

22 July 2026

52.3m @ 3.0g/t Au – Significant High-Grade Gold 200m Below Turnberry Underground Reserve

Chalcopyrite and pyrite associated with strong shearing and high-grade gold indicate drilling has intersected the intermediate level expression of an orogenic gold system with strong depth potential

- **Diamond drilling ~200m below the Turnberry underground Ore Reserve** has intersected significant widths of high-grade gold, results include:
 - **52.3m @ 3.0g/t Au** from 474.1m including **30.6m @ 3.4g/t Au** and **10.5m @ 3.7g/t Au** (26TBRD002)
 - **18.0m @ 3.0g/t Au** from 533.0m including **9.0m @ 5.1g/t Au** (26TBRD004)
 - **13.8m @ 2.4g/t Au** from 508m including **4.0m @ 5.8g/t Au** (26TBRD006)
- **Shearing intensity appears to increase toward the central zone, corresponding with increased grade and width of mineralisation at depth**
- These results highlight the potential for significant extension and growth to the current Turnberry Mineral Resource (690koz @ 2.0g/t Au)
- **Diamond drilling has recommenced at Turnberry Central**, initially targeting strike and depth extensions to holes 26TBRD002 and 004

Commenting on these results, Meeka's Managing Director Tim Davidson said:

"Strong shearing, along with the pyrite and chalcopyrite mineral assemblage suggest we are at the intermediate level of a hydrothermal system with strong depth potential approaching a hotter, more metal-rich source.


The broad zones of high-grade gold, far deeper than any previous drilling previously at Turnberry Central, suggest there is significantly more gold than captured by the current Mineral Resource.

Based on these encouraging results, diamond drilling recommenced in July targeting extensions and potentially the deeper source of this high-grade gold at Turnberry Central."

Meeka Metals Limited ("**Meeka**" or the "**Company**") is pleased to report significant high-grade gold assays from below the Turnberry Ore Reserve.

Drilling at Turnberry Central returned the strongest results with mineralisation typical of a high-grade orogenic gold system. Notably, high-grade gold in hole 26TBRD002 is associated with pyrite and chalcopyrite within a strongly sheared, fractionated dolerite unit of Archaean age. Epidote flanking the shear zone is interpreted as propylitic alteration, the outer, moderate-temperature alteration shell of the hydrothermal system. The presence of chalcopyrite, and a chlorite-epidote-pyrite-chalcopyrite assemblage within the shear zone, is interpreted to represent the intermediate-level expression of a hydrothermal system with potential magmatic involvement, and a possible hotter, more metal-rich zone at depth.

Drilling is underway now targeting this potential metal rich zone down-plunge and along strike of holes 26TBRD002 and 004.








Figure 4: Diamond drill core showing shearing and mineralisation in hole 26TBRD002 from 486m downhole.




Figure 5: Diamond drill core showing shearing and mineralisation in hole 26TBRD002 from 513.5m downhole.




Figure 6: Murchison site layout.

This announcement has been authorised for release by the Company's Board of Directors.

For further information, please contact:

Tim Davidson – Managing Director
+61 8 6388 2700

info@meekametals.com.au

www.meekametals.com.au

COMPETENT PERSON'S STATEMENT

The information that relates to Exploration Results as those terms are defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves', is based on information reviewed by Mr James Lawrence, a Competent Person who is a member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Lawrence is a full-time employee of the Company. Mr Lawrence has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Lawrence consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information that relates to the Mineral Resource for Turnberry was first reported by the Company on 6 May 2024. The information that relates to the Mineral Resource for St Anne's was first reported by the Company on 17 April 2024. The information that relates to the Mineral Resource for Andy Well was first reported by the Company on 21 December 2020. The Company is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in these announcements and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates continue to apply and have not materially changed. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original announcement.

The information that relates to Ore Reserves, production targets and forecast financial information for the Murchison Gold Project was first reported by the Company on 12 December 2024. The Company is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in this announcement and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates continue to apply and have not materially changed. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original announcement.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in this report relate to the future, including forward looking statements relating to the Company's financial position, strategy and expected operating results. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other important factors that could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such statements. Actual events or results may differ materially from the events or results expressed or implied in any forward-looking statement and deviations are both normal and to be expected. Other than required by law, neither the Company, their officers nor any other person gives any representation, assurance or guarantee that the occurrence of the events expressed or implied in any forward-looking statements will actually occur. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on those statements.

