

## Broad Silver-Gold Intersections Confirm Resource Upside Potential at Yoquivo

*Step-out drilling continues to expand mineralisation across multiple prospects as Yoquivo advances toward a near-term JORC Resource upgrade*

### Highlights

- Designed to test the geometry and grade of a recently-identified area with bulk-tonnage potential in the central portion of Pertenencia<sup>1</sup>, hole YQ-26-018 returned a broad high grade silver and gold zone<sup>2</sup> (Figure 1):

**YQ-26-018** **67.88m at 134g/t AgEq** - 68g/t Ag & 0.9g/t Au from 305.9m  
(Central Pertenencia) *incl. **23.89m at 257g/t AgEq** – 123g/t Ag & 1.7g/t Au from 346.9m*

- YQ-26-018 was drilled as a scissor hole in an opposing direction to previous drilling, confirming the scale and continuity of mineralisation in multiple directions

- Shallow extensional drilling 2.7km west of Pertenencia has significantly expanded the Esperanza Prospect, doubling the strike extent of known silver-gold mineralisation, with results including<sup>2</sup>:

**YQ-26-014** **10.4m at 102g/t AgEq** - 69g/t Ag & 0.4g/t Au from 120.6m  
(Esperanza north) *incl. **2.45m at 204g/t AgEq** – 149g/t Ag & 0.7g/t Au from 126.75m*

**YQ-26-013** **1.82m at 231g/t AgEq** - 20g/t Ag & 2.8g/t Au from 178.73m  
(Esperanza south) **1.95m at 128g/t AgEq** – 97g/t Ag & 0.4g/t Au from 195.65m

- Further encouraging silver and gold mineralisation was also intersected at the northern end of the San Francisco Prospect, located 700 metres west of Pertenencia:

**YQ-26-011** **1.3m at 183g/t AgEq** - 82g/t Ag & 1.3g/t Au from 122.4m  
(San Francisco) *incl. **0.3m at 727g/t AgEq** – 355g/t Ag & 4.9g/t Au from 123.4m*

**YQ-26-012** **3.85m at 90g/t AgEq** - 81g/t Ag & 0.1g/t Au from 199.65m  
(San Francisco) *incl. **0.3m at 333g/t AgEq** – 312g/t Ag & 0.3g/t Au from 200.85m*

- Drilling is ongoing at the Yoquivo Project, testing both resource extension and regional targets
- An updated Mineral Resource Estimate for Yoquivo is expected within the next month, with assessment of bulk tonnage open-pittable resource potential in addition to high grade underground resource potential planned



**Figure 1.** Sulphide-hematite-quartz-carbonate breccia that forms part of the broad mineralised interval in YQ-26-018. This interval graded 0.74m at **572g/t Ag & 10.0g/t Au** from 354.64m.

<sup>1</sup> ASX announcement – 6 February 2026 “Historic Core Sampling at Yoquivo Highlights Resource Upside”

<sup>2</sup> The Yoquivo silver equivalent was derived based on initial flotation and leaching test work conducted by Golden Minerals in 2022. The formula used is  $\text{AgEq g/t} = \text{Ag g/t} + (\text{Au g/t} * \text{Au price}/\text{Ag price})$ , where the assumed \$US/oz gold price is \$1,840 and the assumed \$US/oz silver price is \$24. Au and Ag recovery are both assumed at 85% based on this test work. In AVM’s opinion all elements that are included in the metal equivalency calculation have reasonable potential to be recovered and sold (ASX AVM 28 October 2024).

