coming from MRNA 55 on P39/6334 with 4m at 0.39 g/t Au from 20m. This hole location will be visited in upcoming field work.



Figure 6. *Historical drilling carried out by Mt Kersey and location of MRNA 55*

Oldfield Well (part of the Margarita Corridor)

Historical drilling reported in WAMEX A23666 includes open-hole percussion holes, which are susceptible to down-hole contamination and may result in smearing of mineralisation. The report also notes potential inaccuracies in recorded drillhole locations and orientations, which could have affected historical interpretations.

Historical results should be treated with caution and will require validation by modern drilling using appropriate orientations.

RC1 considers Oldfield Well and Margarita within the Margarita Corridor as significant areas for future exploration.

Red King

As shown in Figure 1, the Red King area is a large geochemical anomaly. Limited RC drilling (WAMEX Report A133775) was carried out in a very limited area of the anomaly, as described in JORC Table1.

The following results show highlighted RC drilling intercepts.

- RK01: 1m @ 0.42 g/t Au from 34m
- RK02: 2m @ 0.60 g/t Au from 28m
  - 3m @ 0.70 g/t Au from 36m
  - 3m @ 0.53 g/t Au from 30m
- RK03: 2m @ 0.56 g/t Au from 29m
  - 3m @ 0.2 g/t Au from 38m
- RK06: 5m @ 0.5 g/t Au from 38m

The limited scale of drilling relative to the overall size of the Red King geochemical anomaly indicates that the Red King area remains largely untested, with potential for additional mineralisation along contacts and at depth. The Red King target consists of a large area of alluvial pushings.

Images from Fieldwork

Figures 7 to 15 are a series of photographs from RC1 fieldwork showing referenced areas of interest.

Figure 7. *Red King prospect – Field photograph showing surface pushing and historical disturbance, located along a prospective structural trend with limited historical drilling. Geological observation only; no assays are associated with this photograph (MGA94 Z51 – 385297mE, 6791940mN)*

Figure 8. *November Find prospect – Field photograph showing surface conditions and reconnaissance activities during recent RC1 fieldwork. Geological observation only; no assays are associated with this photograph (MGA94 Z51 – 389091mE, 6795748mN)*

Figure 9. *Margarita prospect – Field photograph showing historical trenching/stoping and surface exposure during RC1 reconnaissance fieldwork. Geological observation only; no assays are associated with this photograph (MGA94 Z51 – 387279mE, 6790973mN)*



Figure 10. *Margarita prospect – Field photograph showing laminated quartz exposed in historical trenching/stoping near surface during RC1 reconnaissance fieldwork. Geological observation only; no assays are associated with this photograph (MGA94 Zone 51 – 387279mE, 6790973mN).*

Figure 11. *Oldfield Well prospect – Field photograph showing historical workings and surface disturbance. Geological observation only; no assays are associated with this photograph (MGA94 Z51 – 386889mE, 6789947mN)*

Figure 12. *Oaklands prospect – Field photograph showing historical workings and surface disturbance. Geological observation only; no assays are associated with this photograph (MGA94 Z51 – 384512mE, 6793166mN)*

Figure 13. *Ian's Reward prospect (tenement pending) – Field photograph showing quartz veining and associated alteration within host mafic lithology. Geological observation only; no assays are associated with this photograph. (MGA94 Z51 – 393782mE, 6794947mN)*

Figure 14. *Leonidas Prospect (within an existing Mining Lease) – Field photograph showing historical workings and surface disturbance. Geological observation only; no assays are associated with this photograph. (MGA94 Z51 – 382702mE, 6793456mN)*

Figure 15. *Golden Prince Prospect – Field photograph showing historical shaft, workings and associated waste rock at surface. Geological observation only; no assays are associated with this photograph (MGA94 Z51 – 385250mE, 6795181mN)*

Figure 16. *Golden Prince Prospect –legacy heap-leach pad proximal to historical workings (MGA94 Z51 – 385200mE 6795170mN)*

Figure 17. *Kilkenny Workings – Field photograph showing historical costean, north wall oriented E-W, looking east, exposed sheared mafic rocks with quartz veinlets observed in sheared mafic rocks. Geological observation only; no assays are associated with this photograph. (MGA94 Z51 - 386600mE 6792215mN)*

Geological Context & Significance of Anomalous Areas

Historical high grade geochemical samples and selected targets correlate with Hallberg's geological mapping (1985, based on DMPE records).

High-tenor Au values (soil/auger >20 ppb; rock chips >20 ppm) generally cluster along north–northwest and north–northeast trending shear zones, consistent with structural trends which host major deposits within the Eastern Goldfields.

By comparison, lower-threshold Au anomalies (3–20 ppb) as identified by RC1's analysis may define broader gold halos, indicating:

- Potential concealed mineralisation beneath shallow cover
- Structural dilation zones suitable for orogenic gold deposition
- Multiple untested parallel shear zones

The TBone Belt therefore represents a structurally controlled and geochemically supported multi-target search space, ideal for RC1's specialised systematic exploration strategy.