DRILLING DATA

Table 1 – Collar Table

Drill Hole ID	Type	Easting	Northing	RL	Azimuth (Degrees)	Dip (Degrees)	End of Hole (m)
26TBRD0001	RC-DD	678131	7087308	512	96	-62	618
26TBRD0002	RC-DD	677938	7087618	511	87	-63	666
26TBRD0003	RC-DD	678473	7087867	512	263	-61	726
26TBRD0004	RC-DD	677816	7087598	511	106	-58	600
26TBRD0005	RC-DD	677750	7086637	512	96	-55	597
26TBRD0006	RC-DD	678494	7087585	513	242	-58	681
26TBRD0007	RC-DD	678399	7086802	513	268	-58	623
26TBRD0008	RC-DD	678263	7087061	513	268	-55	600
26TBRD0009	RC-DD	678406	7087259	513	271	-62	600

Table 2 – Significant Intersections

Drill Hole ID	Downhole From (m)	Downhole To (m)	Downhole Intersection (m)	Au (g/t)
26TBRD0001	45.0	47.0	2.0	0.7
26TBRD0001	53.0	54.0	1.0	0.3
26TBRD0001	74.0	75.0	1.0	0.3
26TBRD0001	82.0	83.0	1.0	0.8
26TBRD0001	86.0	87.0	1.0	0.9
26TBRD0001	135.0	136.0	1.0	3.0
26TBRD0001	176.0	177.0	1.0	1.5
26TBRD0001	197.0	198.0	1.0	0.5
26TBRD0001	209.0	210.0	1.0	1.2
26TBRD0001	215.0	216.0	1.0	0.4
26TBRD0001	230.0	237.0	7.0	0.8
incl.	230.0	232.0	2.0	2.3
26TBRD0001	242.0	243.0	1.0	0.5
26TBRD0001	284.0	285.0	1.0	0.8
26TBRD0001	298.0	299.0	1.0	0.3
26TBRD0001	307.8	308.3	0.5	0.7
26TBRD0001	394.3	395.1	0.9	0.3
26TBRD0001	434.9	436.8	1.9	1.1
incl.	434.9	435.4	0.5	2.8
26TBRD0001	439.1	441.4	2.3	0.8
incl.	439.8	440.7	0.9	1.2
26TBRD0001	464.4	470.6	6.2	0.4
26TBRD0001	473.1	473.4	0.3	0.7
26TBRD0001	517.0	518.2	1.2	0.8
26TBRD0001	519.9	520.8	0.9	0.3
26TBRD0001	539.4	539.8	0.4	0.5
26TBRD0002	47.0	48.0	1.0	3.8
26TBRD0002	362.0	362.3	0.3	0.5
26TBRD0002	469.9	470.2	0.3	0.3
26TBRD0002	474.1	526.4	52.3	3.0
incl.	477.4	508.0	30.6	3.4
and incl.	515.9	526.4	10.5	3.7
26TBRD0002	537.0	559.4	22.4	0.7
incl.	547.7	554.2	6.5	1.2
26TBRD0002	563.0	573.0	10.0	0.9
incl.	565.6	568.0	2.4	1.9
26TBRD0002	578.0	579.0	1.0	0.4
26TBRD0002	582.3	583.0	0.7	0.7
26TBRD0002	584.0	585.0	1.0	2.2
26TBRD0002	603.0	604.0	1.0	0.4

