

ersonal use only



Costa Fuego Copper-Gold Project

*Exciting New Porphyry Discovery, Top Global Copper
Development & Strategic Water Assets*

ASX: HCH

TSXV: HCH

OTCQX: HHLKF

www.hotchili.net.au

February 2026

Disclaimers



This presentation has been prepared by management of Hot Chili Limited (“Hot Chili” or the “Company”) and does not represent a recommendation to buy or sell securities of the Company. Investors should always consult their investment advisors prior to making any investment decisions. This presentation does not purport to be complete or contain all of the information that may be material to the current or future business, operations, financial condition or prospects of the Company and Hot Chili makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this presentation. Certain information contained herein is based on, or derived from, information obtained from independent third-party sources, publicly available reports and other trade and industry sources. Hot Chili believes that such information is accurate and that the sources from which it has been obtained are reliable; however, Hot Chili has not independently verified such information and does not assume any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of such information. Neither the TSX Venture Exchange nor its Regulation Services Provider (as that term is defined in the policies of the TSX Venture Exchange) accepts responsibility for the adequacy or accuracy of this news release.

Cautionary Note for U.S. Investors Concerning Mineral Resources

NI 43-101 is a rule of the Canadian Securities Administrators which establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. Technical disclosure contained in this presentation has been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (“CIM”) Classification System. These standards differ from the requirements of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), and technical information contained in this press release may not be comparable to similar information disclosed by domestic United States companies subject to the SEC’s reporting and disclosure requirements.

All amounts in this news release are in U.S. dollars unless otherwise noted.

Non IFRS Financial Performance Measures

“Total Cash Cost”, “Sustaining Capital”, “Expansion Capital”, “Start-Up Capital”, “All-in costs LOM”, “C1”, and “Free ” are not performance measures reported in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”). These performance measures are included because these statistics are key performance measures that management uses to monitor performance. Management uses these statistics to assess how the Costa Fuego Project compares against its peer projects and to assess the overall effectiveness and efficiency of the contemplated mining operations. These performance measures do not have a meaning within IFRS and, therefore, amounts presented may not be comparable to similar data presented by other mining companies. These performance measures should not be considered in isolation as a substitute for measures of performance in accordance with IFRS.

Mineral Reserves and Ore Reserves

The Costa Fuego Mineral Reserve is reported in accordance with the JORC Code (2012) and the CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definition, as required by NI 43-101. References to “Mineral Reserves” mean “Ore Reserves” as defined in the JORC Code and references to “Proven Mineral Reserves” mean “Proved Ore Reserves” as defined in the JORC Code. There is no material difference between the definitions of Probable Ore Reserves under the 2014 CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves and the equivalent definitions in the JORC Code (2012). Terms Mineral Reserve (CIM) and Ore Reserve (JORC) are equivalent, and this study uses Mineral Reserve for consistency.


Corporate Overview



Capital Structure

Stock Exchanges	ASX/TSXV: HCH OTCQX: HHLKF
Shares Outstanding	201.8 M
Options & Performance Rights	6.8 M
Cash	A\$40 M (approx. as of 13 Feb 2026) ^{1,3}
Market Capitalisation ¹	A\$340 M (as of 13 Feb 2026) ²

Ownership



Supporting Brokerage



12-Month Share Price Performance



¹ HCH closed a A\$40M private placement (incl. fees) on 13 Feb 2026 – see announcement released on this date for more information


² HCH:ASX share price of AU\$1.68 as of 13 Feb 2026

³ Additional A\$500k in receivables owing

Market Valuations (EV/lb) of Cu Developers Increasing



Sharp rallies by independent copper developers since mid-2025




While copper markets continue to strengthen, Hot Chili is trading significantly below the mean for its peer group of 28 independent junior copper developers, despite being one of the nearest-term, top-five production scale copper developers in the world.

Copper Project Transaction Values (P/NAV) Increasing



Strengthening copper and gold prices in a supply-constrained market



Source: Transaction Database - BMO (February 2026), Cu Price Source - <https://tradingeconomics.com/commodity/copper>




Advancing Three Strategic Fronts

Exciting La Verde Porphyry Discovery

Advanced Costa Fuego Cu-Au Project

Strategic Huasco Water Asset



Low Elevation Advantage – Lowers Economic Hurdle



Long-term Commitment to Risk-Reduction of Future Development

Hot Chili Projects Registered for Priority Status

- ✓ Chilean Ministry of Economy – to streamline final approvals

Water Risk Removed

- ✓ Granted maritime concession with land access
- ✓ All water required for operations secured

Power Line Risk Removed

- ✓ Secured electrical connection to grid
- ✓ Opportunity to be 100% renewable

Permitting Timelines Reduced

- ✓ Secured easement corridors for power and water pipelines
- ✓ Secured many of proposed mining infrastructure surface rights

Access to Existing Infrastructure


- ✓ Reduces future capital expenditure
- ✓ Improves environment, social and governance metrics

Port MOU Executed

- ✓ MOU executed with Puerto Las Losas SA for the right to negotiate a binding Port Services Agreement

Offtake Not Fully Committed

- ✓ Glencore can purchase up to 60% of concentrate for first 8 years life of mine – at benchmark terms but must maintain >7.5% ownership in Company



La Verde – Consolidation, Discovery, Growth




Name: La Verde Copper-Gold Porphyry Discovery

Location: ~30 km southeast of planned Productora Central Processing

Acquired: November 2024

Drilling to Date: 9,600 m (RC), 4,950 m (DD)



Significant Results from first two holes released, including:
DKP002 – 308m @ 0.65% CuEq¹ (0.5% Cu, 0.3 g/t Au) from 46m

¹ Copper Equivalent (CuEq) reported for the drillhole intersections were calculated using the following formula: $CuEq\% = ((Cu\% \times Cu\ price\ 1\% \ per\ tonne \times Cu_recovery) + (Mo\ ppm \times Mo\ price\ per\ g/t \times Mo_recovery) + (Au\ ppm \times Au\ price\ per\ g/t \times Au_recovery) + (Ag\ ppm \times Ag\ price\ per\ g/t \times Ag_recovery)) / (Cu\ price\ 1\% \ per\ tonne \times Cu_recovery)$. The Metal Prices applied in the calculation were: Cu=4.50 USD/lb, Au=3,150 USD/oz, Mo=20 USD/lb, and Ag=30 USD/oz. The entirety of the intersection is assumed as fresh. The recovery and copper equivalent formula for La Verde uses Cortaderra as a proxy, which is considered reasonable given both the similar mineralisation style and amenability testwork completed thus far at La Verde – Recoveries of 83% Cu, 56% Au, 83% Mo and 37% Ag. $CuEq\ (\%) = Cu(\%) + 0.69 \times Au(g/t) + 0.00044 \times Mo(ppm) + 0.0043 \times Ag(g/t)$.

La Verde – Major Growth Lever for Costa Fuego




Near-surface, Gold-rich Copper Discovery, only 35 km from Productora

- 31 Reverse Circulation (RC) holes, 8 Diamond (DD) holes, and 5 Diamond Tails (RCDD) for 14,550 m drilled to date

- Phase 1 RC defined a +0.2% Cu discovery footprint measuring 1,000 m by 750 m by 400 m depth

- Phase 2 DD expanded the deposit vertically by >300m and confirmed convergence of gold-rich, high-grade copper mineralisation centres at depth

- Current drillhole DKD039 intersected **strong chalcopyrite-rich copper porphyry mineralisation¹** over significant widths, down to 840 m. Assay results expected April 2026



¹ Visual estimates of mineral abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analyses where concentrations or grades are the factor of principal economic interest. Visual estimates also potentially provide no information regarding impurities or deleterious physical properties relevant to valuations. Assay results are pending and will be reported in accordance with the JORC Code (2012) and National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects. A table of mineral abundances, mineralisation mode, and estimated assay return dates is included on Slide 35.

² Copper Equivalent (CuEq) reported for the drillhole intersections were calculated using the following formula: $CuEq\% = ((Cu\% \times Cu \text{ price } 1\% \text{ per tonne} \times Cu_recovery) + (Mo \text{ ppm} \times Mo \text{ price per g/t} \times Mo_recovery) + (Au \text{ ppm} \times Au \text{ price per g/t} \times Au_recovery) + (Ag \text{ ppm} \times Ag \text{ price per g/t} \times Ag_recovery)) / (Cu \text{ price } 1\% \text{ per tonne} \times Cu_recovery)$. The Metal Prices applied in the calculation were: Cu=4.50 USD/lb, Au=3,150 USD/oz, Mo=20 USD/lb, and Ag=30 USD/oz. The entirety of the intersection is assumed as fresh. The recovery and copper equivalent formula for La Verde uses Cortadera as a proxy, which is considered reasonable given both the similar mineralisation style and amenability testwork completed thus far at La Verde – Recoveries of 83% Cu, 56% Au, 83% Mo and 37% Ag. $CuEq (\%) = Cu (\%) + 0.69 \times Au (g/t) + 0.00044 \times Mo (ppm) + 0.0043 \times Ag (g/t)$.

High-Grade Starter Pit Materialising

Strong continuity of +0.60% CuEq¹ drill intersections




Eleven drillholes now define a 400 m x 400 m +0.60% CuEq near-surface zone.