RC1's Target Generation Process Going Forward

- Heritage surveys over the priority areas
- Ongoing compilation and integration of geological, geochemical and geophysical datasets
- Further planned validation of historical results through targeted field reconnaissance
- Litho-structural interpretation, including correlation with Hallberg's regional mapping and geophysical re-processing are now underway
- New geological mapping and geochemical sampling programs are planned
- Target refinement and prioritisation for RC and possible diamond drilling programs tentatively planned for Q3/Q4 2026

RC1 Tenement Prospectivity

The RC1 tenements constituent a highly prospective landholding within a proven gold-producing region with demonstrated endowment and strong exploration upside.

Summary

- The TBone Belt area is approximately 74km^2 with recent work having established 16 potential walk-up gold targets immediately adjacent to the Redcastle Project Area (Figure 1).
- The Redcastle Project Area (RPA) is approximately 13 km^2 and hosts 14 potential gold targets (Figure 19) together with two JORC-compliant Mineral Resource Estimates (MREs)

Chairman's comment

"The TBone Belt provides Redcastle with a complementary, longer-cycle exploration platform alongside our near-term production focus at Queen Alexandra (QA) and Redcastle Reef (RR). As previously outlined, QA and RR represent a clear pathway to near-term cash generation, which in turn is intended to support disciplined, self-funded exploration across our broader landholding.

Since announcing the TBone acquisition in August 2025 the Company's technical team has, through the integration of available geochemical datasets, structural interpretations and geophysics, confirmed the previously identified targets and expanded the target inventory to a total of 16. Importantly, the geochemical dataset is limited in its coverage with large areas yet to be systematically surveyed by modern geochemical techniques. As a result, our exploration team perceives considerable upside exploration potential exists."

This announcement has been approved for release to ASX by the Board of Redcastle Resources Ltd

-ENDS-

REDCASTLE RESOURCES LTD

For further information, please contact:

Ray Shaw

Chairman

T +61 8 6559 1792

E: admin@redcastle.net.au

Ron Miller

Director

T +61 8 6559 1792

E: admin@redcastle.net.au

Sam Burns

Six Degrees Investor Relations

T +61 (0) 400 164 067

E: sam.burns@sdir.com.au

About Redcastle Resources Ltd.

Redcastle Resources Ltd (ASX: RC1) is a WA-based rapidly **emerging gold** company predicated on holding tenements in the right location, within a proven gold producing province; containing the right rocks and structures, that are conducive to finding commercial quantities of **high-grade gold** through the application of modern and innovative exploration techniques. Our **growth** strategy is committed to **growth** through targeted drilling, development, production and value accretive acquisitions to generate shareholder value as an integrated **gold exploration and production** company.

Redcastle's Portfolio is located ~60 kilometres east-southeast of the Gwalia Gold Mine. The portfolio comprises a series of contiguous tenements centrally located within a region known as the "*golden circle*", an area delineated by multi-million-ounce gold mining operations within the highly prospective Leonora-Laverton portion of the greenstone belt of the eastern Yilgarn. In August 2025, RC1 and BML Ventures Ltd formed a Joint Venture (RB JV) (ASX: RC1 10 August 2025) that is focused on exploiting potential gold deposits within three of the RPA tenements including QA and RR.

RC1's Portfolio is divided into the ***Redcastle Project Area (RPA)*** and ***TBone Belt (TBone)***. RPA has a JORC compliant Mineral Resource Estimate at Queen Alexandra (QA) and Redcastle Reef (RR) (ASX: RC1 30 June 2025), and several highly prospective target areas which have demonstrated the clear potential to add to this resource base. The TBone Belt remains fundamentally underexplored by modern techniques, and represents an exciting, scalable opportunity to build a pipeline of high-priority drill targets immediately adjacent to RPA.

Following the TBone Belt acquisition (ASX:RC1 20 August 2025), RC1's combined tenement portfolio in the Eastern Goldfields now covers an area of ~87km^2 comprising the following:

- *Prospecting Licenses (PLs): 55 (includes 3 pending)*
- *Mining Leases (MLs): 4*
- *Mining Lease Applications (MLAs): 8*



***Figure 18**. Redcastle Tenements located in the Leonora – Laverton Greenstone Belt*



***Figure 19.** Redcastle Tenements located in the Leonora – Laverton Greenstone Belt*

Forward–Looking Statements

Some of the statements appearing in this announcement may be in the nature of forward-looking statements. You should be aware that such statements are only predictions and are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties. Those risks and uncertainties include factors and risks specific to the industries in which Redcastle operates and proposes to operate as well as general economic conditions, prevailing exchange rates and interest rates and conditions in the financial markets, among other things. Actual events or results may differ materially from the events or results expressed or implied in any forward-looking statement. No forward-looking statement is a guarantee or representation as to future performance or any other future matters, which will be influenced by a number of factors and subject to various uncertainties and contingencies, many of which will be outside Redcastle's control. No decision to proceed to production has been made, and any such decision will be subject to the outcomes of detailed feasibility studies.