**Commenting on the latest results from drilling at Yoquivo, Advance's Managing Director and CEO Dr Adam McKinnon said:**

*"These results represent a potential inflection point for Yoquivo. The confirmation of broad, high grade silver-gold mineralisation from opposing drill orientations significantly strengthens our confidence in the emerging resource potential at Pertenencia."*

*"Importantly, the scale and continuity now being demonstrated supports a reassessment of development scenarios beyond the original narrow vein underground concept."*

*"With drilling continuing across multiple prospects and a resource upgrade expected within weeks, Yoquivo is rapidly evolving into a scalable silver-gold development opportunity with multiple growth pathways."*

Advance Metals Limited (**ASX:AVM**) ("**Advance**" or the "**Company**") is pleased to report further strong drilling results from its 100%-owned Yoquivo Silver-Gold Project in southwestern Chihuahua, Mexico. Latest results highlight broad high grade intersections, confirming the scale and continuity in the central Pertenencia zone ahead of an updated JORC Mineral Resource Estimate expected next month.

Recent drilling continues to support a potential transition at Yoquivo from an exclusively narrow-vein underground opportunity toward a scalable development scenario potentially incorporating both underground and bulk tonnage open-pit mineralisation.

Following the Company's extensive program of historic core resampling, exceptionally broad zones of contiguous moderate-grade mineralisation were identified in the central portion of the Pertenencia deposit, including<sup>1,2</sup>:

- **144m at 93g/t AgEq** in YQ-022-009
- **66.45m at 83g/t AgEq** in YQ-022-013
- **83.3m at 69g/t AuEq** in YQ-020-010
- **97.63m at 61g/t AgEq** in YQ-25-002
- **44.78m at 100g/t AgEq** in YQ-25-001

Given the scale of these intersections, the Company identified potential for a higher tonnage/bulk mining deposit, with scissor hole YQ-26-018 (**Figures 2 - 4**) recently completed to further test this zone<sup>2</sup>:


**YQ-26-018**     **67.88m at 134g/t AgEq** - 68g/t Ag & 0.9g/t Au from 305.9m  
*incl. 23.89m at 257g/t AgEq* - 123g/t Ag & 1.7g/t Au from 346.9m  
**9.67m at 108g/t AgEq** - 87g/t Ag & 0.3g/t Au from 418.13m  
*incl. 2m at 259g/t AgEq* - 206g/t Ag & 0.7g/t Au from 427.8m

YQ-26-018 was drilled in the opposite direction to the previous holes in the area (**Figures 2 & 3**), confirming the scale and continuity of mineralisation in multiple opposing directions. The new results from this hole will provide the Company's technical team valuable data on grade distribution and orientation, with particular significance to the resource upgrade currently underway for Yoquivo.


Importantly, the original Foreign Estimate at Yoquivo was based on a 200g/t AgEq cut-off<sup>3,4</sup>, under a narrow vein underground assumption. With materially higher prevailing silver and gold prices, the Company's technical team will assess a range of cut-off grade and mining scenario sensitives as a part of the upcoming JORC mineral resource update.

<sup>3</sup> The Foreign Estimates of mineralisation mentioned in this announcement are not compliant with the Australasian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (2012 JORC Code) and is a "Foreign Estimate". A Competent Person (under ASX Listing Rules) has not yet done sufficient work to classify the Foreign Estimate as Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves in accordance with the 2012 JORC Code. It is uncertain that following evaluation and/or further exploration work the Foreign Estimate will be able to be reported as Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves in accordance with the JORC Code 2012.


<sup>4</sup> ASX announcement – 28 October 2024 "Advance Metals to acquire Yoquivo High Grade Silver Project in Mexico"



**Figure 2.** Plan of the Yoquivo Project showing recently collected high-resolution LiDAR topography data with mapped veining (red lines), along with existing drill holes<sup>4</sup> (black) and new drill holes (blue).




**Figure 3.** Schematic cross section (±37.5m, looking north-northeast) through the central portion of Pertenenchia showing previous drilling<sup>4</sup> and highlighting selected broad intervals (purple) defined following the recent resampling program<sup>1</sup>. An approximate outline of the existing Foreign Estimate<sup>3,4</sup>, along with significant down hole intersections for new oblique drill hole YQ-26018 (red bars) are also shown.