Drill Hole ID	Downhole From (m)	Downhole To (m)	Downhole Intersection (m)	Au (g/t)
26TBRD0003	30.0	33.0	3.0	0.4
26TBRD0003	45.0	57.0	12.0	1.3
incl.	52.0	54.0	2.0	6.1
26TBRD0003	60.0	61.0	1.0	1.0
26TBRD0003	66.0	67.0	1.0	0.4
26TBRD0003	132.0	133.0	1.0	0.4
26TBRD0003	209.0	211.0	2.0	1.1
26TBRD0003	212.0	213.0	1.0	0.6
26TBRD0003	217.0	221.0	4.0	0.5
26TBRD0003	250.0	251.0	1.0	0.4
26TBRD0003	304.0	304.5	0.5	0.9
26TBRD0003	348.5	348.8	0.3	0.5
26TBRD0003	352.7	354.2	1.5	1.1
26TBRD0003	395.9	396.5	0.6	0.7
26TBRD0003	397.4	398.2	0.8	1.1
26TBRD0003	398.2	399.1	0.9	0.6
26TBRD0003	407.6	409.0	1.4	0.5
26TBRD0003	411.3	436.7	25.4	0.8
incl.	420.6	430.4	9.8	1.1
26TBRD0003	441.1	441.8	0.7	0.3
26TBRD0003	452.1	455.7	3.6	2.3
incl.	453.0	454.8	1.8	3.7
26TBRD0003	717.8	718.1	0.3	0.5
26TBRD0004	315.0	317.0	2.0	0.6
26TBRD0004	318.0	318.3	0.3	0.5
26TBRD0004	326.0	327.0	1.0	0.3
26TBRD0004	329.9	330.2	0.3	0.4
26TBRD0004	348.5	349.1	0.6	0.3
26TBRD0004	475.0	476.0	1.0	0.8
26TBRD0004	477.0	478.0	1.0	0.6
26TBRD0004	483.0	486.0	3.0	0.7
26TBRD0004	492.0	494.0	2.0	1.8
26TBRD0004	516.4	517.0	0.6	0.3
26TBRD0004	521.0	528.0	7.0	0.7
26TBRD0004	531.0	532.0	1.0	0.3
26TBRD0004	533.0	551.0	18.0	3.0
incl.	534.0	543.0	9.0	5.1
26TBRD0004	556.7	557.1	0.5	0.5
26TBRD0004	567.0	570.0	3.0	4.4
incl.	568.4	568.7	0.3	25.1
26TBRD0005	175.0	176.0	1.0	1.3
26TBRD0005	179.2	181.5	2.3	0.9
26TBRD0005	184.2	185.1	0.9	0.3
26TBRD0005	246.4	247.4	1.0	0.4
26TBRD0005	294.3	295.2	0.9	17.2
26TBRD0005	313.7	314.5	0.9	0.7
26TBRD0005	387.0	387.4	0.4	0.5
26TBRD0005	459.0	460.0	1.0	0.7
26TBRD0005	481.6	482.4	0.8	0.6
26TBRD0005	493.4	494.0	0.6	1.2
26TBRD0005	494.9	495.9	1.0	3.2
26TBRD0005	500.5	501.0	0.5	4.6
26TBRD0005	516.0	517.0	1.0	0.5
26TBRD0005	536.0	537.0	1.0	0.3
26TBRD0005	554.3	555.0	0.8	3.5
26TBRD0005	561.0	563.1	2.1	2.8
26TBRD0006	63.0	64.0	1.0	0.4
26TBRD0006	154.7	155.8	1.1	0.7
26TBRD0006	284.0	286.6	2.6	0.7

Drill Hole ID	Downhole From (m)	Downhole To (m)	Downhole Intersection (m)	Au (g/t)
26TBRD0006	291.8	292.1	0.3	0.4
26TBRD0006	313.0	315.3	2.3	2.7
incl.	314.4	315.3	0.9	6.7
26TBRD0006	354.0	355.0	1.0	0.3
26TBRD0006	363.0	364.0	1.0	0.5
26TBRD0006	371.0	374.0	3.0	2.0
incl.	371.0	372.0	1.0	4.3
26TBRD0006	494.0	501.3	7.3	0.5
26TBRD0006	508.0	521.8	13.8	2.4
incl.	510.0	511.5	1.4	3.9
and incl.	517.0	521.0	4.0	5.8
26TBRD0006	579.0	582.0	3.0	2.8
incl.	581.0	582.0	1.0	5.3
26TBRD0006	595.2	596.0	0.8	0.7
26TBRD0007	330.0	330.6	0.6	0.4
26TBRD0007	385.0	398.3	13.3	0.6
26TBRD0007	402.1	403.0	0.9	0.3
26TBRD0007	410.2	412.3	2.1	0.9
26TBRD0007	433.5	436.1	2.6	0.5
26TBRD0007	444.3	447.1	2.8	1.5
26TBRD0007	448.8	449.2	0.4	0.5
26TBRD0007	525.0	527.0	2.0	0.6
26TBRD0008	36.0	37.0	1.0	0.3
26TBRD0008	81.0	82.0	1.0	0.3
26TBRD0008	89.0	93.0	4.0	0.5
26TBRD0008	110.0	111.0	1.0	0.3
26TBRD0008	149.0	150.0	1.0	0.3
26TBRD0008	164.0	165.0	1.0	0.5
26TBRD0008	169.0	170.0	1.0	0.3
26TBRD0008	172.0	173.0	1.0	0.4
26TBRD0008	176.0	179.0	3.0	0.8
26TBRD0008	243.5	244.0	0.5	0.5
26TBRD0008	253.4	255.6	2.2	0.3
26TBRD0008	317.1	318.0	0.9	1.7
26TBRD0008	453.6	454.4	0.8	1.6
26TBRD0008	498.8	501.3	2.5	1.9
26TBRD0008	524.1	525.4	1.3	0.7
26TBRD0008	526.7	532.3	5.6	1.0
incl.	530.5	532.3	1.8	2.1
26TBRD0008	564.1	564.9	0.8	0.3
26TBRD0008	593.8	594.1	0.3	2.0
26TBRD0009	99.0	103.0	4.0	1.3
26TBRD0009	105.0	106.0	1.0	1.4
26TBRD0009	150.0	151.0	1.0	0.6
26TBRD0009	154.0	157.0	3.0	0.5
26TBRD0009	168.0	169.0	1.0	0.8
26TBRD0009	208.0	210.0	2.0	0.6
26TBRD0009	240.0	241.0	1.0	0.4
26TBRD0009	284.0	287.0	3.0	0.5
26TBRD0009	290.0	291.0	1.0	0.6
26TBRD0009	293.0	295.0	2.0	0.6
26TBRD0009	332.8	333.5	0.7	0.3
26TBRD0009	340.5	341.5	1.0	0.7
26TBRD0009	343.3	344.2	0.9	0.6
26TBRD0009	346.1	347.0	0.9	1.0
26TBRD0009	350.5	351.4	0.9	0.9
26TBRD0009	353.1	354.0	0.9	0.3
26TBRD0009	355.0	355.8	0.8	0.3
26TBRD0009	487.7	488.7	1.0	0.4