In relying on the above mentioned ASX announcements and pursuant to ASX Listing Rule 5.23.2, the Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the above-mentioned announcements.

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this announcement that relates to geological observations and site due diligence at the TBone Belt has been compiled by Dr. Spero Carras, a Competent Person and consultant to the Company, who is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (FAusIMM, Membership No. 107972). Dr. Carras has more than 40 years' experience working on gold deposits, relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Dr. Carras consents to the inclusion in this report of matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this announcement that relates to geological reconnaissance and exploration strategy at the TBone Belt is also based on information compiled by Mr. Xusheng Ke, who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (MAusIMM 310766) and a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (MAIG 6297). Mr. Ke has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr. Ke consents to the inclusion in this report of matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

REDCASTLE RESOURCES LTD

Annexure 1

JORC Code, 2012 Edition Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	• *Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.* • *Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.* • *Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.* • *In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.*	**PREFACE** The TBone Belt tenements have historically been geochemically sampled by several companies using various sampling methods. Information on geochemistry and drilling referenced in this release has been compiled from the following WAMEX reports: **GEOCHEMISTRY SAMPLES** **DRILLING**

GEOCHEMISTRY SAMPLES

WAMEX Report	Company	Author	Project / prospect	Reporting Year	Sample Technique
A130851	Connor, B	Paterson P	Red King	2022	Auger Soil
A104214	Goldphyre Resources	N/A (Not available)	Oldfield Well	2014	Rockchip
A99696	Topham	Forti L	South Telegraph	2013	Soil
A64131	Goldfields Exploration	Martin A	Golden Prince, November	2001	Auger Soil
A57289	Mt. Kersey Mining N.L.	Howland J.P.	GA 5, GA 6	1998	Soil
A48897	Mt. Kersey Mining N.L.	Lewis C.R.	GA 5, GA 6	1996	Soil, Stream
A23666	Minefields Pty Ltd	I.W. Reid	Oldfield Well	1991	Soil,Rock chip

DRILLING

WAMEX Report	Company	Author	Project / prospect	Reporting Year	Drill Type
A133775	Connor, B	Paterson P	Red King	2023	RC
A52841	Mt. Kersey Mining N.L.	Van Kann M.Y.	Near GA3 and Ga6	1997	Air core
A23666	Minefields Pty Ltd	I.W. Reid	Oldfield Well	1991	Percussion drilling

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Drilling techniques	• *Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).*	**Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • Reverse Circulation ("RC") • Contractor Oredrill Australia **Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • Auger **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • Auger **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) AC Drilling** • Air Core ("AC") drilling • ☐ Operator: Mt Kersey Mining N.L. • ☐ 74 AC holes for 2,842 m • ☐ Vertical holes (azimuth 000°, dip −90°) • ☐ Drilling conducted across multiple prospecting licences within the Murrin Murrin Project **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling** • Open-hole percussion drilling at Oldfield Well
Drill sample recovery	• *Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.* • *Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.* • *Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.*	**Drill sample recovery information not available**
Logging	• *Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.* • *Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.* • *The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.*	**No Mineral Resource Estimation** **Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • Drill holes geologically logged • Lithology codes provided **Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • Not available **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • Not available **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • Geological logging is recorded in the original WAMEX datasets, including lithological information for Air Core drill holes. However, the original documentation does not describe logging methodology or standards, and the logging is considered qualitative in nature.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling • Not available
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	• *If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.* • *If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.* • *For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.* • *Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.* • *Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.* • *Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.*	**Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • 4m composite RC chips and 1m composite RC chips • Dry pulverise 75 microns < 3.5kg **Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • Auger chips • Crush, pulverise 75 microns **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip** • Rock chip **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • Soil – 1kg samples taken 15cm beneath surface with a plastic scoop and placed into a plastic zip lock bag. Samples then split, 1 sample sent for ionic leach and the other for fire assay. Ionic leach is a static sodium cyanide leach using chelating agents, ammonium chloride, citric acid and EDTA with the leachment buffered at pH 8.5. pH is tested and recorded. • Mill pulverised split of sample **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • Auger chips utilising an auger rig mounted on a Toyota Trayback vehicle. **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • Soil • Dry samples: Quarter and Cone, Trowel • Wet samples: Grab sampled • 2kg bag **Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream** • Soil: 2kg composite samples, +80 mesh and -80 mesh • Stream: 5kg bulk sample, -16 mesh **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • Not available. **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling** • Not available.
Quality of assay data and	• *The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.* • *For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used*	**Assaying methods in general adequate for geochemistry work. Assays not used for Mineral Resource Estimation. Standards not generally available for historical geochemical work. However, laboratories generally maintained internal calibration**