**Figure 4.** Long section looking west-northwest at Pertinencia showing all existing and new intersections (blue traces) down hole. The image shows grades greater than **20g/t AgEq<sup>2</sup>** (red barrels), highlighting the scale and continuity of the mineralisation (modified reference in footnote 1).

Strong results have also recently been returned from drilling outside of the immediate Pertinencia area at Yoquivo. New drill holes have extended the known strike of mineralisation at the Esperanza Prospect, located approximately 2.7km west of Pertinencia (**Figures 2 & 5**), in both directions<sup>2</sup>:

- YQ-26-014**                      **10.4m at 102g/t AgEq** - 69g/t Ag & 0.4g/t Au from 120.6m  
incl. **2.45m at 204g/t AgEq** – 149g/t Ag & 0.7g/t Au from 126.75m
- YQ-26-013**                      **1.82m at 231g/t AgEq** - 20g/t Ag & 2.8g/t Au from 178.73m  
**1.95m at 128g/t AgEq** – 97g/t Ag & 0.4g/t Au from 195.65m



**Figure 5.** Plan of the Esperanza Prospect showing recently collected LiDAR topography data with existing drill holes<sup>3</sup> (black) and new drill holes (blue). The image shows grades greater than **20g/t AgEq<sup>2</sup>** (red barrels) plotted down hole, with recent drilling extending known mineralisation to a strike of at least 210m.

The coherent zone of in 10.4m at 102g/t AgEq<sup>2</sup> in YQ-25-014 at Esperanza is considered particularly significant, with the interval ~75 metres north of the previous northernmost intersections at the prospect (**Figure 5**). High grade mineralisation at the prospect remains fully open along strike to the north and south and at depth, highlighting strong resource extension potential with future drilling.

The Company also intersected encouraging high grade (albeit narrower) intervals in the two holes drilled at the San Francisco Prospect (**Figure 2**), located approximately 700m west of Pertenencia<sup>2</sup>:

<b>YQ-26-011</b>	<b>1.3m at 183g/t AgEq</b> - 82g/t Ag & 1.3g/t Au from 122.4m <i>incl. 0.3m at 727g/t AgEq</i> – 355g/t Ag & 4.9g/t Au from 123.4m
<b>YQ-26-012</b>	<b>3.85m at 90g/t AgEq</b> - 81g/t Ag & 0.1g/t Au from 199.65m <i>incl. 0.3m at 333g/t AgEq</i> – 312g/t Ag & 0.3g/t Au from 200.85m

Diamond drilling is ongoing at Yoquivo, with assays pending for multiple holes at Pertenencia and across the broader project area. Drill hole collar details and significant intersections for recent drilling at Yoquivo are provided in **Tables 1 & 2**, respectively.

### Next Steps

- Updated JORC Mineral Resource Estimate – expected late March 2026
- Continued drilling results from Pertenencia and regional targets
- Assessment of bulk tonnage open pittable resource potential
- Ongoing regional exploration targeting additional vein systems

### For further information:

Dr Adam McKinnon  
Managing Director and CEO  
Advance Metals Limited  
+61 (0) 411 028 958  
[amckinnon@advancemetals.com.au](mailto:amckinnon@advancemetals.com.au)  
[www.advancemetals.com.au](http://www.advancemetals.com.au)

Jane Morgan  
Investor & Media Relations Manager  
Advance Metals Limited  
+ 61 (0) 405 555 618  
[jm@janemorganmanagement.com.au](mailto:jm@janemorganmanagement.com.au)

This announcement has been authorised for release by the **Board of Advance Metals Limited**.

### Competent Person's Statement

The information in this report concerning data and exploration results has been compiled by Dr. Adam McKinnon, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM). Dr. McKinnon is the Managing Director of Advance Metals Limited and possesses the relevant expertise in the style of mineralisation, type of deposit under evaluation, and the associated activities, qualifying him as a Competent Person under the guidelines of the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for the Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves.' Dr. McKinnon has approved the inclusion of this information in the report in the form and context in which it appears.