Five drillholes with +0.70% CuEq assay results so far:

- 148 m @ 0.82% CuEq (0.60% Cu, 0.30 g/t Au) from 70 m (DKD032)
 - Incl 30 m @ 0.95% CuEq from 126 m
- 52 m @ 0.73% CuEq (0.50% Cu, 0.40 g/t Au) from 52 m (DKP013)
- 37 m @ 0.70% CuEq (0.55% CuEq, 0.21 g/t Au) from 117 m (DKD036)
- 17 m @ 0.82% CuEq (0.67% Cu, 0.20 g/t Au) from 195 m (DKD035)
- 20 m @ 0.76% CuEq (0.59% Cu, 0.20 g/t Au) from 144 m (DKP001)

Infill RC drilling of near surface high-grade zone to commence soon


+0.6% CuEq significant intercepts within 200 m of surface shown on hole traces. Intervals coloured by CuEq



¹ Copper Equivalent (CuEq) reported for the drillhole intersections were calculated using the following formula: $CuEq\% = ((Cu\% \times Cu\ price\ 1\% \ per\ tonne \times Cu_recovery) + (Mo\ ppm \times Mo\ price\ per\ g/t \times Mo_recovery) + (Au\ ppm \times Au\ price\ per\ g/t \times Au_recovery) + (Ag\ ppm \times Ag\ price\ per\ g/t \times Ag_recovery)) / (Cu\ price\ 1\% \ per\ tonne \times Cu_recovery)$. The Metal Prices applied in the calculation were: Cu=4.50 USD/lb, Au=3,150 USD/oz, Mo=20 USD/lb, and Ag=30 USD/oz. The entirety of the intersection is assumed as fresh. The recovery and copper equivalent formula for La Verde uses Cortadera as a proxy, which is considered reasonable given both the similar mineralisation style and amenability testwork completed thus far at La Verde – Recoveries of 83% Cu, 56% Au, 83% Mo and 37% Ag. $CuEq\ (\%) = Cu\ (\%) + 0.69 \times Au\ (g/t) + 0.00044 \times Mo\ (ppm) + 0.0043 \times Ag\ (g/t)$.

Copper Mineralisation Extent – Phase 1


Defined by Phase 1 RC drilling (31 drillholes totalling 9,800 m)



ersonal use only

Copper Mineralisation Extent – Phase 2

Defined by Phase 1 and eight Phase 2 diamond drillhole results, five holes pending assays



Personal use only


¹ Visual estimates of mineral abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analyses where concentrations or grades are the factor of principal economic interest. Visual estimates also potentially provide no information regarding impurities or deleterious physical properties relevant to valuations. Assay results are pending and will be reported in accordance with the JORC Code (2012) and National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects. A table of mineral abundances, mineralisation mode, and estimated assay return dates is included on Slide 35.

² Copper Equivalent (CuEq) reported for the drillhole intersections were calculated using the following formula: $CuEq\% = ((Cu\% \times Cu\ price\ 1\% \ per\ tonne \times Cu_recovery) + (Mo\ ppm \times Mo\ price\ per\ g/t \times Mo_recovery) + (Au\ ppm \times Au\ price\ per\ g/t \times Au_recovery) + (Ag\ ppm \times Ag\ price\ per\ g/t \times Ag_recovery)) / (Cu\ price\ 1\% \ per\ tonne \times Cu_recovery)$. The Metal Prices applied in the calculation were: Cu=4.50 USD/lb, Au=3,150 USD/oz, Mo=20 USD/lb, and Ag=30 USD/oz. The entirety of the intersection is assumed as fresh. The recovery and copper equivalent formula for La Verde uses Cortadera as a proxy, which is considered reasonable given both the similar mineralisation style and amenability testwork completed thus far at La Verde – Recoveries of 83% Cu, 56% Au, 83% Mo and 37% Ag. $CuEq\ (\%) = Cu(\%) + 0.69 \times Au(g/t) + 0.00044 \times Mo(ppm) + 0.0043 \times Ag(g/t)$.

District-Scale Porphyry Cluster Set to be Drill Tested

Three nearby, look-alike porphyry Cu-Au targets – two concealed below shallow gravel cover

- Multiple look-alike magnetic features adjacent to the La Verde Cu-Au porphyry discovery highlight potential for a broader district-scale porphyry system
- Regulatory approval, granted in October 2025, provides access for first drill testing of these targets
- Drill platform and access clearing has commenced




¹See Announcement 'Regulatory Green Light Paves Way for La Verde Cu-Au Discovery Expansion Drilling' dated 9 Oct 2025

World's Largest Undeveloped Copper Mineral Resources

Peer Benchmark – Projects Not Controlled by a Major Mining Company



Ranked by Measured and Indicated Copper Equivalent Tonnes¹
 Colour indicates time since first Mineral Resource on Project²



Average CuEq Grade of new supply

Copper Indicated Resource contains 2.9 Mt (6.4 Blb)
 Inferred Resource contains 0.5 Mt (1.1 Blb)

Gold Indicated Resource contains 2.6 Moz
 Inferred Resource contains 0.4 Moz

¹ The Global Resource Peer Group of Mineral Resources were selected on the following basis: 22 of the largest global primary copper Mineral Resources (not controlled by a major miner) ranked by contained CuEq metal (Measured and Indicated classification). All Mineral Resources are published and are reported in accordance with JORC Code (2012) and/or NI 43-101 standards.

² Resource CuEq on graph was constructed from public information (used without the consent of the source) and normalised using the following price deck: Copper US\$4.30/lb, Gold US\$2,280/oz, Molybdenum US\$20/lb, Silver US\$28/oz. CuEq grade and tonnes calculated using these prices and recoveries declared in each Project's public company documents. Hot Chili assembled the data from company public reports and announcements available on 19 November 2025. See Slides 29 to 30 for details.

³ First Mineral Resource for each project sourced from publicly available materials, see Slide 31 for full list.


Graph Colour : Time Since First Mineral Resource on Project²



Candle Colour : Cut-off Grade Type

COG = CuEq%
 COG = Cu%

Candle Values : M+I Resource Grade



Costa Fuego PFS Highlights

Strong financial results using 8% discount rate & long-term US\$4.30/lb copper price and US\$2,280/oz gold price



Post-Tax NPV_{8%}

US\$1.20 B

Post-Tax IRR

19%

Post-Tax, Life of Mine Free

Cashflow

US\$3.86 B

Payback Period

4.5 Years

Primary Production Annual Rate

(14 Years)

116 kt CuEq¹

Cu

95 kt/yr
(255 Mlb/yr)

Au

48 koz/yr

Mo

2 kt/yr
(4.4 Mlb/yr)

Ag

158 koz/yr

Start-Up Capital

US\$1.27 B

Project Life

20 Years

With Primary Production Life

of 14 Years

C1 Cash Cost

(Net of By-Product Credits)

US\$1.38/lb Cu


Open Pit Strip Ratio

1.5

Top Quartile Production Capacity
Bottom Quartile Capital Intensity

¹ PFS CuEq considers long-term commodity prices and metallurgical recoveries for the production feed from testwork. The CuEq metal was determined as the equivalent copper metal with equal value to all saleable production. See slide 36 for PFS commodity prices and slide 34 for PFS metallurgical recoveries. See Slide 2, 37 - 39 for discussion of non-IFRS measures and additional cautionary language.

NPV = Net Present Value, IRR = Internal Rate of Return.




Strongly Leveraged to Copper Price

For every US\$0.10¢/lb increase in copper price above US\$4.30/lb, NPV_{8%} increases by ~US\$100 M



Sensitivity to Copper Price

(Post-Tax NPV_{8%} & IRR)



Since March 2025 PFS

- **Cu Price +5%**
(US\$4.51/lb - LT Consensus¹)
- **Au Price + 38%**
(US\$3,137/oz – LT Consensus¹)
- **Mine Life Growth + ?%**
(La Verde adds further leverage)


Leverage to Cu Price – Global Developer Peer Group



Leverage Index – Ratio of % increase in Cu price to % increase in Post-tax NPV_{8%}

- **Costa Fuego has one of the highest leverages to copper price** outside the control of a major mining company
- Larger production **scale favors higher leverage** to copper price

Leverage to Cu Price - Global Developer Peer Group



Sphere size represents published Mineral Resource M+I CuEq Metal.


The Global Developer Peer Group of project studies were selected on the following basis: Global primary copper projects (not controlled by a major miner), with net by-product credits where applicable, reporting studies of average annual life-of-mine copper production of greater than 40 kt, which have been published within the last 5 years. Projects with older studies were considered to be on hold. Significant projects such as Pebble and King-king were excluded by Hot Chili due to high perceived geopolitical risk, limiting the probability of development. Projects controlled by mid-tier mining companies near Costa Fuego were also included (Mantoverde, Mantos Blancos, Copper World) for comparison purposes. References to active mines and other mineral projects is for illustration purposes only. There can be no assurances the Company will achieve comparable results.

Source: Published Company reports on studies undertaken on projects that were not in production at the time of the studies. Information from projects has been sourced from publicly available data that has been provided under differing economic assumptions. Public information for projects has been adjusted to provide a standardised data set under a US\$4.30/lb Cu price. Published sensitivity data provided results that bracketed an US\$4.30/lb Cu price, which was then calculated. Details of the adjustment are provided in the reference table on Benchmarking Data in the appendix (see slides 32-33).

Capital Intensity – South American Peer Group



Costa Fuego's Elevation Advantage - Capital Intensity of Annual Produced Copper Equivalent¹




Sphere size represents projected Life of Mine Average Annual CuEq Production. ¹ PFS CuEq considers long-term commodity prices and PFS metallurgical recoveries for the production feed from testwork. The CuEq metal was determined as the equivalent copper metal with equal value to all saleable production. See slide 36 for PFS commodity prices and slide 34 PFS metallurgical recoveries.