JORC 2012 – TABLE 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

CRITERIA	JORC CODE EXPLANATION	COMMENTARY
Sampling techniques	Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	One metre primary samples and three metre composite samples were collected via reverse circulation (RC) drilling. Diamond core was sampled to mineralisation or lithological contacts where they fell within the 1.2m maximum sample length. The quality of the samples were actively monitored and evaluated using various quality control techniques. The majority of sampling occurred in the near-completely oxidised regolith clays using RC methods. Reverse circulation and diamond core drilling techniques are typical and appropriate for the style of mineralisation being estimated. The quality of the sampling is deemed to be appropriate and fit-for-purpose of mineral resource estimation. Various measures were employed to monitor and assure the quality of samples collected. Such measures include: Every effort is made to drill dry samples. Where wet samples are drilled they are logged as wet and the quality of these samples are taken into account in the resource estimation. Qualitative active monitoring of sample recovery and photographing of drill samples at the end of hole to assess sample recovery. The calibration of scales used for the collection of wet-dry Archimedes density data using a calibration weight during the collection process. Internal calibration checks were performed by the pXRF analyser daily. Calibration of the DGPS instrument was performed before the travelled to site for each surveying campaign. For exploration samples gold mineralisation was initially determined with ~3kg, speared, four metre composite samples which were dried, crushed and pulverised with a 50g sample fire assayed and analysed using atomic absorption spectrometry. Mineralised composites greater than 0.1 g/t had their respective 1m, ~2-3kg, cone split samples collected and submitted for either fire assay or photon analysis. Fire assay was as described above and photon assay involves drying the sample, fine crushing to 90% passing -3mm and a 500g sub-sample is put in a photon assay jar and analysed for gold. 1m grade control samples were fire assayed as per the above method. Mineralisation determined qualitatively through monitoring presence of sulphide, quartz veining