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
laboratory tests	*in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.* • *Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.*	**standards.** **Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • Fire assay • SGS Mineral Service Kalgoorlie • Au, Pt, Pd, FAS, AAS, 50g charge **Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • Aqua-Regia digest ICP-MS • ALS Perth • Au, Multielement **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip** • 40g Fire Assay Digest with ICPMS Finish • Au, some multielement **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • Fire Assay – ICPAES Finish • ALS • Au, Multielement (Ionic Leach) **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • Samples sent to Genalysis Laboratory Services Perth using B/ETA method which is an aqua-regia digest, solvent extraction, graphite furnace AAS Finish to 1 ppb lower detection. • Fe, Cu, Pb, Zn, As by their B/AAS method with lower detection limits were 0.01%, 1, 1, 1 and 5 ppm respectively. • Selected samples were analysed for Mo, Sn and W by ICP/MS with lower detection limits of 0.1, 0.05 and 0.05 ppm respectively. **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • Samples oven dried, milled to a nominal 90% passing 75 microns, 200g split • 40g charge • Digest: fused in lead collection fire assay • Prill is dissolved in aqua-regia and extracted into disk • AAS analysis • Au: detection limit 1 ppb • Analabs Welshpool **Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream** • Soil • Au: detection limit 0.001 ppm • Stream

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		• Au: detection limit 0.1 ppb • Ag: detection limit 0.1 ppb • Cu: detection limit 0.01 ppm **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • Samples were analysed by Analabs (Kalgoorlie) using aqua regia digest with gold and multi-element analysis. Reported gold detection limit was 0.02 ppm Au. • The original WAMEX report does not document QA/QC procedures, including the use of certified reference materials, blanks, duplicates or umpire laboratory checks. • Assay data are therefore considered indicative only and suitable for first-pass geochemical assessment, but should be treated with caution and would require validation by modern sampling and QA/QC protocols. **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling** • Not available.
Verification of sampling and assaying	• *The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.* • *The use of twinned holes.* • *Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.* • *Discuss any adjustment to assay data.*	**Information not available on verification of significant assay values. However, in instances, repeat assaying carried out.** **Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • Not available **Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • Use of Repeat assays **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip** • Use of Repeat assays **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • Not available **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • Use of Repeat assays **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • Use of Repeat assays **Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream** • +80 mesh and -80 mesh **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • Not available. No independent verification, check sampling or re-assaying procedures are reported in the original WAMEX documentation or datasets. **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling**

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		• Not available
Location of data points	• *Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.* • *Specification of the grid system used.* • *Quality and adequacy of topographic control.*	**In general, handheld GPS used to locate assay position.** **Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • GDA94 Datum, UTM MGA94 Zone 51 • GPS **Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • GDA94 Datum, UTM MGA94 Zone 51 • GPS **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip** • GDA94 Datum, UTM MGA94 Zone 51 • GPS **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • GDA94 Datum, UTM MGA94 Zone 51 • GPS **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • GDA94 Datum, UTM MGA94 Zone 51 • GPS **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • AMG Zone 51 **Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream** • AMG Zone 51 **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • Drillhole collar locations are recorded in the original WAMEX datasets and provided as grid coordinates. The accuracy and survey method of the collar locations are not documented. **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling** • Not available
Data spacing and distribution	• *Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.* • *Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.* • *Whether sample compositing has been applied.*	**Data reported in this section is not for the purposes of Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve Estimations, but has been used to summarise geochemical exploration activities.** **Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC**

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary

Hole Id	Easting MGA	Northing MGA	Elevation	Depth	Dip	Azimuth
RKRC01	385307	6791931	500	60	-60	330
RKRC02	385281	6791936	500	60	-60	60
RKRC03	385273	6791929	500	46	-60	60
RKRC04	385292	6791939	500	46	-60	60
RKRC05	385298	6791907	500	40	-60	325
RKRC06	385297	6791942	500	64	-90	0

Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger
- 50m EW x 100m NS
- Some close spaced 25m EW x 50m NS

Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip
- Rock chip samples – various tenements

Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil
- 200m EW x 200m NS

Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger
- 50m EW x up to 400m NS with infill samples to 200m NS

Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil
- 80m EW x 400m NS up to 600m NS

Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream
- Soils: 50m EW x 1km NS

Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling

Hole	Easting MGA	Northing MGA	Elevation	Depth	Dip	Azimuth
MRNA 1	6792400	388300	400	52	-90	0
MRNA 2	6792400	388400	400	48	-90	0
MRNA 3	6792400	388500	400	41	-90	0
MRNA 4	6792400	388600	400	34	-90	0
MRNA 5	6792400	388700	400	36	-90	0