The Global Developer Peer Group of project studies were selected on the following basis: Global primary copper projects (not controlled by a major miner), with net by-product credits where applicable, reporting studies of average annual life-of-mine copper production of greater than 40 kt, which have been published within the last 5 years. Projects with older studies were considered to be on hold. Significant projects such as Pebble and King-king were excluded by Hot Chili due to high perceived geopolitical risk, limiting the probability of development. Projects controlled by mid-tier mining companies near Costa Fuego were also included (Mantoverde, Mantos Blancos, Copper World) for comparison purposes. References to active mines and other mineral projects is for illustration purposes only. There can be no assurances the Company will achieve comparable results. Source: Published Company reports on studies undertaken on projects that were not in production at the time of the studies. Information from projects has been sourced from publicly available data that has been provided under differing economic assumptions. Public information for projects has been adjusted to provide a standardised data set under a US\$4.30/lb Cu price. Published sensitivity data provided results that bracketed a US\$4.30/lb Cu price, which was then calculated. Details of the adjustment are provided in the reference table on Benchmarking Data in the appendix (see slides 32-33).

Top Five Scale, Low-Cost Independent Cu Developer



Low Elevation Ensures Lower Costs than Peers



Personal use only


The Global Developer Peer Group of project studies were selected on the following basis: Global primary copper projects (not controlled by a major miner), with net by-product credits where applicable, reporting studies of average annual life-of-mine copper production of greater than 40 kt, which have been published within the last 5 years. Projects with older studies were considered to be on hold. Significant projects such as Pebble and King-king were excluded by Hot Chili due to high perceived geopolitical risk, limiting the probability of development. References to active mines and other mineral projects is for illustration purposes only. There can be no assurances the Company will achieve comparable results.

Source: Published Company reports on studies undertaken on projects that were not in production at the time of the studies. Information from projects has been sourced from publicly available data that has been provided under differing economic assumptions. Public information for projects has been adjusted to provide a standardised data set under a US\$4.30/lb Cu price. Published sensitivity data provided results that bracketed a US\$4.30/lb Cu price, which was then calculated. Details of the adjustment are provided in the reference table on Benchmarking Data in the appendix (see slides 31-33).

Costa Fuego Project Layout

Proximity of Costa Fuego Mining Areas Offers Strategic Advantages

- Projects located at **low elevation** (average 740 m) on the **Chilean coastal range**
- Proposed **centralised processing facilities**, including¹:
 - 20.7 Mtpa Sulphide Concentrator
 - 4 Mtpa Heap Leach
- **Raw sea water used for processing**, extracted from **permitted location 60 km** from plant
- To allow for an accelerated submission in 2026, Stage 1 EIA² will consider Productora (first 8 yrs of mine schedule)



¹ Over the Life of Mine

² EIA = Environmental Impact Study

Huasco Water PFS Highlights

Strong financial results for a potential large, multi-user, water business

Stage 1

- Permitted Seawater Supply
- Multi-decade Project Life
- MOU Executed, Near-term

Potential
Seawater Supply
500 L/s

Post-Tax NPV_{8%}
US\$122 M
Post-Tax IRR
19%

Construction Capital
US\$151 M
Payback Period
4.5 Years

Stage 2

- Potential Desalinated Water Supply
- Large Catchment of Potential Off-takers

Potential
Desalinated Water
Supply
1,300 L/s

Post-Tax NPV_{8%}
US\$977 M
Post-Tax IRR
19%


Construction Capital
US\$1.4 B
Payback Period
4 Years

Stage 3

- Potential Desalinated Water Supply
- Expansion of Stage 2
- Over 4000 L/s of demand identified

Potential **Desalinated Water** Supply
2,300 L/s


Only active maritime license with permitted access to supply seawater in the Huasco Valley region



Stage 1 – Seawater Supply to Costa Fuego



Stage 1 (Costa Fuego 20-Year project)		Units	Value
Tariff			
Variable Tariff		US\$/m ³	0.69
Fixed Annual Tariff		US\$/year	33
Project Life		years	20
Total Volume of Seawater Delivered		Mm ³	255
Financial Measures			
Pre-tax	NPV _{8%}	US\$M	179
	IRR	%	22
Post-tax	NPV _{8%}	US\$M	122
	IRR	%	19
Startup Capital		US\$M	151
Sustaining Capital		US\$M	26
Total Revenue		US\$M	880
Total Operating Costs		US\$M	91
Corporate Tax		US\$M	165
Post-tax Free Cash Flow		US\$M	447
Payback period (from commissioning)		years	4.5
Profitability Index (Post-tax NPV / Startup Capex)		Ratio	0.81




Personal use only

- Engineering studies for the **seawater intake infrastructure mitigated key risks** by incorporating advanced studies on wave propagation (including tsunamis) to ensure a 50-year life span
- **Single pumping station employed for stage 1.** Both an above ground and below ground solution were designed to provide optionality
- **Real-time control and monitoring system**

Stage 2 & 3 – Desalinated Water Supply to the Huasco Valley



Stage 2		Units	Value
Tariff			
Variable Tariff		US\$/m ³	1.98
Fixed Annual Tariff		US\$/year	327
Project Life		years	22
Total Volume of Seawater Delivered		Mm ³	255
Total Volume of Desalinated Water Delivered		Mm ³	822
Financial Measures			
Pre-tax	NPV _{8%}	US\$M	1,440
	IRR	%	22
Post-tax	NPV _{8%}	US\$M	977
	IRR	%	19
Startup Capital		US\$M	1,440
Sustaining Capital		US\$M	1,170
Total Revenue		US\$M	9,350
Total Operating Costs		US\$M	1,240
Corporate Tax		US\$M	1,500
Post-tax Free Cash Flow		US\$M	4,000
Payback period (from Stage 2 commissioning)		years	4.0
Profitability Index (Post-tax NPV / Startup Capex)		Ratio	0.68




- Upgraded seawater intake and a reverse osmosis desalination plant with **scalable capacity**
- Seven strategically located pumping stations and the installation of a large-diameter pipeline system enables **efficient water transmission to potential clients**
- Potential clients were identified within a **strategic influence window of 150km** centred on the Huasco Water intake.

Investment Thesis


HCH primed to benefit from bullish market conditions in 2026



HCH still trading at discount to analyst consensus, despite +140% share price increase over last 12 months



Positive outlook for Cu and Au, long-term consensus prices \$US4.51/lb Cu and \$US3,317/oz Au²



La Verde Cu-Au Porphyry Discovery continues to deliver strong, wide, near surface intersections, potentially enhancing Costa Fuego Project economics³

DKD032 – 529 m @ 0.56% CuEq⁴ (0.41% Cu, 0.21 g/t Au) from 41 m

DKD033 – 495 m @ 0.46% CuEq (0.38% Cu, 0.10 g/t Au) from 3 m

DKD036 – 150 m @ 0.52% CuEq (0.37% Cu, 0.21 g/t Au) from 30 m

Among the lowest valuations of top five independent copper developers by scale (LOM Annual CuEq Production)⁵



¹ P/NAV = Price to net-asset value ratio, ranges for 'Copper Producer' and 'Advanced Copper Developer' derived from a copper project transaction data set supplied by BMO (Jan 2024 to Dec 2025); ² Source: CIBC Long Term Consensus - January 2026; ³ See drill announcements dated 10 December 2025 & 19 January 2026
⁴ Copper Equivalent (CuEq) reported for the drillhole intersections were calculated using the following formula: $CuEq = ((Cu\% \times Cu\ price\ 1\% \text{ per tonne} \times Cu_recovery) + (Mo\ ppm \times Mo\ price\ per\ g/t \times Mo_recovery) + (Au\ ppm \times Au\ price\ per\ g/t \times Au_recovery) + (Ag\ ppm \times Ag\ price\ per\ g/t \times Ag_recovery)) / (Cu\ price\ 1\% \text{ per tonne} \times Cu_recovery)$. The Metal Prices applied in the calculation were: Cu=4.50 USD/lb, Au=3,150 USD/oz, Mo=20 USD/lb, and Ag=30 USD/oz. The entirety of the intersection is assumed as fresh. The recovery and copper equivalent formula for La Verde uses Cortadera as a proxy, which is considered reasonable given both the similar mineralisation style and amenability testwork completed thus far at La Verde – Recoveries of 83% Cu, 56% Au, 83% Mo and 37% Ag. $CuEq (\%) = Cu(\%) + 0.69 \times Au(g/t) + 0.00044 \times Mo(ppm) + 0.0043 \times Ag(g/t)$.
⁵ Source: Published Company reports on studies undertaken on projects that were not in production at the time of the studies. Information from projects has been sourced from publicly available data that has been provided under differing economic assumptions. Public information for projects has been adjusted to provide a standardised data set under a US\$4.30/lb Cu price. Published sensitivity data provided results that bracketed a US\$4.30/lb Cu price, which was then calculated. Details of the adjustment are provided in the reference table on Benchmarking Data in the appendix (see slides 28-32). Significant projects such as Pebble and King-king were excluded by Hot Chili due to high perceived geopolitical risk, limiting the probability of development.

ersonal use only

ASX: HCH

TSXV: HCH

OTCQX: HHLKF

www.hotchili.net.au



Appendices

Board Members



Stuart Matthews
Independent Non-Executive Chair



Christian Easterday
Managing Director & Chief Executive Officer



Fiona Van Maanen
Independent Non-Executive Director



Roberto de Andraca Adriasola¹
Non-Executive Director



Mark Jamieson
Non-Executive Director
(Glencore Nominee)