With regard to references to prior announcements of Foreign Estimates and in particular the ASX announcement dated 28 October 2024, "Advance Metals to acquire Yoquivo High Grade Silver Project in Mexico", the Competent Person for the information and data contained in that Announcement was Mr Steve Lynn and JORC Table 1 disclosures are contained therein.

The Company is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information and data included in the Announcement. In addition, all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the Announcement have not changed. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

## Cautionary Statement on Foreign Estimates

The Foreign Estimates of mineralisation mentioned in this announcement are not compliant with the Australasian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (2012 JORC Code) and is a "Foreign Estimate". A Competent Person (under ASX Listing Rules) has not yet done sufficient work to classify the Foreign Estimate as Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves in accordance with the 2012 JORC Code. It is uncertain that following evaluation and/or further exploration work the Foreign Estimate will be able to be reported as Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves in accordance with the JORC Code 2012.

## Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements in this announcement relate to the future, including forward-looking statements relating to the Company and its business (including its projects). Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning Advance Metals Limited planned exploration program(s) and other statements that are not historical facts. When used in this document, words such as "could," "plan," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "may", "potential," "should," and similar expressions are forward looking statements.

These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions, and other important factors that could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such statements. Actual events or results may differ materially from the events or results expressed or implied in any forward-looking statement and deviations are both normal and to be expected. Neither the Company, its officers nor any other person gives any representation, assurance or guarantee that the events or other matters expressed or implied in any forward-looking statements will actually occur. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on those statements.

**Table 1.** Details diamond drill holes reported as a part of this release (UTM WSG84 Zone 12N).

Prospect	Hole ID	Easting	Northing	RL	Max Depth (m)	Dip	Azimuth	Type
		(m)	(m)	(m)			(MGA)	
San Francisco	YQ-25-011	790735	3105256	2166	237	-70	320	HQ2
San Francisco	YQ-25-012	790735	3105256	2166	235.5	-50	320	HQ2
Esperanza	YQ-25-013	788791	3105678	2283	239	-51	280	HQ2
Esperanza	YQ-26-014	788782	3105848	2321	171	-65	320	HQ2
La Truncha Sur	YQ-26-015	789659	3105279	2198	102	-60	0	HQ2
La Truncha Sur	YQ-26-016	789659	3105279	2198	117	-51	322	HQ2
Pertenencia	YQ-26-017	791559	3105408	2144	210	-50	303	HQ2
Pertenencia	YQ-26-018	791198	3105314	2180	450	-60	160	HQ2

**Table 2.** Significant intersections for recently drilled holes YQ-25-011 to 018. Significant intervals have been defined at a cut-off grade of 15g/t AgEq<sup>1</sup> with up to ten metres internal dilution. Note intervals listed are down hole widths a not currently known.

Hole ID	Interval (m)	Ag (g/t)	Au (g/t)	AgEq (g/t)	From (m)	Comments
YQ-25-011	1.1	29	0.5	<b>65</b>	82.9	San Francisco (North)
	1.3	82	1.3	<b>183</b>	122.4	
	includes 0.3	355	4.9	<b>727</b>	123.4	
YQ-25-012	1.15	34	0.5	<b>74</b>	108.6	San Francisco (North)
	1.2	0	1.0	<b>75</b>	119.35	
	1.2	54	0.9	<b>126</b>	158	
	3.85	81	0.1	<b>90</b>	199.65	
	includes 0.3	312	0.3	<b>333</b>	200.85	
YQ-25-013	1.82	20	2.8	<b>231</b>	178.73	La Esperanza (South)
	1.95	97	0.4	<b>128</b>	195.65	
YQ-25-014	10.4	69	0.4	<b>102</b>	120.6	La Esperanza (North)
	2.45	149	0.7	<b>204</b>	126.75	
YQ-25-015	1.2	1	0.4	<b>29</b>	14.4	La Trucha Sur
YQ-25-016	0.3	4	0.4	<b>35</b>	12.76	La Trucha Sur
YQ-25-017	0.3	15	0.2	<b>28</b>	142.85	Pertenencia North
YQ-25-018	67.88	68	0.9	<b>134</b>	305.9	Central Pertenencia
	includes 23.89	123	1.7	<b>257</b>	346.9	
	9.67	87	0.3	<b>108</b>	418.13	
	includes 2.0	206	0.7	<b>259</b>	427.8	

## JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 Report for the Yoquivo Silver-Gold Project

### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All holes are diamond core drilling. Drilling has been used to obtain high quality samples that were logged for lithological, structural and other attributes</li> <li>The diamond core was cut in half with half core sampled. The samples lengths ranged from 0.13m to 1.4m</li> <li>All core was transported by Advance Metals staff to the ALS Chihuahua preparation laboratory</li> <li>Samples were weighed and dried, crushed to 70% passing 2 mm, and pulverized to 85% passing -75 µm at ALS Chihuahua laboratory. Pulps were then assayed at ALS Vancouver using these methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gold was assayed by fire assay with an atomic absorption finish (detection range of 0.005–10 g/t Au); Gold samples returning assay values &gt;10 g/t Au were re assayed by fire assay with gravimetric finish (detection range of 0.05–10,000 g/t Au).</li> <li>Silver was assayed four-acid digest with an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICPAES) finish (detection range of 0.5–100 g/t Ag); silver samples returning assay values &gt;100 g/t Ag were re assayed with a four-acid digest with and ICP-AES finish (detection range of 1–1,500 g/t Ag); silver samples returning assays &gt;1,500 g/t Ag were re assayed by fire assay with gravimetric finish (detection range of 5–10,000 g/t Ag).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Diamond core drilling was utilized, producing HQ-sized core with a diameter of 63.5 mm</li> </ul>
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core recoveries are generally good, estimated to be &gt;98% for the current diamond program</li> <li>Drilling parameters including rotation speed and pressure were adjusted to ensure efficient drilling with good core recoveries</li> <li>It is unknown whether there is a relationship between sample recovery and</li> </ul>

personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	grade, and no obvious relationship has been noted in logging
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Logging was conducted on all diamond drill core</li> <li>This logging is of sufficient detail to support Mineral Resource Estimation</li> <li>Both quantitative and qualitative logging was undertaken. All core was photographed before and after sampling</li> <li>The entire length of the core was logged</li> </ul>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core sampling was conducted on split core that was cut on site using a diamond disc saw</li> <li>Half core sampling is considered an appropriate technique for this style of mineralisation</li> <li>Field geologists ensured that duplicate, standard and blank samples were inserted into the sample stream in strategic locations according to JORC standards, to verify and ensure the accuracy of the sample results received from the laboratory</li> <li>Sample sizes are considered appropriate for the material being sampled</li> </ul>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Samples were weighed and dried, crushed to 70% passing 2 mm, and pulverized to 85% passing -75 µm at ALS Chihuahua laboratory. Pulps were then assayed at ALS Vancouver using these methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gold was assayed by fire assay with an atomic absorption finish (detection range of 0.005–10 g/t Au); Gold samples returning assay values &gt;10 g/t Au were re assayed by fire assay with gravimetric finish (detection range of 0.05–10,000 g/t Au).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Silver was assayed four-acid digest with an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICPAES) finish (detection range of 0.5–100 g/t Ag); silver samples returning assay values &gt;100 g/t Ag were re assayed with a four-acid digest with and ICP-AES finish (detection range of 1–1,500 g/t Ag); silver samples returning assays &gt;1,500 g/t Ag were re assayed by fire assay with gravimetric finish (detection range of 5–10,000 g/t Ag). The results were sent to</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>ALS an ISO certified lab that conducts internal check on all batches</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• These assay techniques are considered appropriate for this style of mineralisation</li> <li>• Certified reference material, both mineralised and blank were inserted in the sample stream by the Company to verify the lab results</li> <li>• The results of the CRM's returned by the lab were considered to be accurate</li> </ul>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>• The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>• Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>• Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The significant intercepts were checked by at least two Advance Metals personnel</li> <li>• No twinned holes were completed in the current program</li> <li>• There were no specific sampling protocols available for review</li> <li>• Assay and lab certificates were sourced directly from the laboratory and entered into a digital database.</li> <li>• There was no adjustments made to the assay data</li> </ul>
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>• Specification of the grid system used.</li> <li>• Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drill hole collars were surveyed using a hand held GPS and will be surveyed via differential GPS at the completion of the drilling campaign.</li> <li>• Downhole surveys were conducted using a REFLEX instrument at intervals of approximately every 30m. The precision of this instrument is 0.1 degrees in azimuth and dip, with field accuracy estimated to be ±1-2 degrees</li> <li>• The coordinate system used for the drill holes and survey data is UTM NAD27, Zone 13N. This grid system was used to establish the location of drill collars, drill paths, and other relevant site features</li> <li>• Topographic Control: Topographic data used in the resource estimate was sourced from the Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI), a Mexican federal agency responsible for geographic data. This data was supplemented with data from the Servicio Geológico Mexicano (SGM), another federal agency as well as a topographic survey conducted by a third party satellite imagery contractor.</li> </ul>
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>• Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> <li>• Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The drillholes were designed to intercept interpreted veins at depth</li> <li>• Holes were oriented approximately perpendicular to the veins</li> <li>• Hole spacing is deemed appropriate for delineating the mineralised zones at the current classification level</li> <li>• Selective sampling was conducted on core - samples were selected based on</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p><i>logged mineralisation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Sample compositing was not applied</i></li> </ul>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></li> <li>• <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The orientations of drillholes are approximately perpendicular to the interpreted mineralised veins and the sampling is deemed to appropriately represent true mineralisation widths. The potential orientation of the mineralised zones in a bulk mining scenario discussed in this release is currently unknown.</i></li> </ul>
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i></li> </ul>	<p><u>Core Handling:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Drill core was logged and split on-site using a diamond saw.</i></li> <li>• <i>Half of the core was retained and stored securely for reference.</i></li> </ul> <p><u>Sample Bagging and Labeling:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Samples were placed in labeled plastic bags, each with unique identifiers.</i></li> <li>• <i>The bags were sealed and assembled into batch shipments for transport.</i></li> </ul> <p><u>Transport to Laboratory:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Samples were delivered directly to the ALS laboratory in Chihuahua, Mexico, by Advance/Golden Minerals staff to ensure integrity during transit.</i></li> <li>• <i>Pulps were subsequently transported to ALS's Vancouver laboratory for analysis.</i></li> </ul> <p><u>Field Procedures:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Core boxes were closed and securely transported from drill sites to logging facilities.</i></li> </ul> <p><u>Access Control:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Unauthorized personnel were prohibited from accessing core storage or sampling areas.</i></li> </ul> <p><u>Chain of Custody:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Strict chain-of-custody protocols were followed during sample collection, transport, and submission to the laboratory.</i></li> <li>• <i>Sample shipments were tracked and documented to ensure proper handling at every stage.</i></li> </ul>