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary

MRNA 6	6792400	388800	400	37	-90	0
MRNA 7	6792400	388900	400	36	-90	0
MRNA 18	6791400	389900	400	5	-90	0
MRNA 19	6791400	389800	400	18	-90	0
MRNA 20	6791400	389700	400	14	-90	0
MRNA 21	6791400	389600	400	29	-90	0
MRNA 22	6791400	389500	400	40	-90	0
MRNA 23	6791400	389400	400	40	-90	0
MRNA 24	6791400	389300	400	30	-90	0
MRNA 25	6791400	389200	400	34	-90	0
MRNA 26	6791400	389100	400	37	-90	0
MRNA 27	6791400	389000	400	49	-90	0
MRNA 28	6791400	388900	400	31	-90	0
MRNA 29	6791400	388800	400	36	-90	0
MRNA 30	6791400	388700	400	33	-90	0
MRNA 31	6791400	388600	400	42	-90	0
MRNA 32	6791400	388500	400	42	-90	0
MRNA 33	6791400	388400	400	55	-90	0
MRNA 34	6791400	388300	400	55	-90	0
MRNA 35	6791400	388200	400	51	-90	0
MRNA 36	6791400	388100	400	54	-90	0
MRNA 37	6791400	388000	400	40	-90	0
MRNA 38	6789050	388000	400	55	-90	0
MRNA 39	6789050	388100	400	54	-90	0
MRNA 40	6788990	388200	400	57	-90	0
MRNA 41	6788970	388300	400	52	-90	0
MRNA 42	6789000	388400	400	51	-90	0
MRNA 43	6789030	388500	400	44	-90	0
MRNA 44	6789070	388600	400	40	-90	0
MRNA 45	6789065	388700	400	52	-90	0

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary

MRNA 46	6789075	388800	400	40	-90	0
MRNA 47	6789095	388900	400	34	-90	0
MRNA 48	6789035	389000	400	18	-90	0
MRNA 49	6788980	389100	400	21	-90	0
MRNA 50	6788945	389200	400	33	-90	0
MRNA 51	6788900	389300	400	27	-90	0
MRNA 52	6788875	389400	400	18	-90	0
MRNA 53	6788875	389500	400	11	-90	0
MRNA 55	6789495	389500	400	43	-90	0
MRNA 56	6789415	389400	400	32	-90	0
MRNA 57	6789400	389300	400	25	-90	0
MRNA 58	6789350	389200	400	35	-90	0
MRNA 59	6789360	389100	400	52	-90	0
MRNA 60	6789380	389000	400	45	-90	0
MRNA 61	6789385	388900	400	40	-90	0
MRNA 62	6789400	388800	400	38	-90	0
MRNA 63	6789440	388700	400	38	-90	0
MRNA 64	6789500	388600	400	38	-90	0
MRNA 65	6789520	388500	400	36	-90	0
MRNA 66	6789550	388400	400	50	-90	0
MRNA 67	6789600	388300	400	46	-90	0
MRNA 68	6789720	388200	400	46	-90	0
MRNA 69	6789835	388100	400	43	-90	0
MRNA 70	6789900	388400	400	34	-90	0
MRNA 71	6789900	388500	400	52	-90	0
MRNA 72	6789900	388600	400	59	-90	0
MRNA 73	6789900	388700	400	55	-90	0
MRNA 74	6789900	388800	400	57	-90	0
MRNA 75	6789900	388900	400	17	-90	0

Orientation of data in relation	• *Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.*	**Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • Structures are interpreted to be ENE

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
to geological structure	• *If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.*	**Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • N/A **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip** • N/A **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • N/A **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • N/A **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • N/A **Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream** • N/A **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • Drillholes were drilled as vertical holes (dip −90°). The orientation is considered sub-optimal for testing steeply dipping geological structures and may not adequately represent true widths of mineralisation. **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling** • Not available
Sample security	• *The measures taken to ensure sample security.*	**Sample security procedures for the historical samples are not documented. Samples were collected by previous operators and submitted to commercial laboratories.** **Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • Unknown **Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • Unknown **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip** • Unknown **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • Unknown **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger**

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		• Unknown **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • Unknown **Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream** • Unknown **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • Not available. **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling** • Not available
Audits or reviews	• *The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.*	**No audits or reviews of the historical sampling and assaying data have been undertaken.** **Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • Unknown **Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • Unknown **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip** • Unknown **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • Unknown **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • Unknown **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • Unknown **Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream** • Unknown **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • Not available. **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling** • Not available