Executive Team



José Ignacio Silva¹
Executive Vice President



Grant King
Chief Operating Officer



Ryan Finkelstein
Chief Financial Officer



Carol Marinkovich
Company Secretary



Kirsty Sheerin
Vice President
Corporate Development



Andrea Aravena¹
Vice President
Geology

¹Chilean National, resides in Chile

Management Team



Marcelo Hernando¹
Development Manager



Miguel Tapia¹
Exploration Manager



Cristóbal Juliá¹
Environmental
Manager



Chris McKie
Resource Development
Manager



Katie Collins
Group ESG Manager



Miki Wang
Corporate Projects
Manager

Key Consultants



Dr John Beeson
Lead Structural
Geologist



Elizabeth Haren
Independent
Resource Consultant



¹Chilean National, resides in Chile

Notes to Mineral Resource Disclosure – Costa Fuego

Costa Fuego Project Mineral Resource Estimate



Costa Fuego OP Resource		Grade					Contained Metal				
Classification	Tonnes	CuEq	Cu	Au	Ag	Mo	Copper Eq	Copper	Gold	Silver	Molybdenum
(+0.20% CuEq ¹)	(Mt)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(ppm)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(ounces)	(ounces)	(tonnes)
Indicated	736	0.46	0.37	0.11	0.50	85	3,370,000	2,720,000	2,480,000	11,700,000	62,800
M+I Total	736	0.46	0.37	0.11	0.50	85	3,370,000	2,720,000	2,480,000	11,700,000	62,800
Inferred	170	0.30	0.25	0.06	0.36	65	520,000	420,000	340,000	1,900,000	11,000

Costa Fuego UG Resource		Grade					Contained Metal				
Classification	Tonnes	CuEq	Cu	Au	Ag	Mo	Copper Eq	Copper	Gold	Silver	Molybdenum
(+0.27% CuEq ¹)	(Mt)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(ppm)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(ounces)	(ounces)	(tonnes)
Indicated	62	0.39	0.31	0.08	0.55	85	250,000	190,000	160,000	1,100,000	5,300
M+I Total	62	0.39	0.31	0.08	0.55	85	250,000	190,000	160,000	1,100,000	5,300
Inferred	33	0.35	0.29	0.07	0.41	46	120,000	96,000	76,000	430,000	1,500

Costa Fuego Total Resource		Grade					Contained Metal				
Classification	Tonnes	CuEq	Cu	Au	Ag	Mo	Copper Eq	Copper	Gold	Silver	Molybdenum
(+0.20% CuEq ¹ OP 0.27% CuEq ¹ UG)	(Mt)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(ppm)	(tonnes)	(tonnes)	(ounces)	(ounces)	(tonnes)
Indicated	798	0.45	0.37	0.10	0.50	85	3,620,000	2,910,000	2,640,000	12,800,000	68,100
M+I Total	798	0.45	0.37	0.10	0.50	85	3,620,000	2,910,000	2,640,000	12,800,000	68,100
Inferred	203	0.31	0.25	0.06	0.36	61	640,000	516,000	416,000	2,330,000	12,500

- Mineral Resources are reported on a 100% Basis - combining Mineral Resource estimates for the Cortadera, Productora, Alice and San Antonio deposits. All figures are rounded, reported to appropriate significant figures and reported in accordance with the Joint Ore Reserves Committee Code (2012). Mineral resource estimation practices are in accordance with CIM Estimation of Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve Best Practice Guidelines (November 29, 2019) and CIM Environmental, Social and Governance Guidelines for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserve Estimation (September 8, 2023) and reported in accordance CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (May 10, 2014) that are incorporated by reference into NI 43-101.
- Mineral Reserves are inclusive of Mineral Resources
- The Productora deposit is 100% owned by Chilean incorporated company Sociedad Minera El Aguila SpA (SMEA). SMEA is a joint venture (JV) company – 80% owned by Sociedad Minera El Corazón SpA (a 100% subsidiary of Hot Chili Limited), and 20% owned by Compañía Minera del Pacífico S.A (CMP).
- The Cortadera deposit is controlled by a Chilean incorporated company Sociedad Minera La Frontera SpA (Frontera). Frontera is a subsidiary company – 100% owned by Sociedad Minera El Corazón SpA, which is a 100% subsidiary of Hot Chili Limited.
- The San Antonio deposit is controlled through Frontera (100% owned by Sociedad Minera El Corazón Limitada, which is a 100% subsidiary of Hot Chili Limited) and has an Option Agreement with a private party to earn a 100% interest.
- The Mineral Resource Estimates in the tables above form coherent bodies of mineralisation that are considered amenable to a combination of open pit and underground extraction methods based on the following parameters: Base Case Metal Prices: Copper US\$ 3.00/lb, Gold US\$ 1,700/oz, Molybdenum US\$ 14/lb, and Silver US\$20/oz.
- All Mineral Resource Estimates were assessed for Reasonable Prospects of Eventual Economic Extraction (RPEEE) using both Open Pit and Block Cave Extraction mining methods at Cortadera and Open Pit mining methods at Productora, Alice and San Antonio.
- Metallurgical recovery averages for each deposit consider Indicated + Inferred material and are weighted to combine sulphide flotation and oxide leaching performance. Process recoveries:
Cortadera – Weighted recoveries of 82% Cu, 55% Au, 81% Mo and 36% Ag. $CuEq(\%) = Cu(\%) + 0.55 \times Au(g/t) + 0.00046 \times Mo(ppm) + 0.0043 \times Ag(g/t)$
San Antonio - Weighted recoveries of 85% Cu, 66% Au, 80% Mo and 63% Ag. $CuEq(\%) = Cu(\%) + 0.64 \times Au(g/t) + 0.00044 \times Mo(ppm) + 0.0072 \times Ag(g/t)$
Alice - Weighted recoveries of 81% Cu, 47% Au, 52% Mo and 37% Ag. $CuEq(\%) = Cu(\%) + 0.48 \times Au(g/t) + 0.00030 \times Mo(ppm) + 0.0044 \times Ag(g/t)$
Productora – Weighted recoveries of 84% Cu, 47% Au, 48% Mo and 18% Ag. $CuEq(\%) = Cu(\%) + 0.46 \times Au(g/t) + 0.00026 \times Mo(ppm) + 0.0021 \times Ag(g/t)$
Costa Fuego – Recoveries of 83% Cu, 53% Au, 71% Mo and 26% Ag. $CuEq(\%) = Cu(\%) + 0.53 \times Au(g/t) + 0.00040 \times Mo(ppm) + 0.0030 \times Ag(g/t)$
- Resource Copper Equivalent (CuEq) grades are calculated based on the formula: $CuEq = ((Cu \times Cu \text{ price } 1\% \text{ per tonne} \times Cu_recovery) + (Mo \text{ ppm} \times Mo \text{ price per g/t} \times Mo_recovery) + (Au \text{ ppm} \times Au \text{ price per g/t} \times Au_recovery) + (Ag \text{ ppm} \times Ag \text{ price per g/t} \times Ag_recovery)) / (Cu \text{ price } 1\% \text{ per tonne} \times Cu \text{ recovery})$. The base case cut-off grade for mineral resources considered amenable to open pit extraction methods at the Cortadera, Productora, Alice and San Antonio deposits is 0.20% CuEq while the cut-off grade for Mineral Resources considered amenable to underground extraction methods at the Cortadera deposit is 0.27% CuEq.
- Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. These Mineral Resource estimates include Inferred Mineral Resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorised as Mineral Reserves. It is reasonably expected that the majority of Inferred mineral resources could be upgraded to Measured or Indicated Mineral Resources with continued exploration.
- The effective date of the estimate of Mineral Resources is March 27th, 2025. Refer to JORC Code Table 1 information in the announcement "Hot Chili Announces PFS & Maiden Ore Reserve for the Costa Fuego Resource Estimate (MRE) by Competent Person Elizabeth Haren, constituting the MREs of Cortadera, Productora, Alice and San Antonio (which combine to form Costa Fuego).
- Hot Chili Limited is not aware of political, environmental, or other risks that could materially affect the potential development of the Mineral Resources other than as disclosed in the 2025 PFS. Technical Report 'Costa Fuego Copper Project NI 43-101 Technical Report Preliminary Feasibility Study' dated May 9th 2025.