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>No audits or reviews have been conducted for the drilling reported in this release</i></li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Yoquivo Project comprises the following tenements (Name, Title Number and tenure valid to date): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>El Dolar, 214876, valid to 3 December, 2051</li> <li>La Copa, 223499, valid to 11 January, 2055</li> <li>San Francisco de Yoquivo, 220851, valid to 15 October, 2053</li> <li>La Niña, 217475, valid to 15 July, 2052</li> <li>Dolores, 216491, valid to 16 May, 2052</li> <li>La Restauradora, 217476, valid to 15 July, 2052</li> <li>La Esperanza, 218071, valid to 2 October, 2052</li> </ul> </li> <li>All tenements are held 100% by Advance Metals Limited through its wholly owned Mexican subsidiary Girgar Operaciones de Mexico de C.V.</li> <li>The tenements are currently in good standing.</li> <li>Third-party net smelter return royalties are payable on all of the concessions, and range from 2–3%.</li> <li>The claims are located on the San Francisco de Yoquivo ejido. Although the mineral rights are independent of the surface rights, access to the claim block is granted through an agreement between the concession holder and the San Francisco de Yoquivo ejido. Advance Metals negotiated a 5 year access agreement commencing in April 2025.</li> </ul>
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Golden Minerals Company explored and drilled the Yoquivo Project from 2017 to 2024</li> <li>Prior to 2017, companies with an interest in Yoquivo included Cia. Minera La Rastra, S.A., Mead Exploration Co., Sydney Resources Corporation, West Timmins Mining Inc.</li> </ul>
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Yoquivo Project is located within the Sierra Madre Occidental volcanic belt. The project area is sited within volcanic rock units belonging to both the Lower Volcanic Group (andesites) and the Upper Volcanic Group (ignimbrites). Several rhyolitic domes intrude all of these units.</li> <li>Mineralisation at the Yoquivo Project consists of a series Ag – Au bearing</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>epithermal quartz veins in four principal vein systems (Esperanza, Dolar, San Francisco and Pertenencia). Individual vein systems have been mapped and sampled over &gt;3,000 m strike lengths and range from 0.2 m to &gt;5 m in width.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Veins are generally sulphide-poor and have textures typical of a low-sulphidation epithermal environment, including fine colloform to crustiform banding, bladed calcite textures, and open space filling textures. Outside of the principal mineralized structures and their adjacent stockwork zones, veins are mostly limited to isolated single veins, minor subparallel veins, or small patches of stockwork veins</li> </ul>
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ easting and northing of the drill hole collar</li> <li>○ elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</li> <li>○ dip and azimuth of the hole</li> <li>○ down hole length and interception depth</li> <li>○ hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• See Tables 1 and 2 in the main body of the release</li> <li>• All available data for the current drilling program is included in the Tables</li> </ul>
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>• Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>• The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A nominal 15g/t AgEq cut-off has been used for reporting significant intersections in the current report. No maximum or minimum grade truncations have been used. Up to four metres of internal dilution has been allowed for these intercepts.</li> <li>• Shorter higher grade intercepts have also been reported where appropriate to highlight the grade distribution in the broader intervals.</li> <li>• Silver Equivalent used throughout the report is <math>AgEq = Ag \text{ g/t} + Au \text{ g/t} * (1,840/24)</math>, where 1,840 is the gold price per ounce in US\$, and 24 is the silver price per ounce in US\$. Au and Ag recovery is 85%</li> <li>• The Equivalent has been derived based on initial flotation test work conducted by Golden Minerals in 2022</li> <li>• The Company believes there are reasonable prospects that each of the elements used in the metal equivalent could be recovered and sold</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></li> <li>• <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg ‘down hole length, true width not known’).</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Drilling has been designed to be at a high angle relative to the interpreted mineralisation</i></li> <li>• <i>True hole widths for are currently unknown, with multiple orientations currently interpreted for the mineralisation</i></li> <li>• <i>True widths of new zones identified in the resampling and the selected broad zones listed in Table 3 are currently unknown.</i></li> </ul>
<i>Diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>A plan, long section and cross section is included in the body of the release</i></li> </ul>
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>All significant intersection from the available historic core sampling program have been reported.</i></li> </ul>
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>See body of announcement</i></li> </ul>
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></li> <li>• <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Set out in the body of the announcement</i></li> </ul>