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• *Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.* • *The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.*	The TBone tenements consist of 3 granted Mining Leases, 44 granted Prospecting Licences, 1 pending Mining Lease and 2 pending Prospecting Licences held by Redcastle Resources Ltd via its wholly owned subsidiaries. The tenements are in good standing at the time of reporting. **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • P39/6352 **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • P39/6349, P39/6342, P39/6325, P39/6326, P39/6324, P39/6327, P39/6443 **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • P39/6494, P39/6503, P39/6324, P39/6444, P39/6343, P39/6344, P39/6335, P39/6356, P39/6323, P39/6337, P39/6340, P39/6354, P39/6341, P39/6338, P39/6339, P39/6336, P39/6329, P39/6334 **Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream** • Soils: P39/6494, P39/6444, P39/6443, P39/6344 • Stream: P39/6357, P39/6358, P39/6342 **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** P39/6327, P39/6328, P39/6329, P39/6330, P39/6344, P39/6334,P39/6335
Exploration done by other parties	• *Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.*	**Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** 2020 • Target interpreted as circular magnetic feature. Interpreted to include steeply dipping NE trending rectangular prisms containing significant magnetic and non-magnetic features. Features considered to be zones of altered rock or gold mineralised structures. • Site visits carried out to investigate proposed area for soil geochemistry program. 2015-2016 • Gold mineralisation interpreted using both airborne and ground magnetics. • Targets interpreted as the intersection of NW and N-NNE. **Connor, B (A130851, 2022)** • Modelling by Bruce Craven & Associates in 2020 was a gridded magnetic dataset in preference to the ground magnetic line data because the line data was at sub-optimal angles to the magnetic units in the modelling and the gridded data significantly smoothed the inherent noise in the line data. From the ground magnetic line data 5 targets were selected for further modelling work. Each target indicated a series of steeply SW dipping rectangular prisms and a conclusion was drawn that the variation interpreted as distortion in the magnetism offered potential for gold mineralisation with a magnetic destructive

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		alteration (secondary magnetism) offering the best potential for economic gold mineralisation. Note: As a result of recent geological field work at Red King, all geophysics and historical interpretations are now been reviewed by RC1. **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip** • Oldfields workings **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • A soil geochemical survey conducted on a 200 m × 200 m grid using ionic leach (MMI-style) and fire assay techniques delineated zone of gold anomalism **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • Regional-scale reconnaissance exploration, including geological assessment and surface geochemical sampling, to identify areas of gold anomalism and generate exploration targets. **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • GIS compilation and data review, gridding (68.4 line-km) and soil sampling (874 samples on a 40 m × 80 m grid). **Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream** • Completed gridding (15.35 line-km), stream sediment sampling (23 samples) and soil sampling (307 samples). **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • Historical exploration was undertaken by Mt Kersey Mining N.L. within the Murrin Murrin Project area and included aeromagnetic surveys, remote sensing, geological mapping, gridding and Air Core drilling. The results are historical in nature and have not been verified by the current operator. **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling** • Historical mining and exploration activities were undertaken by third parties within and adjacent to the Oldfield Well area. North Eastern Gold Mines NL developed several lines of historical workings, including open cuts exceeding three metres in depth. The largest working, on PLs 39/812–813, was tested by five angled drillholes to depths of approximately 50 m. On PLs 39/887–888, a grab sample of quartz from an east–west trending cut reportedly assayed 1.2 g/t Au. Additional historical workings occur on McKnight's PL39/441. These historical activities were not conducted by the Company and have not been independently verified; results are considered indicative only.
Geology	• *Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.*	**The TBone Belt is located within the highly prospective Leonora–Laverton region of the Eastern Goldfields, Western Australia, an area well known for hosting orogenic gold**

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		mineralisation. The belt is underlain by Archean greenstone sequences comprising mafic to ultramafic volcanic rocks, interbedded sediments and felsic intrusives, intruded locally by granitic bodies. **Gold mineralisation in the region is structurally controlled, commonly associated with north to northwest-trending shear zones, faults and lithological contacts. These structures are consistent with regional interpretations and correlate with known gold deposits within the broader Leonora–Laverton corridor.** **Within the TBone Belt, historical surface geochemical anomalies and mapped workings occur along these structural trends, suggesting potential for both strike and depth extensions beneath shallow cover.** **Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • The regional geology consists of mafic extrusive rocks and ultramafic intrusive rocks with felsic volcanics and sediments. • The tenement group occur within a region of east trending mafic volcanics and related mafic intrusives with the sequence being intruded by a granite. **Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • The regional geology consists of mafic extrusive rocks and ultramafic intrusive rocks with felsic volcanics and sediments. • The tenement group occur within a region of east trending mafic volcanics and related mafic intrusives with the sequence being intruded by a granite. **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip** • Mainly mafic rocks of the Murrin Greenstone Belt and elongate internal granitoid(s) **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • Rock types are of an Archaean age and comprise volcanic and metasedimentary greenstones that are extensively intruded by granitoids and dykes of various compositions. The igneous complexes are folded and metamorphosed along a northerly trending axis. **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • The area is interpreted as underlain by a sequence of mafic lithotypes, primarily tholeitic basalts, dolerite/gabbro and various internal late stage granites. **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • Locally the greenstones consist of a mafic/ultramafic assemblage of gabbro, diorite, massive basalts and dolerites and serpentinites. There are minor clastic and felsic extrusive and intrusive rocks. Porphyritic granitoids intrude the greenstones. • Faults within the project follow the NNW regional trend. • The project is largely covered by colluvium consisting of red silt and sand, red alluvial clay

<table>
<tr><th>Criteria</th><th>JORC Code explanation</th><th>Commentary</th></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td>and patches of limonite.

Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream
• Not discussed.

Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling
• The area is underlain by basalt-gabbro-dolerite sequences intruded by granitic and porphyritic bodies. A major shear zone is interpreted to traverse the project area and is considered prospective for gold mineralisation, based on regional analogues and geological interpretation reported in the original WAMEX documentation.

Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling
• Gold mineralisation at Oldfield Well is associated with narrow quartz reef-lode systems hosted within greenstone lithologies. The reefs are steeply dipping to near vertical and locally exhibit minor flexures, with mineralisation typically confined to the quartz veins rather than disseminated within the host rocks. Historical workings indicate shallow supergene enrichment, with grades decreasing below the water table.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Drill hole Information</td><td>• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:
  ○ easting and northing of the drill hole collar
  ○ elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar
  ○ dip and azimuth of the hole
  ○ down hole length and interception depth
  ○ hole length.
• If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</td><td>Drill holes reported in this section is not for the purposes of Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve Estimations

Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC

<table>
<tr><th>Hole Id</th><th>Easting MGA</th><th>Northing MGA</th><th>Elevation</th><th>Depth</th><th>Dip</th><th>Azimuth</th></tr>
<tr><td>RKRC01</td><td>385307</td><td>6791931</td><td>500</td><td>60</td><td>-60</td><td>330</td></tr>
<tr><td>RKRC02</td><td>385281</td><td>6791936</td><td>500</td><td>60</td><td>-60</td><td>60</td></tr>
<tr><td>RKRC03</td><td>385273</td><td>6791929</td><td>500</td><td>46</td><td>-60</td><td>60</td></tr>
<tr><td>RKRC04</td><td>385292</td><td>6791939</td><td>500</td><td>46</td><td>-60</td><td>60</td></tr>
<tr><td>RKRC05</td><td>385298</td><td>6791907</td><td>500</td><td>40</td><td>-60</td><td>325</td></tr>
<tr><td>RKRC06</td><td>385297</td><td>6791942</td><td>500</td><td>64</td><td>-90</td><td>0</td></tr>
</table>

• RK01: 1m @ 0.42 g/t Au from 34m
• RK02: 2m @ 0.60 g/t Au from 28m
  ▪ 3m @ 0.70 g/t Au from 36m
  ▪ 3m @ 0.53 g/t Au from 30m
• RK03: 2m @ 0.56 g/t Au from 29m
  ▪ 3m @ 0.2 g/t Au from 38m
• RK06: 5m @ 0.5 g/t Au from 38m

Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger
• Auger drilling</td></tr>
</table>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary

Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip

- N/A

Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil

- N/A

Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger

- Auger drilling

Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil

- N/A

Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream

- N/A

Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling

Hole	Easting MGA	Northing MGA	Elevation	Depth	Dip	Azimuth
MRNA 1	6792400	388300	400	52	-90	0
MRNA 2	6792400	388400	400	48	-90	0
MRNA 3	6792400	388500	400	41	-90	0
MRNA 4	6792400	388600	400	34	-90	0
MRNA 5	6792400	388700	400	36	-90	0
MRNA 6	6792400	388800	400	37	-90	0
MRNA 7	6792400	388900	400	36	-90	0
MRNA 18	6791400	389900	400	5	-90	0
MRNA 19	6791400	389800	400	18	-90	0
MRNA 20	6791400	389700	400	14	-90	0
MRNA 21	6791400	389600	400	29	-90	0
MRNA 22	6791400	389500	400	40	-90	0
MRNA 23	6791400	389400	400	40	-90	0
MRNA 24	6791400	389300	400	30	-90	0
MRNA 25	6791400	389200	400	34	-90	0
MRNA 26	6791400	389100	400	37	-90	0
MRNA 27	6791400	389000	400	49	-90	0
MRNA 28	6791400	388900	400	31	-90	0
MRNA 29	6791400	388800	400	36	-90	0
MRNA 30	6791400	388700	400	33	-90	0
MRNA 31	6791400	388600	400	42	-90	0