Global Project Resource Group

Benchmarking Data

Project	Company	Class	Mt	Cu%	Cu Mt	Au g/t	Au Moz	Ag g/t	Ag Moz	Mo ppm	Mo Mt	Mo kt	CuEq%	CuEq Mt
Cascabel	SolGold	MI	3,013	0.36	10.8	0.28	27	0.9	91	0	0.0	0.0	0.55	16.6
		Inf	607	0.26	1.6	0.19	3.7	0.6	11	0	0.0	0.0	0.39	2.4
Casino	Western Copper	MI	2,491	0.14	3.5	0.18	15	1.5	117	144	0.36	359	0.38	9.5
		Inf	1,412	0.10	1.4	0.14	6.4	1.2	52	92	0.13	130	0.24	3.4
Vizcachitas	Los Andes Copper	MI	1,541	0.38	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	54	155	0.24	239	0.44	6.8
		Inf	1,823	0.34	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.94	55	123	0.22	224	0.39	7.1
Los Azules	McEwen Mining	MI	1,235	0.40	4.9	0.01	0.5	0.3	10	0.0	0.0	0	0.41	5.0
		Inf	4,509	0.27	12.1	0.03	4.2	1.0	150	0.0	0.0	0	0.39	2.4
Canariaco Norte	Candente Copper	MI	1,127	0.38	4.3	0.06	2.2	1.7	62	0.0	0.0	0	0.42	4.8
		Inf	890	0.25	2.2	0.07	1.9	1.2	36	0.0	0.0	0	0.29	2.6
Costa Fuego	Hot Chili Limited	MI	798	0.37	3.0	0.10	2.6	0.5	13	85	0.07	68	0.45	3.6
		Inf	203	0.25	0.5	0.06	0.4	0.36	2	61	0.01	12	0.30	0.6
Warintza	Solaris Resources Inc	MI	3,746	0.24	9.1	0.03	4.0	1.2	476	132	0.49	494	0.32	11.9
		Inf	2,092	0.16	3.3	0.02	1.3	1.1	75	100	0.21	209	0.22	4.5
Caravel	Caravel Minerals	MI	699	0.24	1.7	0.00	0.0	0.0	0	50	0.03	35	0.24	1.7
		Inf	578	0.23	1.3	0.00	0.0	0.0	0	44	0.03	26	0.23	1.3
Marimaca	Copper Corp	MI	214	0.40	0.9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.40	0.9
		Inf	21	0.29	0.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.29	0.1
Cactus	Arizona Sonoran	MI	574	0.58	3.3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.58	3.3
		Inf	430	0.40	1.7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.40	1.7
Santo Tomas	Oroco	MI	541	0.33	1.8	0.03	0.47	2.10	36.50	80.00	0.04	43	0.36	1.93
		Inf	530	0.31	1.6	0.02	0.39	1.90	32.39	70.00	0.04	37	0.33	1.8

Table constructed from public information (used without the consent of the source) and normalised using this price deck: Copper US\$ 4.30/lb, Gold US\$1,750/oz, Molybdenum US\$14/lb, Silver US\$20/oz. Copper Equivalent grade and tonnes calculated using these prices and recoveries declared in each project's public company documents. Hot Chili assembled the data from S&P and company public reports and announcements available on 19 November 2025.

Global Project Resource Group (cont.)

Benchmarking Data

Project	Company	Class	Mt	Cu%	Cu Mt	Au g/t	Au Moz	Ag g/t	Ag Moz	Mo ppm	Mo Mt	Mo kt	CuEq%	CuEq Mt
Kharmagtal	Xanadu Miners	MI	1,340	0.21	2.9	0.16	7.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0.32	4.3
		Inf	960	0.20	1.9	0.13	4.1	0.0		0	0	0	0.29	2.8
Pebble	Northern Dynasty	MI	6,456	0.40	25.8	0.34	70.6	1.7	344.6	240	1.55	1,551	0.72	46.3
		Inf	4,454	0.25	11.1	0.25	35.8	1.2	170.4	226	1.01	1,007	0.50	22.5
Altar	Adebaran Resources	MI	2,397	0.42	9.98	0.07	5.08	1.22	93.8	0.00	0.00	0	0.46	10.9
		Inf	1,216	0.42	4.46	0.04	1.71	1.25	49.0	0.00	0.00	0	0.45	5.4
Los Helados	Ngex Minerals	MI	2,080	0.40	8.32	0.15	10.03	1.50	100.3	0.00	0.00	0	0.51	10.6
		Inf	1,080	0.34	3.67	0.10	3.47	1.50	52.1	0.00	0.00	0	0.42	4.5
King-King	St Augustine Gold and Copper Ltd	MI	962	0.23	2.25	0.32	9.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.56	5.4
		Inf	189	0.22	0.41	0.26	1.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.46	0.9
Yandera	Freeport Resources Inc	MI	729	0.33	2.40	0.07	1.59	0.00	0.00	100	0.07	73	0.41	3.0
		Inf	231	0.29	0.67	0.04	0.26	0.00	0.00	52	0.01	12	0.33	0.8
Cotabambas	Panoro Minerals Ltd	MI	507	0.34	1.70	0.20	3.29	0.54	8.84	21.47	0.01	11	0.46	2.3
		Inf	496	0.27	1.34	0.17	2.69	2.53	40.35	27.11	0.01	13	0.38	1.9
La Verde	Solaris Resources Inc	MI	408	0.41	1.67	0.03	0.39	2.42	31.74	0.00	0.00	0	0.45	1.8
		Inf	338	0.37	1.25	0.02	0.22	1.94	21.07	0.00	0.00	0	0.40	1.3
Antikori	Regulus Resources	MI	250	0.48	1.20	0.29	2.30	7.50	61.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.67	1.66
		Inf	267	0.41	1.09	0.26	2.20	7.80	67.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.58	1.55
Haib	Koryx Copper Inc.	MI	414	0.35	1.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.35	1.45
		Inf	345	0.33	1.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.33	1.14
Los Calatos	Minera Hampton Peru	MI	137	0.73	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	434.5	0.06	59	0.89	1.22
		Inf	216	0.78	1.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	244.5	0.05	53	0.86	1.87

Table constructed from public information (used without the consent of the source) and normalised using this price deck: Copper US\$ 4.30/lb, Gold US\$1,750/oz, Molybdenum US\$14/lb, Silver US\$20/oz. Copper Equivalent grade and tonnes calculated using these prices and recoveries declared in each project's public company documents. Hot Chili assembled the data from S&P and company public reports and announcements available on 19 November 2025.

Global Resource Peer Group

Resource benchmarking data



Project	Units	Costa Fuego	Marimaca	Warintza	Caravel	Copper Creek	Escalones	Moonlight Superior	Altar	Berg	Vizcachitas	Los Azules	Cactus	Santo Tomas
Company		Hot Chili	Marimaca Copper Corp	Solaris Resources	Caravel Minerals Ltd	Faraday Copper	World Copper Ltd	US Copper Corp	Aldebaran Resources	Surge Copper Corp.	Los Andes Copper Ltd	McEwen Mining Inc	Arizona Sonoran Copper Co.	Oroco Resource Corp.
M&I CuEq	Blbs	8.00	1.89	26.35	3.77	4.51	1.98	2.95	24.11	9.36	15.03	11.11	8.59	4.26
INF CuEq	Blbs	1.37	0.14	9.97	2.92	0.68	4.41	0.45	12.00	3.57	15.64	29.01	2.55	3.88
Market Cap 2026-02-13	M	340	1,345	2,213	229	855	4	22	579	193	419	1,523	1,292	182
Currency		AUD	CAD	CAD	AUD	CAD	CAD	CAD	CAD	CAD	CAD	USD	CAD	CAD
Exchange Rate to US\$	US	0.65	0.70	0.70	0.64	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	1.00	0.70	0.70
Market Cap	US\$M	221	982	1,616	149	624	3	16	423	141	306	1,523	943	133
Price	US\$/share	1.10	8.22	9.68	0.27	2.47	0.01	0.11	2.30	0.41	10.36	25.71	4.52	0.41
Shares OS	M	202	119	167	559	253	263	147	184	345	29	59	209	325

Source: Published Company reports on studies undertaken on projects that were not in production at the time of the studies. The peer group of projects were selected based on the following basis:

- Primary copper projects with by-product revenues where applicable, located within the Americas and including the largest ASX listed Copper project, Caravel.
- Projects that were near Costa Fuego, specifically within the Atacama. This included Santa Domingo, Mantos Blanco and Mantoverde
- Studies published within the last 5 years. Projects with older studies were considered to be on hold. This excluded La Verde, Los Calatos and Yandera.
- Significant projects such as Pebble and King-king were excluded due to high perceived geopolitical risk, limiting the probability of development.

CuEq metal calculated using a normalised price deck: Copper US\$ 4.30/lb, Gold US\$1,750/oz, Molybdenum US\$14/lb, Silver US\$20/oz.