CRITERIA	JORC CODE EXPLANATION	COMMENTARY
		and visible gold. Additional mineralisation was qualitatively determined using pXRF analysis for pathfinder geochemistry which maps the mineralisation. pXRF analyses for alteration and common rock-forming elements was carried out on every metre by taking a small ~50g sample from the AC/RC fines and analysing with the Olympus Vanta VMR XRF Analyser using all 3 beams for 15 seconds each.
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	A combination of AC drilling with 4 inch cutting blade bits and smaller-format 4-inch face sampling hammer bits, RC drilling with 5.5 inch face sampling hammers and triple tube HQ3 and NQ diamond core tails were used to obtain samples. Air drilling was performed with the multi-purpose (AC and RC) Schramm T450 rig with 400psi/1240cfm onboard air for AC drilling and the addition of 350psi/1350cfm compressor and 1000psi booster when drilling deeper or drilling RC. The rig runs 3.5 inch rods and a 3inch diameter sample hose. Diamond core was collected using triple-tube methods in the clays and conventional methods in fresh rock NQ diamond tails. All core was oriented wherever possible using Reflex orientation instruments.
Drill sample recovery	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	Visual assessment of sample recovery monitored and communicated with drillers. Photographs of drill sample at the end of each hole as a visual record of recovery from each hole. Core, assessed during drilling for loss, loss intervals recorded on core blocks by drillers. Core markup conducted by field technicians to assess core recovery and recoveries are logged by geologist. Larger format 4 inch AC blade bits were used with appropriate onboard air volume and pressure to maximise recovery regolith clays. A booster and auxiliary compressor were used to drill RC holes to ensure appropriate air pressure to drill holes dry and lift total samples. HQ3 triple tube techniques were used when diamond drilling to maximise recovery through the regolith clays. As sample recoveries are generally very high, there is no known relationship between sample recovery and grade. The qualitative data available and recent drilling conducted by MEK indicate there is no relationship between recovery and grade.
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.	Holes logged to a level of detail to support mineral resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgy studies: lithology; alteration; mineralisation; geotechnical; structural. Qualitative: geological data (lithology, alteration, mineralogy, veining etc.)

CRITERIA	JORC CODE EXPLANATION	COMMENTARY
	Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	Quantitative: structural orientation angles; geotechnical and geochemical data. A handheld pXRF instrument was used to collect continuous geochemical data to assist with logging. Core photography or the whole hole wet and photography or sample piles at the completion of each drillhole. All holes logged and chipped for entire length of hole. All chip trays and diamond core archived for future reference.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	Core diamond tails were half cored with an Almonte core saw. The HQ3 triple tubed holes were whole core sampled apart from the quartz veins which were half core sampled. All 3 m composites were spear sampled. All air drilled 1 m primary samples were split using a gravity fed fixed cone splitter system, predominantly dry. Where samples were split wet these samples were logged as wet samples and the sample system cleaned and dried to minimise bias and contamination. The subsampling technique applied to the RC and AC samples is considered industry standard, with measures in place to maximise recovery and minimise contamination. This includes the application of a cone splitter which allows for a more consistent sample split. In addition, the samples are kept dry using appropriate downhole air pressure within the reverse circulation system. The samples delineation is actively controlled. Diamond core followed half-core sampling techniques. Core was cut along the orientation line and the same half of core was always submitted for analysis. Recovery was logged and accounted for in the logging and sampling. Air drilled (RC and AC) samples were presented to a gravity fed cone splitter to produce a ~3kg sub-sample for each metre. Samples were pulverised to 85% passing 75 microns. The pulp split is scooped from the pulverised pulp sample. For photon analysis the cone split sample is crushed to 90% passing -3mm and a 500g split is taken to fill the photon analysis jar. No duplicates were included in this sample stream. Pulp duplicates taken at the pulverising stage and selective repeats conducted at the laboratory's discretion. No twin drilling has been completed for the project but close spaced diamond drilling of some of the key mineralised areas drilled with AC have been drilled. These holes return similar grade tenor and distributions as the AC holes. Field duplicates are taken from the cone splitter using the second shoot every 20 samples. These

CRITERIA	JORC CODE EXPLANATION	COMMENTARY
		are analysed when included in a mineralised interval identified by the composite samples. No field duplicates are included in the core sample stream. Using two quarter cores as duplicates significantly reduces the sample support of the "duplicates" and sampling of the second half of diamond core leaves no core for future reference. In the Competent Person's opinion, the sample size is appropriate for the grain size of the material being sampled. The first split sizes are industry standard and considered appropriate for the mineralisation style. A 50g fire assay is considered the optimal sample size considering practical and economic constraints. The 500g Photon sample is a further improvement in sample support.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.	Fire assay, total technique, with AAS finish is appropriate for gold. Photon assay is considered a total technique and appropriate for gold. In the Competent Person's opinion, the analysis methods employed are appropriate for the mineralisation style and use in mineral resource estimation. pXRF analysis data were collected for most drilling included in the resource definition programme to support geological modelling. An Olympus Vanta VMR pXRF analyser with a 50kV x-ray tube and a Rh anode was used for the programme in geochemical mode with all three beams set to 15 seconds. Each day the instrument internally calibrates itself to ensure it is operating within factory specifications. No calibrations have been applied. Certified reference material: 1:25 samples Blanks: coarse blank nominally 1:100; lab - barren quartz flush Field: RC – duplicate taken from second chute on fixed cone splitter at a rate of 1:20. Pulp duplicates selected by the laboratory. In the Competent Person's opinion, the lab performed acceptably, with acceptable levels of accuracy and precision established. The quality of analysis is appropriate for mineral resource estimation.
Verification of sampling and assaying	The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	All sampling is routinely inspected by senior geological staff. No holes have been twinned at this stage. However key mineralised zones have been core drilled in the centre of a dice-5 pattern to verify high-grade intervals defined from AC. Data stored in Datashed database on internal company server, logging performed on LogChief and synchronised to Datashed database, data validated by database administrator, import validate protocols in place. Visual validation in Leapfrog by Company geologists.