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary						
		MRNA 32	6791400	388500	400	42	-90	0
		MRNA 33	6791400	388400	400	55	-90	0
		MRNA 34	6791400	388300	400	55	-90	0
		MRNA 35	6791400	388200	400	51	-90	0
		MRNA 36	6791400	388100	400	54	-90	0
		MRNA 37	6791400	388000	400	40	-90	0
		MRNA 38	6789050	388000	400	55	-90	0
		MRNA 39	6789050	388100	400	54	-90	0
		MRNA 40	6788990	388200	400	57	-90	0
		MRNA 41	6788970	388300	400	52	-90	0
		MRNA 42	6789000	388400	400	51	-90	0
		MRNA 43	6789030	388500	400	44	-90	0
		MRNA 44	6789070	388600	400	40	-90	0
		MRNA 45	6789065	388700	400	52	-90	0
		MRNA 46	6789075	388800	400	40	-90	0
		MRNA 47	6789095	388900	400	34	-90	0
		MRNA 48	6789035	389000	400	18	-90	0
		MRNA 49	6788980	389100	400	21	-90	0
		MRNA 50	6788945	389200	400	33	-90	0
		MRNA 51	6788900	389300	400	27	-90	0
		MRNA 52	6788875	389400	400	18	-90	0
		MRNA 53	6788875	389500	400	11	-90	0
		MRNA 55	6789495	389500	400	43	-90	0
		MRNA 56	6789415	389400	400	32	-90	0
		MRNA 57	6789400	389300	400	25	-90	0
		MRNA 58	6789350	389200	400	35	-90	0
		MRNA 59	6789360	389100	400	52	-90	0
		MRNA 60	6789380	389000	400	45	-90	0
		MRNA 61	6789385	388900	400	40	-90	0
		MRNA 62	6789400	388800	400	38	-90	0
		MRNA 63	6789440	388700	400	38	-90	0
		MRNA 64	6789500	388600	400	38	-90	0
		MRNA 65	6789520	388500	400	36	-90	0
		MRNA 66	6789550	388400	400	50	-90	0
		MRNA 67	6789600	388300	400	46	-90	0
		MRNA 68	6789720	388200	400	46	-90	0
		MRNA 69	6789835	388100	400	43	-90	0
		MRNA 70	6789900	388400	400	34	-90	0

<table>
<tr><th>Criteria</th><th>JORC Code explanation</th><th>Commentary</th></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td>
<table>
<tr><td>MRNA 71</td><td>6789900</td><td>388500</td><td>400</td><td>52</td><td>-90</td><td>0</td></tr>
<tr><td>MRNA 72</td><td>6789900</td><td>388600</td><td>400</td><td>59</td><td>-90</td><td>0</td></tr>
<tr><td>MRNA 73</td><td>6789900</td><td>388700</td><td>400</td><td>55</td><td>-90</td><td>0</td></tr>
<tr><td>MRNA 74</td><td>6789900</td><td>388800</td><td>400</td><td>57</td><td>-90</td><td>0</td></tr>
<tr><td>MRNA 75</td><td>6789900</td><td>388900</td><td>400</td><td>17</td><td>-90</td><td>0</td></tr>
</table>
Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling
• N/A</td></tr>
<tr><td>Data aggregation methods</td><td>• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.
• Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.
• The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</td><td>Data reported in this section is not for the purposes of Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve Estimations.

Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC
• Individual 1m assay values have been composited where appropriate.

Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger
• N/A

Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip
• N/A

Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil
• N/A

Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger
• N/A

Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil
• N/A

Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream
• N/A

Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling
• N/A

Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling
• N/A</td></tr>
<tr><td>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept</td><td>• These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.
• If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.
• If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. ‘down hole length, true width not known’).</td><td>Surface samples reported in general do not have a geometrical component and therefore do not require true width estimations.

Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC
• Relationship between exploration results and structures not fully understood.</td></tr>
</table>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
lengths		**Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • N/A **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip** • N/A **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • N/A **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • N/A **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • N/A **Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream** • N/A **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • N/A **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling** • N/A
Diagrams	• *Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.*	**Maps and diagrams included in this report illustrate the location of surface samples, geochemical anomalies and identified target areas.** **Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • Included in this report. **Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • Included in this report. **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip** • Included in this report. **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • Included in this report. **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • Included in this report. **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • Included in this report.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream • Included in this report. **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • Included in this report. **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling** • Not included in this report.
Balanced reporting	• *Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.*	**Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • All values included in JORC Table **Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • Thresholded values shown on maps in this report **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip** • Thresholded values shown on maps in this report **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • Thresholded values shown on maps in this report **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • Thresholded values shown on maps in this report **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • Thresholded values shown on maps in this report **Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream** • Thresholded values shown on maps in this report **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • Thresholded values shown on maps in this report **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling** • Not included in this report.
Other substantive exploration data	• *Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.*	**Connor, B (A133775, 2023) Drilling RC** • Geophysical interpretation taken from 2016 work. **Connor, B (A130851, 2022) Auger** • Geochemical assays available in WAMEX Report **Goldphyre (A104214, 2014) Rockchip**

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		• Rock chip sample values available in WAMEX Report **Topham (A99696, 2013) Soil** • Geochemical assays available in WAMEX Report **Goldfields (A64131, 2001) Auger** • Geochemical assays available in WAMEX Report **Mt. Kersey (A57289, 1998) Soil** • Geochemical assays available in WAMEX Report **Mt. Kersey (A48897, 1996) Soil, Stream** • Geochemical assays available in WAMEX Report **Mt. Kersey Mining N.L. (A52841, 1997) – AC Drilling** • Geochemical assays available in WAMEX Report **Minefields Pty Ltd (A23666, 1991) Percussion drilling** • Not available.
Further work	• *The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).* • *Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.*	• Further work on tenements which are the subject of this report will involve field visits, geological mapping and further geophysical processing.