Global Developer and Market Peer Group

Resource benchmarking data



Operation	Units	Costa Fuego	Los Azules	Escalones	Altar	Canariaco Norte	Vizcachitas	Warnitza	Santo Domingo	Cascabel	Marimaca	Casino	Berg	Mantoverde	Mantos Blancos	Copper World	Cactus	Santo Tomas	Moonlight-Superior	Copper Creek
Company		Hot Chili	McEwen Mining Inc	World Copper Ltd	Aldebaran Resources Inc	Alta Copper Corp	Los Andes Copper Ltd	Solaris Resources Inc.	Capstone Copper	Solgold Plc	Marimaca Copper Corp	Western Copper and Gold Corp	Surge Copper Corp	Capstone Copper	Capstone Copper	Hudbay	Arizona Sonoran Copper Co.	Orocco Resource Corp.	US Copper Corp.	Faraday Copper
Reported Level of Study		PFS	FS	PEA	PEA	PEA	PEA	PFS	FS	PFS	DFS	FS	PEA	DFS	DFS	PFS	PFS	PEA	PEA	PEA
Report Year		2025	2025	2023	2025	2024	2023	2025	2024	2024	2025	2022	2023	2021	2021	2023	2025	2024	2024	2023
Effective Date		27/03/2025	1/10/2025	23/02/2023	30/10/2025	31/05/2024	23/02/2023	6/11/2025	31/07/2024	31/12/2023	26/08/2025	13/06/2022	12/06/2023	29/11/2021	29/11/2021	1/07/2023	20/10/2025	15/08/2024	16/12/2024	3/04/2023
M&I CuEq	Mt CuEq	3.63	5.04	3.00	10.93	4.78	6.82	11.95	1.98	16.62	0.85	9.46	4.25	4.10	1.67	5.82	3.32	1.93	1.34	2.04
INF CuEq	Mt CuEq	0.62	13.16	0.90	5.44	2.62	7.09	4.92	0.57	2.37	0.06	3.39	1.62	2.49	0.14	1.01	1.74	1.76	0.21	0.31
Resource Category Split																				
Mesured/Indicated	%	85%	19%	0%	66%	73%	46%	64%	70%	83%	91%	64%	65%	62%	88%	81%	83%	50%	86%	83%
Inferred	%	15%	81%	100%	34%	27%	54%	36%	30%	17%	9%	36%	35%	38%	12%	19%	17%	50%	14%	17%
Elevation	masl	740	3775	3500	3400	3100	2000	1200	1140	1100	1020	1190	0	900	800	0	330	500	0	0
Nominal Annual Copper Throughput	kt/annum	77,763	156,238	50,389	88,148	132,978	153,880	153,873	68,261	102,239	41,553	74,227	57,258	80,866	39,817	48,132	82,500	103,765	75,848	42,587
Produced Metal	kt Cu	1,549,455	3,281,007	1,007,787	4,231,085	3,630,312	4,000,889	3,385,200	1,296,957	2,862,696	540,192	2,004,129	1,740,644	1,617,323	716,707	1,660,000	1,815,005	2,282,838	758,477	1,362,798
CAPEX 2025 Real Initial	US\$	1,272,690,000	3,562,348,599	742,177,196	1,593,000,000	2,248,730,771	2,744,803,090	3,729,000,000	2,410,737,673	1,830,719,447	587,000,000	3,146,757,939	2,211,849,651	922,174,003	83,824,582	1,989,656,505	1,017,204,483	1,148,416,539	995,103,451	896,209,543
Startup Capital Intensity (\$/nominal ann cu)	US\$/t Cu	16,518	22,801	14,729	18,072	16,910	17,837	24,234	35,317	17,906	14,126	42,394	38,630	11,404	2,105	23,972	12,330	11,067	13,120	21,044
Discount Rate	%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	8%	7%	7%
Copper Study Price	US\$/lb	4.30	4.25	3.60	4.35	4.00	3.68	4.50	4.10	3.85	4.30	3.50	4.00	3.45	3.60	3.75	4.25	4.00	4.15	3.80
Post-tax NPV	US\$	1,203,000,000	2,940,000,000	1,500,000,000	2,009,000,000	2,345,900,000	2,776,000,000	4,617,000,000	1,720,000,000	3,221,000,000	709,000,000	1,703,820,000	1,541,864,000	1,283,000,000	670,000,000	1,100,000,000	2,301,000,000	1,480,000,000	1,075,000,000	713,000,000
Profitability Index		0.95	0.83	0.70	1.26	1.04	1.01	1.24	0.71	1.58	1.21	0.54	0.70		0.55	2.26	1.29	1.08	0.80	
Metal Prices																				
Cu	US\$/lb	4.30	4.00	3.60	3.50	4.00	3.85	3.68	4.25	4.25	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.45	3.60	3.75	4.25	4.00	4.15	3.80
Au	US\$/oz	2,280.00	1,700.00	-	1,650.00	1,850.00	1,750.00	-	-	-	1,900.00	1,650.00	1,800.00	1,585.00	-	1,650.00	-	1,900.00	2,320.00	-
Mo	US\$/lb	20.00	20.00	-	12.00	-	-	12.90	-	-	15.00	12.00	15.00	-	-	12.00	-	15.00	-	13.00
Ag	US\$/oz	28.00	18.00	-	22.00	23.00	22.50	21.79	-	-	24.00	22.00	23.00	-	21.55	22.00	-	24.00	27.40	20.00
Normalised to US\$4.30/lb Cu Price																				
Total Revenue (Adjusted)	US\$	17,966,302,042	11,809,940,753	9,551,001,468	43,810,163,907	39,105,535,667	43,114,797,441	44,295,286,657	31,094,754,991	17,201,165,666	25,059,179,735	43,810,163,907	27,893,954,893	16,420,149,611	7,274,681,971	18,750,800,144	17,201,165,666	25,059,179,735	8,948,332,432	13,783,175,602
Post-Tax NPV (Scaled @ \$4.30/lb)	US\$	1,203,000,000	2,821,511,628	2,112,400,000	2,009,000,000	2,916,000,000	4,054,515,152	4,417,000,000	1,905,365,854	3,663,157,895	709,000,000	3,125,000,000	2,423,750,000	2,219,376,812	1,186,536,232	1,769,200,000	2,368,159,091	1,878,500,000	1,191,177,885	1,233,526,316
Post-Tax IRR (Scaled @ \$4.30/lb)	US\$	19%	19%	60%	21%	27%	30%	26%	26%	24%	31%	21%	22%	0%	27%	23%	26%	23%	22%	
Interpolated from Sensitivity Data																				
Upper Published NPV	US\$	2,050,000,000	4,966,000,000	1,882,000,000	-	3,858,000,000	4,137,000,000	6,417,000,000	2,658,000,000	4,000,000,000	1,221,000,000	3,351,000,000	3,443,000,000	2,421,000,000	1,297,000,000	2,006,000,000	3,481,000,000	2,549,000,000	2,291,000,000	1,499,000,000
Estimated NPV @ \$4.30/lb	US\$	1,203,000,000	2,821,511,628	2,112,400,000	2,009,000,000	2,916,000,000	4,054,515,152	4,417,000,000	1,905,365,854	3,663,157,895	709,000,000	3,125,000,000	2,423,750,000	2,219,376,812	1,186,536,232	1,769,200,000	2,368,159,091	1,878,500,000	1,191,177,885	1,233,526,316
Lower Published NPV	US\$	278,000,000	902,000,000	1,690,000,000	-	803,000,000	2,776,000,000	2,817,000,000	745,000,000	3,600,000,000	177,000,000	2,786,000,000	707,000,000	2,045,000,000	1,091,000,000	463,000,000	1,112,000,000	400,000,000	935,000,000	(142,000,000)
Upper Published IRR	%	26%	26%	54%	0%	33%	30%	0%	32%	26%	42%	22%	27%	0%	0%	29%	29%	31%	37%	25%
Estimated IRR @ \$4.30/lb	%	19%	19%	60%	21%	27%	30%	0%	26%	24%	31%	21%	22%	0%	27%	23%	26%	23%	22%	
Lower Published IRR	%	11%	12%	49%	0%	14%	24%	0%	15%	24%	15%	20%	12%	0%	13%	16%	12%	21%	5%	

Source: Published Company reports on studies undertaken on projects that were not in production at the time of the studies. Information from projects has been sourced from publicly available data that has been provided under differing economic assumptions. Public information for projects has been adjusted to provide a standardised data set under an 8% discount rate and US\$ 4.30/lb Cu price.

The peer group of projects were selected based on the following basis:

- Primary copper projects with by-product revenues where applicable, located within the Americas
- Projects that were near Costa Fuego, specifically within the Atacama. This included Santa Domingo
- Studies published within the last 5 years. Projects with older studies were considered to be on hold. This excluded La Verde, Los Calatos and Yandera.
- Significant projects such as Pebble and King-king were excluded due to high perceived geopolitical risk, limiting the probability of development.

Global Developer and Market Peer Group (continued)

Reference data – hyperlinks



Index	Company	Project	Hyperlink
1	Hot Chili Ltd	Costa Fuego	https://www.hotchili.net.au/UploadImages/announcements/NI-43101_Costa_Fuego_PFS_20250509-541.pdf
2	Caravel Minerals Ltd	Caravel	https://app.sharelinktechnologies.com/announcement/asx/95ace9b930eced7b0cfc5aa3c4ab8dab
3	World Copper Ltd	Escalones	https://worldcopperltd.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/World-Copper-Escalones-PEA-FINAL-2022-03-21.pdf
4	Capstone Copper	Santo Domingo	https://capstonecopper.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/Santo-Domingo-TR-Final-24March2020.pdf
5	Western Copper & Gold Corp	Casino	http://westerncopperandgold.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/M3-PN200352-Casino-Feasibility-Study-NI-43-101-Technical-Report_compressed.pdf
6	Alta Copper Corp	Canariaco Norte	https://altacopper.com/site/assets/files/5816/canariaco_norte_ni_43-101_technical_report_final_march_15_2022.pdf
7	Hudbay Minerals Inc	Copper World	Search on SEDAR - Not on Company Website
8	SolGold Plc	Cascabel	Search on SEDAR - Not on Company Website
9	Los Andes Copper Ltd	Vizcachitas	https://losandescopper.com/site/assets/files/3685/techreport.pdf
10	McEwen Mining Inc	Los Azules	https://www.mcewenmining.com/investor-relations/press-releases/press-release-details/2025/Los-Azules-Feasibility-Study-Confirms-Economically-Robust-Copper-Project-With-Leading-ESG-Performance/default.aspx
11	Arizona Sonoran Copper Co.	Cactus	https://arizonasonoran.com/site/assets/files/6384/ascu_ni_43-101_technical_report_pfs_3-28-2024.pdf
12	Oroco Resource Corp.	Santo Tomas	https://orocoresourcecorp.com/_resources/reports/Santo-Tomas-Copper-Project-NI-43-101-Technical-and-PEA.pdf
13	US Copper Corp	Moonlight-Superior	https://uscoppercorp.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/US-Copper-M-S-PEA.pdf
14	Faraday Copper	Copper Creek	https://minedocs.com/24/Copper_Creek_PEA_05032023.pdf
15	Surge Copper	Berg	https://surgecopper.com/site/assets/files/6250/surge_copper_berg_pea_technical_report_final.pdf
16	Aldebaran Resources Inc	Altar	https://wp-aldebaranresources-2023.s3.ca-central-1.amazonaws.com/media/2025/01/09162223/NI-43101-Technical-Report-on-Altars-Mineral-Resource-Estimate-2024.pdf
17	Marimaca Copper	Marimaca	https://marimaca.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/25-10-09-Marimaca-Oxide-Deposit-NI-43-101-Technical-Report-Feasibility-Study_FINAL.pdf
18	Solaris Resources Inc	Warintza	https://www.solarisresources.com/blog/solaris-publishes-positive-pre-feasibility-study
19	Capstone Copper	Mantoverde	https://capstonecopper.com/news/capstone-announces-mantoverde-optimized-feasibility-study/

erson

Sulphide Concentrator

Extensive Recovery Testwork Completed, Clean Concentrate¹, Variable Throughput Rates



Metal Recoveries

	Average Recovery to Concentrate (%)				Number of Tests
	Cu	Au	Mo	Ag	
Average	86	54	70	37	93

Variable Throughput Rates

	Concentrator (Mtpa)	Number of Tests
Average	21.7	85

- Variable throughput rates determined from extensive geometallurgical testwork
- High-specification, clean concentrate produced
- Four locked-cycle tests completed for the Costa Fuego project with very low arsenic recorded in the fresh water washed concentrate¹
- Negligible deleterious elements reported in concentrate testwork²

¹ Refer to Costa Fuego concentrate specification sheet included in slide 28.