CRITERIA	JORC CODE EXPLANATION	COMMENTARY
		In the Competent Person's opinion, data collection, management and storage is robust and provides a reliable data set to produce a mineral resource estimate. No adjustments made to assay data. First gold assay is utilized for any resource estimation.
Location of data points	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	Collars: surveyed with RTK GPS. Downhole: surveyed with in-rod Reflex or Axis tool; conventional or north-seeking gyro tool, in-rod or open hole. In the Competent Person's opinion, the accuracy and quality of the drill hole location data is appropriate for use in mineral resource estimation. MGA94 - Zone 50. Topographic data generated using high resolution photogrammetric techniques.
Data spacing and distribution	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied.	Drill hole spacing across the deposit is nominally 20m x 20m at shallow depths (0-100m) and 50x50m to 50m x 100m at deeper depths (>100m). Grade control spacing is 10m x 10m through mineralised zones. Yes. Not applicable, as mineralised 3m composites samples (>0.3 g/t) had their respective 1m samples subsequently assayed which take precedence.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	Drill holes oriented at right angles to strike of deposit, dip optimized for drillability and dip of orebody, sampling believed to be unbiased. There is no apparent bias in any of the drilling orientations used.
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	All samples are selected, cut and bagged in a tied, numbered calico bag, grouped into larger polyweave bags. Polyweave bags are placed into larger bulker bags with a sample submission sheet and tied shut. Consignment note and delivery address details are written on the side of the bag and delivered to Toll Express in Meekatharra or collected by Dananni Haulage later in the programme. The bags are delivered directly to Intertek Perth, WA who are NATA accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC17025.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	No independent reviews of QAQC have been conducted for the Turnberry drilling.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

CRITERIA	JORC CODE EXPLANATION	COMMENTARY
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	Meeka Metals Limited control 100% interest in M51/882 and the tenement is in good standing. M51/882 is located within the Yugunga-Nya Native Title determination area. Heritage surveys have been conducted over active exploration areas. Teck holds an 8.8% net profit interest which is paid only after all expenses incurred by the project (including historical exploration expenses) are recovered by Meeka Metals Limited. Milestone payments of \$5/oz produced are to be paid to Archean Star Resources Australia Pty Ltd, capped at \$1m.
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Historical exploration was carried out at Turnberry by ASRA, Teck and Newcrest including drilling and geophysics.
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	Geology consists of Archean aged orogenic style mineralisation. Primary mineralisation is interpreted to be hosted within shear zone(s) +/- stringer quartz veins within both mafic and felsic lithologies. Some supergene mineralisation is developed locally and defined by ferruginous red saprolite clays.
Drill hole Information	A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	All drill results have been reported to the ASX in line with ASIC requirements, and available from previous announcements at https://meekametals.com.au/asx-announcements/
Data aggregation methods	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high-grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	No top-cuts have been applied when reporting results. All fire and photon assay results associated with the exploration drilling have been reported. Aggregate sample assays are calculated using a length-weighted average. Significant intervals are based on the logged geological interval, with all internal dilution included. No metal equivalent values are used for reporting exploration results.

CRITERIA	JORC CODE EXPLANATION	COMMENTARY
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').	Drill holes are oriented at right angles to strike of deposit, dip optimized for drilling purposes and dip of ore body. Down hole widths are reported with most drill holes intersecting the mineralised lenses at 30-40 degrees. Strike of mineralisation is approximately north-south in the Fairway Trend.
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	Drilling is presented in long-section and cross section as appropriate and reported quarterly to the ASX in line with ASIC requirements.
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high-grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	All drillhole results have been reported in previous announcements available at https://meekametals.com.au/asx-announcements/ Reports also include drillholes of insignificant intersections
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	All meaningful and material data are reported.
Further work	The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	Follow up work at Fairway trend will comprise of further infill and extensional drilling programs to continue to develop the resource potential and test additional exploration targets.