² Averages for 'Recovery to Concentrate' and 'Concentrator Variable Throughput Rates' weighted by percentage of production feed metal

Concentrate Specification

Defined by five Locked-Cycle Tests

Copper-Gold-Silver-Molybdenum Concentrate Assays		
Element	Unit	Value
Cu	%	26
Au	ppm	5
Mo	ppm	7,411
Ag	ppm	24
Co	ppm	263
Cl	ppm	238
Al ₂ O ₃	%	2
As	ppm	44
Ba	ppm	55
Bi	ppm	24
CaO	%	1
Cd	ppm	7
F	ppm	ND ²
Fe	%	28
Hg	ppm	1
K	ppm	3,842
MgO	ppm	3,527

Copper-Gold-Silver-Molybdenum Concentrate Assays		
Element	Unit	Value
Mn	ppm	98
Na	ppm	2,392
Ni	ppm	82
P	ppm	154
Pb	ppm	136
S	%	32
Sb	ppm	11
Se	ppm	86
SiO ₂	%	7
Sn	ppm	9
Sr	ppm	21
Te	ppm	2
Th	ppm	5
Ti	%	0.1
V	ppm	29
Zn	ppm	262
Zr	ppm	80

DKD039 mineral abundances



Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Mineral	Mineral %	Description (Mineralisation Mode)	Expected Release of Results
DKD039	580	591	cp / py	0.5% / 1.8%	Disseminated and vein-hosted cp/py in intramineral porphyry	April 2026
	591	605.77	cp / py / mo	0.2% / 0.9% / 0.1%	Disseminated cp/py in late mineral porphyry	April 2026
	605.77	609	cp / py	0.3 % / 0.7%	Disseminated and vein-hosted cp/py in intramineral porphyry	April 2026
	609	617	cp / py	0.4% / 1.3%	Disseminated and vein-hosted cp/py in intramineral porphyry	April 2026
	617	618	cp / py	0.2% / 0.7%	Altered wallrock with minor disseminated cp/py	April 2026
	618	630.1	cp / py	0.6% / 1.4%	Disseminated and vein-hosted cp/py in intramineral porphyry	April 2026
	630.1	630.2	-	-	Interval of Core Loss	April 2026
	630.2	659.21	cp / py	0.8% / 1.2%	Disseminated and vein-hosted cp/py in intramineral porphyry	April 2026
	659.21	659.8	cp / py	0.7% / 2.0%	Brecciated contact zone between early and intramineral phases	April 2026
	659.8	670.7	cp / py / mo	0.8% / 1.6% / 0.1%	Disseminated and vein-hosted cp/py/mo in intramineral porphyry	April 2026
	670.7	674.65	cp / py	1.8% / 1.3%	Brecciated contact zone between early and intramineral phases	April 2026
	674.65	677.8	cp / py	1.0% / 1.8%	Disseminated and vein-hosted cp/py in intramineral porphyry	April 2026
	677.8	678.13	cp / py	0.2% / 1.0%	Disseminated cp/py in late mineral porphyry	April 2026
	678.13	681.83	cp / py	1.8% / 1.8%	Brecciated contact zone between early and intramineral phases	April 2026
	681.83	682.34	cp / py	1.0% / 1.5%	Brecciated contact zone between early and intramineral phases	April 2026
	682.34	683.8	cp / py	2.0% / 1.5%	Brecciated contact zone between early and intramineral phases	April 2026
	683.8	684.5	cp / py	1.5% / 1.5%	Disseminated and vein-hosted cp/py in intramineral porphyry	April 2026
	684.5	685.05	cp / py	1.0% / 2.5%	Disseminated and vein-hosted cp/py in intramineral porphyry	April 2026
	685.05	712.6	cp / py	2.1% / 1.8%	Disseminated and vein-hosted cp/py in early-mineral porphyry	April 2026
	712.6	716.72	cp / py	0.6% / 1.0%	Altered wallrock with disseminated cp/py	April 2026
716.72	717.1	cp / py	3.0% / 2.0%	Brecciated contact zone between early and intramineral phases	April 2026	
717.1	726.17	cp / py	1.7% / 1.9%	Disseminated and vein-hosted cp/py in early-mineral porphyry	April 2026	
726.17	730	cp / py	0.9% / 1.7%	Disseminated and vein-hosted cp/py in intramineral porphyry	April 2026	

¹ Molybdenum content is high since assay is taken before Molybdenum is floated to create a specific Molybdenum Concentrate and a Copper-Gold-Silver Concentrate

² ND – not detected, below detection limit of assay technique

Financial Model Assumptions

Long-term Copper and Gold Price Assumptions in Line with NBF 25-Bank Forecast


Long-term Metal Price Assumptions

Variable	Units	Price
Copper Price	US\$/lb	4.30
Gold Price	US\$/oz	2,280
Silver Price	US\$/oz	28
Molybdenum Price	US\$/lb	20


Long-term Exchange Rate Assumptions

Currency	Rate
AUD:USD	0.72
USD:CLP	690
USD:EUR	0.86

Five-Year Copper Price History



Five-Year Gold Price History



Copper and Gold price assumption values from 25-Bank forecast of copper price out to 2028.



Forward-Looking Information



Statements in this presentation that are not historical facts are "forward-looking information" or "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities legislation and Australian securities legislation. The use of words such as "future", "forecast", "consider", "proposed", "conceptual", "opportunity", "designed to", "believe", "estimate", "expect", "may", "plan", "potential", "project", "should", "will", "coming" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements relate to, among other things: prospects, projections and success of the Company and its projects, highlights and results from the Costa Fuego PFS and Huasco Water Supply PFS, financial modelling and potential strategic advantages of the Huasco Water Project, including potential seawater and desalinated water supplies and projected customer base, projected financial and economic analyses, including capital costs, sustaining costs, cash flows, NPV, and revenue generation, the anticipated production profile and mine life of the Costa Fuego Project, engineering and infrastructure designs (including power supply, water supply, tailings storage, site layout), expected access to local workforce due to project proximity to a regional centre, plans for monetization of cobalt and improvements to copper/gold recovery, processing suitability based on metallurgical test work, conceptual opportunities and potential discoveries at or near the Costa Fuego Project, expectations regarding environmental impact assessment (EIA) timelines and permitting processes, ongoing relationships with local communities, government and regulatory bodies, planned definitive feasibility study, comparisons to prior studies (e.g., 2024 PEA). Statements concerning mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates may also be deemed to constitute forward-looking statements to the extent they involve estimates of the mineralization that may be encountered if the Costa Fuego Project is developed.

In preparing forward-looking statements, the Company has applied several material assumptions, including, but not limited to: continuity of future commodity prices and demand, availability of skilled labour, timing and amount of capital expenditures, future currency exchange and interest rates will be consistent with the Company's expectations, that increasing competition will not have a material adverse impact, that general conditions in economic and financial markets will be sustained or will improve, availability of drilling and construction equipment, that regulation by governmental agencies and relations with local communities will not change in a materially adverse manner, that future tax rates, tariffs, capital and operating costs will be as expected, availability of future sources of funding on reasonable terms, that assumptions related to adjusted funds from operations and financial models will prove accurate, that the assumptions underlying the PFS and related technical and economic analyses will prove to be reasonable.

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors, which may cause actual results, performance, or achievements of the Company to be materially different from those expressed or implied. These include, but are not limited to: operational risks and contractual obligations, industry-wide and project-specific risks identified in the PFS Technical Report, sovereign risks related to operations in Chile, changes in mineral resource and reserve estimates, recruiting and retaining qualified personnel, financial needs and availability of adequate financing, market volatility and commodity price fluctuations, currency and exchange rate risks, the production at or performance of properties where the Company holds interests, environmental risks, financial failure or default of joint venture partners, contractors, or service providers, competition risks, economic and market conditions, slowdown or temporary suspension of operations due to outbreak of disease, estimates used in budgeting and economic analyses proving incorrect, risks related to delays in the permitting process, potential defects in title due to prior unregistered agreements or claims.

Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements, there may be other unknown or unpredictable factors. There can be no assurance that forward-looking information will prove to be accurate. Actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

The forward-looking statements contained in this presentation are based on the plans, expectations, and estimates of management as at the date hereof. Except as may be required by applicable securities laws, the Company does not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof.

For additional information and assumptions underlying these statements, refer to:

- The Company's public filings with the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX)
- The Canadian public disclosure record on SEDAR+ (www.sedarplus.ca)
- The Company's news releases dated 27 March 2025, 31 March 2025 and 9 May 2025 the PFS Technical Report.

Qualifying Statements



The scientific and technical information relating to the Company's Costa Fuego project in this presentation has been derived from or is based on the Costa Fuego Copper project pre-feasibility study (the "Costa Fuego PFS" or 2025 PFS), which has been prepared in accordance with Canadian regulatory requirements set out in National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101") and Joint Ore Reserves Committee of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves prepared by the Joint Ore Reserves Committee of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, Australian Institute of Geoscientists and Minerals Council of Australia (the "JORC Code") and reviewed and approved by the "Qualified Persons" as defined under NI 43-101 and "Competent Persons" as defined under the JORC Code, as set out below. The 2025 PFS was compiled by the Qualified Persons and Competent Persons listed below based on information available up to the effective date of the PFS. Additional details of responsibilities are provided at page 48 of presentation "Costa Fuego Preliminary Feasibility Study March 2025" released on March 27 2025.

PFS Technical Report

For readers to fully understand the information in this presentation, they should read the technical report available on SEDAR+ (www.sedarplus.ca) and at www.hotchili.net.au in its entirety titled "Costa Fuego Project, Chile, Preliminary Feasibility Study NI 43-101 Technical Report" dated May 9, 2025 with an effective date of March 27, 2025 (the "PFS Technical Report"), including all qualifications, assumptions, limitations and exclusions. The PFS Technical Report is intended to be read as a whole, and sections should not be read or relied upon out of context. The technical information in this presentation is subject to the assumptions and qualifications to be contained in the PFS Technical Report. The PFS Technical Report replaces and supersedes the technical report titled "Costa Fuego Copper Project – NI 43-101 Technical Report Mineral Resource Estimate Update" dated April 8, 2024, with an effective date of February 26, 2024 (the "2024 PEA").

Qualified Persons – NI 43-101

The PFS was compiled by Wood Australia Pty Ltd with contributions from a team of independent Qualified Persons within the meaning of NI 43 -101. The scientific and technical information contained in this presentation pertaining to Coast Fuego has been reviewed and verified by the following independent qualified persons within the meaning of NI 43-101:

- Ms Elizabeth Haren (FAUSIMM (CP) & MAIG) of Haren Consulting – Mineral Resource Estimate
- Mr Dean David (FAUSIMM (CP)) of Wood Pty Ltd – Metallurgy
- Mr Piers Wendlandt (PE) of Wood Pty Ltd – Market Studies and Contracts, Economic Analysis
- Mr David Cuello (MAUSIMM) of GMT Servicios de Ingeniería – Geotechnical
- Mr Jeffrey Stevens (Pr. Eng, MSAIMM) of Wood Pty Ltd – Infrastructure and Capital Cost
- Mr Luis Bernal (Comisión Minera (PC) Registered Member) of Process Mineral Consulting – Leaching
- Mr Anton von Wielligh (FAUSIMM) of ABGM Consulting Pty Ltd – Mine Planning and Scheduling
- Mr Edmundo LaPorte (PE, PEng, CPEng, SME Registered Member) of High River Services - Environmental

The above independent Qualified Persons have verified the information disclosed herein, including the sampling, preparation, security, and analytical procedures underlying such information.

Competent Persons – JORC

The information in this presentation that relates to Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves for the Costa Fuego Project is based on information compiled by:

- Ms Elizabeth Haren (FAUSIMM (CP) & MAIG) who is a full-time employee of Haren Consulting – Mineral Resource Estimate
- Mr Dean David (FAUSIMM (CP)) who is a full-time employee of Wood Pty Ltd – Metallurgy
- Mr Piers Wendlandt (PE) who is a full-time employee of Wood Pty Ltd – Market Studies and Contracts, Economic Analysis
- Mr David Cuello (MAUSIMM) who is a full-time employee of GMT Servicios de Ingeniería – Geotechnical
- Mr Jeffrey Stevens (Pr. Eng, MSAIMM) who is a full-time employee of Wood Pty Ltd – Infrastructure and Capital Cost
- Mr Luis Bernal (Comisión Minera (PC) Registered Member) who is a full-time employee of Process Mineral Consulting – Leaching
- Mr Anton von Wielligh (FAUSIMM) who is a full-time employee of ABGM Consulting Pty Ltd – Mine Planning and Scheduling
- Mr Edmundo LaPorte (PE, PEng, CPEng, SME Registered Member) who is a full-time employee of High River Services – Environmental
- Mr Christian Easterday (MAIG), who is the Managing Director and is a full-time employee of Hot Chili Limited – Exploration Results

Ms Haren, Mr David, Mr Wendlandt, Mr Cuello, Mr Stevens, Mr Bernal, Mr LaPorte, Mr Easterday and Mr von Wielligh each have sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and types of deposits under consideration and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the JORC Code and as Qualified Persons under NI43-101.

Qualifying Statements (continued)



Exploration Results and Exploration Targets

The information in this presentation relating to previously reported Exploration Results and Exploration Targets for La Verde was previously reported in the Company's announcements 'Hot Chili Confirms Major Cu-Au Porphyry Discovery at La Verde', 'Hot Chili Announces Latest Drill Results for La Verde, Doubling Porphyry Discovery Footprint', 'District-Scale Porphyry Cluster Potential Emerging at La Verde Cu-Au Discovery', 'First Diamond Drillhole Confirms Gold-Rich Major Copper Discovery in Coastal Chile', 'Near-Surface Higher-Grade Core Confirmed at La Verde', 'Rapid Growth of High Grade Core Continues at La Verde' and 'Shallow High Grade Results Continue for La Verde' released to ASX on 11 February 2024, 19 May 2025, 29 May 2025, 27 November 2025, 10 December 2025, 20 January 2026 and 16 February 2026, respectively, which are available to view on the Company's website at www.hotchili.net.au/investors/investor-centre/market-announcements. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements.

Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves

The information in this presentation that relates to Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves for Cortadera, Productora (including Alice) and San Antonio which constitute the combined Costa Fuego Project was previously reported in the Company's announcement 'PFS & Maiden Ore Reserve for the Costa Fuego Cu-Au Project' released to ASX on 27 March 2025 (the "PFS Announcement") and the technical report entitled 'Costa Fuego Copper Project – NI 43-101 Technical Report Mineral Resource Estimate Update' dated 9 May 2025 with an effective date of 27 March 2025 (the "MRE"), which are available to view on the Company's website at www.hotchili.net.au/investors/investor-centre/market-announcements. The Company confirms it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the PFS Announcement and the MRE and all material assumptions and technical parameters stated for the Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves continue to apply and have not materially changed.

Costa Fuego Production Targets and Derived Forecast Financial Information

The information in this presentation relating to any production targets and forecast financial information derived from the production targets comprised in the statements in this presentation about the Costa Fuego Copper-Gold Project was previously reported in the PFS Announcement and the Company confirms all material assumptions underpinning those production targets and forecast financial information continue to apply and have not materially changed.

Conceptual Open Pit Shells

Conceptual open pit shells represent Exploration Targets as defined in the JORC Code. They are based on completed exploration activities previously reported in the Company's announcement 'Hot Chili Announces Latest Drill Results for La Verde, Doubling Porphyry Discovery Footprint' released to ASX on 19 May 2025.

The conceptual open pit shells were generated using copper (Cu) prices of US\$3.50/lb Cu and US\$6.00/lb Cu on a series of nested Cu grade shells. Other input parameters informing the conceptual open-pit shells (pit slope angles, mining cost, processing cost, etc.) were derived from values reported in the March 2025 Costa Fuego Pre-feasibility Study and are considered appropriate for the style of mineralisation encountered at the La Verde Cu-Au porphyry discovery.

Any potential quantity and grade of the Exploration Target shown is conceptual in nature. There has been insufficient exploration to estimate a Mineral Resource within the target area, and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the estimation of a Mineral Resource.

Further exploration activities are detailed in this presentation and include (but may not necessarily be limited to) a program of diamond drillholes aiming to extend the mineralised footprint at La Verde. Drilling commenced on 22 September 2025, with the length of the program dependent on a number of considerations including (but not limited to) the results of the exploration activities and regulatory applications and approvals.

Huasco Water Supply PFS

The information in this presentation concerning the Huasco Water Supply PFS and forward looking financial information based on the Huasco Water Supply PFS, was previously reported in the Company's announcement 'Hot Chili's PFS for Huasco Water & MOU for Seawater Supply' released to ASX on 31 March 2025 (the "Water Supply PFS Announcement"), a copy which is available at the Company's website at www.hotchili.net.au/investors/market-announcements/.

Further information about the forward looking financial information and basis on which it is stated in this presentation, including the assumptions, basis of assumptions, sensitivity analysis for key inputs, prospects for funding, risk factors and risk minimisation strategies relevant to the Huasco Water Supply PFS, is contained in the Water Supply PFS Announcement, which should be considered in conjunction with this presentation.



Hot Chili Limited
ACN 130 955 725

First Floor, 768 Canning
Highway, Applecross, Western
Australia 6153

PO Box 1725, Applecross,
Western Australia 6953

P: +61 8 9315 9009

F: +61 8 9315 5004

Mr Christian Easterday


Managing Director

E: admin@hotchili.net.au

Graham Farrell

Investor & Public Relations (Canada)

E: graham@hotchili.net.au



**A Copper Giant
Takes Shape**



**Company
Presentations**